L3 Biology and Habitat

Credible Data Approval Process

In order to maintain approved Qualified Data Collector status, each Qualified Data Collector (QDC) will submit data respective to their discipline (fish, macroinvertebrate, and/or habitat) to Ohio EPA. Minimum data submittal requirements are detailed in the table below by area of discipline. Ohio EPA will complete a review of some or all of the submitted data as outlined in the attached flow charts for each discipline. Upon completion of the review, Ohio EPA will contact the QDC to inform them whether or not their data has been approved and renewal of status granted for another two-year period or rolled over for a three-year period.

Data submitted for renewals must be collected during the effective period of certification. For first time renewals, the minimum acceptable data requirement is two samples collected during the two-year certification time period. For subsequent automatic three-year rollover renewals, the timing of the application for renewal will dictate the amount of data required to be submitted. If the request for renewal is received during year one or year two of the current certification, then two samples collected during year one and/or year two is acceptable. If the request for renewal is received during year three of the current certification, then three samples collected during the three-year certification time period is required. For the fish certification renewal, samples must be submitted per waterbody type (Headwater, Wading, and/or Boat).

Table 1. Data submittal requirements by Credible Data Program discipline to maintain and retain Level 3 CDP certification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Data Submittal Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Maps of the sampling locations, photographs of the sampling site documenting local conditions, and legible copies of fish data sheets and Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index Field Sheets are required for each sampling site evaluated for each sampling method certification. IBI and MIwb scores, intermediate calculations of IBI and MIwb scores, all 12 IBI metrics and the four primary components of the MIwb (if applicable) must be included in the submittal. Vouchers of all taxa should be available upon request.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Habitat (QHEI)</td>
<td>Site maps, photograph of the site, and Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index Field Sheets are required. Additionally, all relevant supporting documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
[waterbody, location, landmark, latitude/longitude, river mile, and collector(s)] must be included.

**Macroinvertebrates (all)**
Maps of the sampling locations and photographs of the sampling site documenting local conditions are required to be submitted for each sample. Data from artificial substrate collections with associated qualitative multi-habitat sample results are required along with the macroinvertebrate site description sheets, calculations used in the lab sample analysis process (e.g., pre-pick results, subsampling used, and resultant math), and ICI metrics, metric scores, and total score. Vouchers of all taxa should be available upon request.

**Macroinvertebrates (collection/assessment only)**
Same as above but include identification of the L3 individual who completed the lab sample analysis.

**Macroinvertebrates (identification only)**
Data from artificial substrate collections with associated qualitative multi-habitat sample results including all calculations used in the sample analysis process (e.g., pre-pick results, subsampling used, and resultant math). Vouchers of all taxa should be available upon request.
QHEI data received from QDC

Is data complete (locational information, pictures, all metrics scored, ...)?

NO

OEPA contacts QDC for needed information.

YES

Has OEPA collected QHEI data from this location within last 2 years?

NO

Evaluation of QDC’s QHEI is based on same process as for initial accreditation.

YES

Aerial photography, site photographs, landuse maps, geologic maps & related materials may be used to asses applicant’s QHEI. Review includes checking for inconsistencies between metrics (as example, pool depth >70 for instream cover, but max. pool depth <40). If OEPA has historical QHEI scores from adjacent or otherwise contiguous areas these may be used in addition to resources above to assist in the evaluation of the applicant’s macrohabitat assessment.

Is QHEI approved?

NO

OEPA contacts QDC to let them know of concerns regarding the submitted QHEI. Resolution options will be discussed and may include a site visit or need of additional photos of site from QDC.

YES

OEPA contacts QDC to let them know that QHEI is approved.

1 – EAS emails QDC and cc’s credible.data@epa.ohio.gov to initiate the auto-renewal process.
Macronvertebrate data received from QDC

Is data complete (locational information, all metrics scored, ...)?

NO

OEPA contacts QDC for needed information.

YES

Has OEPA collected macroinvertebrate data from this location within last 2 years?

NO

Evaluation of QDC macroinvertebrate data is based on same process as for initial accreditation.

YES

Species list is examined for any out-of-range, or unexpected species and unexpected co-occurrence (e.g., rat tail maggot and 15 EPT taxa). Review includes checking for inconsistencies (e.g., 5 EPT species on list but EPT summary only indicates 2). If OEPA has historical macroinvertebrate data from nearby areas, these may be used in addition to resources above to assist in evaluation of data in regards to species collected, numbers collected, etc.

Is macroinvertebrate data approved?

NO

OEPA contacts QDC to let them know of concerns regarding the submitted macroinvertebrate data. Resolution options will be discussed, may include a site visit or need of additional info from QDC.

YES

OEPA\(^1\) contacts QDC to let them know that macroinvertebrate data is approved.

---

1 – EAS emails QDC and cc’s credible.data@epa.ohio.gov to initiate the auto-renewal process.
Fish data received from QDC

- Is data complete (locational information, tallies included, all metrics scored, ...)?
  - Yes
    - Evaluation of QDC fish data is based on same process as for initial accreditation.
  - No
    - Has OEPA collected fish data from this location within last 5 years or longer (provided no indications of significant water quality or land use changes)?
      - Yes
      - Species list is examined for any out-of-range, or unexpected species. Review includes checking for inconsistencies (e.g., 5 darter species on list but darter metric only indicates 2). If OEPA has historical fish scores from nearby areas, these may be used in addition to resources above to assist in evaluation of data with regards to species collected, numbers collected, gear selection. Fish data will be reviewed with QHEI data to examine for the possibility of under sampling (e.g., deep pools but no pool species collected, turbid conditions, etc.) and the use of appropriate gear for stream size.
      - No
    - OEPA contacts QDC to let them know of concerns regarding the submitted fish data. Resolution options will be discussed, may include a site visit or need of additional info from QDC.
  - OEPA contacts QDC for needed information.

- Is fish data approved?
  - Yes
    - OEPA contacts QDC to let them know that fish data is approved.
  - No

---

1 – EAS emails QDC and cc’s credible.data@epa.ohio.gov to initiate the auto-renewal process.