

# OHIO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM LEGISLATIVE REVIEW

*FACILITY OVERSIGHT MEETING: FACILITY OPERATOR FOCUS*

*December 17, 2012*

# Facility Oversight Agenda: 10:00am-12:30am

1. Overview of HB 592 and Facility Oversight
2. Introductions
3. Discussion
4. Conclusion

# Solid Waste Law Review Process (HB 592 Overview)

- **Where Did This Come From?**
  - H.B. 592 Passed in 1988
  - It's been on Ohio EPA's long-term "to-do" list for several years
  - Timing seemed right to take a holistic look, rather than react to piecemeal initiatives
- **Key Principles articulated throughout the process**
  - Looking comprehensively at the entire system to make it more efficient and effective
  - Open, transparent process based on consensus-building
  - Not afraid to think big

# Solid Waste Law Review

- **4 Phase Process (~18 months)**
  - Phase One: Information Gathering - Complete
  - *Phase Two: Discussion and Consensus-building – In Progress*
  - Phase Three: Formal Proposal
  - Phase Four: Legislative Initiative
- **39 Phase I meetings with over 300 participants**
- **4 Phase II meetings with more being planned**

# Solid Waste Law Review

- **Why we're focusing on this topic**
  - HB 592 Phase I concerns from many stakeholders
  - Originally related to Health Departments specifically, but we are looking at it in a broader context
- **Approaching the review with a clean slate**
  - If you could start from scratch, what would it look like?
- **Thinking forward 25 years from now**
- **Information gathering and idea generation**

# Facility Oversight

- **General Premise: Whatever the system looks like in the future, there will always be a need for independent oversight to make sure that facilities are following the appropriate regulations, statutes, etc.**
- **What does “Oversight” currently include?**
  - Permit requirements
  - License Terms & Conditions
  - Recordkeeping & Reporting Requirements
  - Routine Inspections
  - Complaints

# Facility Oversight

- **Who does it, what it entails, frequency, etc. are open for discussion**
- **Funding the system will ultimately be a concern, but we want build the system first, then figure out how to appropriately fund it.**

# Purpose of Today's Meeting

- To generate ideas & discussion related to facility oversight
- Focus on “big picture”

## Introductions

- When thinking about a system of facility oversight, what matters most?

- What works well in the current system?

- What doesn't work well in the current system?

- Can you think of any examples of other states that do it differently, in a way that works better?

- Thoughts on a few specific issues. . .

- Consistency

- Frequency

- Facility Types

- Consistency
- Frequency
- Facility Types
- Quality
- Complaints
- Illegal Facilities
- Who Does It?
- Functions or Responsibilities
- Simplicity
- Funding
- Expertise

# Any Final Thoughts, Ideas or Issues?

- Where we go from here/next step in process

# Thanks for participating!

## Ohio Solid Waste Management Review Process

Project Contact: Christopher Germain  
(614) 728-5317  
Christopher.germain@epa.state.oh.us

Ohio EPA  
Division of Materials and Waste Management,  
Attn: Christopher Germain  
PO Box 1049  
Columbus OH 43216-1049