OhioEPA

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Street Address:
Lazarus Gov. Center
122 S. Front Street
Columbus, OH 43215

TELE: (614) 644-3020 **FAX:** (614) 644-2329

Mailing Address:
Lazarus Gov. Center
P.O. Box 1049
Columbus, OH 43216-1049

05/12/05

CERTIFIED MAIL

RE: Proposed Title V Significant Permit Modification Chapter 3745-77 permit

01-25-04-2608
The Ohio State University

Attn: Genevieve Damico AR-18J
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region V
77 West Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604-3590

Dear Ms. Damico:

The proposed issuance of the Title V permit for The Ohio State University, has been created in Ohio EPA's State Air Resources System (STARS) on 05/12/05, for review by USEPA. This proposed action is identified in STARS as 3-Title V Proposed Permit T+C covering the facility specific terms and conditions, and Title V Proposed Permit covering the general terms and conditions. This proposed permit will be processed for issuance as a final action after forty-five (45) days from USEPA's electronic notification of this proposed action. Please contact me at (614) 644-3631 before the end of the forty-five (45) day review period if you wish to object to the proposed permit.

Very truly yours,

Michael W. Ahern

Michael W. Ahern, Supervisor
Field Operations and Permit Section
Division of Air Pollution Control

cc: Central District Office
File, DAPC PMU

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

PROPOSED TITLE V SIGNIFICANT PERMIT MODIFICATION

Original Effective Date:	Expiration Date:	Modification Effective Date: ***To be entered upon final issuance***

This document constitutes issuance of a Title V permit for Facility ID: 01-25-04-2608 to:

The Ohio State University
2003 MILLIKIN ROAD
COLUMBUS, OH 43210-1268

Emissions Unit ID (Company ID)/Emissions Unit Activity Description

B104 (147997)
Scott Hall, Carmack, 10.4 mmBTU/hr boiler

B105 (147996)
310/024M, Scott Hall, Cleaver Brooks boiler, 10.4 mmBTU/hr boiler

B121 (BOILER #6)
BOILER #6

B122 (BOILER #7)
BOILER #7

B131 (BOILER #8)
BOILER #8

B132 (BOILER #5)
Replaced original BOILER #5

B134 (Vet Hosp 3)
Vet Hosp - 151923

B135 (Vet Hosp 4)
Vet Hosp - 151924

B136 (Vet Hosp 5)
Vet Hosp - 151925

B137 (McCracken Natural Gas Driven Compressor)
230 HP Caterpillar natural gas driven compressor.

B138 (C.A.R.Lab #1)
C.A.R. Facility Engine Dynamometer Lab #1

B139 (C.A.R.Lab #2)
C.A.R. Facility Engine Dynamometer Lab #2

B140 (McCracken New Boiler #1)
New Boiler #1 to be installed (replace B125)

B141 (McCracken New Boiler #3)
McCraken New Boiler #3 to be installed (Replace B124)

B142 (McCracken New Boiler #6)
McCraken New Boiler #6 to be installed (Replace B121)

B143 (McCracken New Boiler #7)
McCraken New Boiler #7 to be installed (Replace B122)

K001 (Bldg290-17)
290/ , Heidelberg Speedmaster 5 Color Press

K002 (Print facility)
Printing facility, 6 color press

K003 (Print facility)
2 color press. PTI is issued

P001 (RhodesETO1)
ETO #1 at Rhodes Hall. Sterilizer for hospital equipment.

P901 (McCracken Coal Handling)
Coal stroage bldh & transport to bunker - blower/bag filter

P902 (McCracken Ash & Lime Handling)
Ash unloader, ash silo exhauster/bag filter, lime silo bin vent filter

R001 (Booth #5B-RM301 McCracken Plant)
Binks spray booth, model #FF 8-7T

R002 (Booth #5A-RM301 McCracken Plant)
Binks spray booth, model #FF10-8-T

You will be contacted approximately eighteen (18) months prior to the expiration date regarding the renewal of this permit. If you are not contacted, please contact the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency listed below. This permit and the authorization to operate the air contaminant sources (emissions units) at this facility shall expire at midnight on the expiration date shown above. If a renewal permit is not issued prior to the expiration date, the permittee may continue to operate pursuant to OAC rule 3745-77-08(E) and in accordance with the terms of this permit beyond the expiration date, provided that a complete renewal application is submitted no earlier than eighteen (18) months and no later than one-hundred eighty (180) days prior to the expiration date.

Described below is the current Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency that is responsible for processing and administering your Title V permit:

Central District Office
3232 Alum Creek Drive
Columbus, OH 43207-3417
(614) 728-3778

OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Joseph P. Koncelik
Director

PART I - GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

1. Monitoring and Related Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements

a. Except as may otherwise be provided in the terms and conditions for a specific emissions unit, i.e., in Section A.III of Part III of this Title V permit, the permittee shall maintain records that include the following, where applicable, for any required monitoring under this permit:

i. The date, place (as defined in the permit), and time of sampling or measurements.
ii. The date(s) analyses were performed.
iii. The company or entity that performed the analyses.
iv. The analytical techniques or methods used.
v. The results of such analyses.
vi. The operating conditions existing at the time of sampling or measurement.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(b)(i))

b. Each record of any monitoring data, testing data, and support information required pursuant to this permit shall be retained for a period of five years from the date the record was created. Support information shall include all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip-chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, and copies of all reports required by this permit. Such records may be maintained in computerized form.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(b)(ii))

c. The permittee shall submit required reports in the following manner:

i. **All reporting required in accordance with OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) for deviations caused by malfunctions shall be submitted in the following manner:**

Any malfunction, as defined in OAC rule 3745-15-06(B)(1), shall be promptly reported to the Ohio EPA in accordance with OAC rule 3745-15-06. In addition, to fulfill the OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) deviation reporting requirements for malfunctions, written reports that identify each malfunction that occurred during each calendar quarter (including each malfunction reported only verbally in accordance with OAC rule 3745-15-06) shall be submitted by January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31 of each year in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii below; and each report shall cover the previous calendar quarter.

In accordance with OAC rule 3745-15-06, a malfunction constitutes a violation of an emission limitation (or control requirement) and, therefore, is a deviation of the federally enforceable permit requirements. Even though verbal notifications and written reports are required for malfunctions pursuant to OAC rule 3745-15-06, the written reports required pursuant to this term must be submitted quarterly to satisfy the prompt reporting provision of OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c).

In identifying each deviation caused by a malfunction, the permittee shall specify the emission limitation(s) (or control requirement(s)) for which the deviation occurred, describe each deviation, and provide the magnitude and duration of each deviation. For a specific malfunction, if this information has been provided in a written report that was submitted in accordance with OAC rule 3745-15-06, the permittee may simply reference that written report to identify the deviation. Nevertheless, all malfunctions, including those reported only verbally in accordance with OAC rule 3745-15-06, must be reported in writing on a quarterly basis.

Any scheduled maintenance, as referenced in OAC rule 3745-15-06(A)(1), that results in a deviation from a federally enforceable emission limitation (or control requirement) shall be reported in the same manner as described above for malfunctions.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c))

ii. **Except as may otherwise be provided in the terms and conditions for a specific emissions unit, i.e., in Section A.IV of Part III of this Title V permit or, in some cases, in Part II of this Title V permit, all reporting required in accordance with OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) for deviations of the emission limitations, operational restrictions, and control device operating parameter limitations shall be submitted in the following manner:**

Written reports of (a) any deviations from federally enforceable emission limitations, operational restrictions, and control device operating parameter limitations, (b) the probable cause of such deviations, and (c) any corrective actions or preventive measures taken, shall be promptly made to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency. Except as provided below, the written reports shall be submitted by January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31 of each year; and each report shall cover the previous calendar quarter.

In identifying each deviation, the permittee shall specify the emission limitation(s), operational restriction(s), and/or control device operating parameter limitation(s) for which the deviation occurred, describe each deviation, and provide the estimated magnitude and duration of each deviation.

These written reports shall satisfy the requirements (in part) of OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) pertaining to the submission of monitoring reports every six months and to the prompt reporting of all deviations. OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) is not fully satisfied until the permittee addresses all other deviations of the federally enforceable requirements specified in the permit.

If an emissions unit has a deviation reporting requirement for a specific emission limitation, operational restriction, or control device operating parameter limitation that is not on a quarterly basis (e.g., within 30 days following the end of the calendar month, or within 30 or 45 days after the exceedance occurs), that deviation reporting requirement overrides the reporting requirements specified in this General Term and Condition for that specific emission limitation, operational restriction, or control device parameter limitation. Following the provisions of that non-quarterly deviation reporting requirement will also satisfy the requirements (in part) of OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) pertaining to the submission of monitoring reports every six months and to the prompt reporting of all deviations, and additional quarterly deviation reports for that specific emission limitation, operational restriction, or control device parameter limitation are not required pursuant to this General Term and Condition.

See B.6 below if no deviations occurred during the quarter.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c))

iii. **All reporting required in accordance with the OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) for other deviations of the federally enforceable permit requirements which are not reported in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii above shall be submitted in the following manner:**

Written reports that identify all other deviations of the federally enforceable requirements contained in this permit, including the monitoring, record keeping, and reporting requirements, which are not reported in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii above shall be

submitted to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency by January 31 and July 31 of each year; and each report shall cover the previous six calendar months.

In identifying each deviation, the permittee shall specify the federally enforceable requirement for which the deviation occurred, describe each deviation, and provide the magnitude and duration of each deviation.

These semi-annual written reports shall satisfy the reporting requirements of OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c) for any deviations from the federally enforceable requirements contained in this permit that are not reported in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii above.

If no such deviations occurred during a six-month period, the permittee shall submit a semi-annual report which states that no such deviations occurred during that period.
(Authority for term: OAC rules 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c)(i) and (ii))

iv. Each written report shall be signed by a responsible official certifying that, "based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the report (including any written malfunction reports required by OAC rule 3745-15-06 that are referenced in the deviation reports) are true, accurate, and complete."
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c)(iv))

v. Reports of any required monitoring and/or record keeping information shall be submitted to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c))

2. Scheduled Maintenance

Any scheduled maintenance of air pollution control equipment shall be performed in accordance with paragraph (A) of OAC rule 3745-15-06. Except as provided in OAC rule 3745-15-06(A)(3), any scheduled maintenance necessitating the shutdown or bypassing of any air pollution control system(s) shall be accompanied by the shutdown of the emissions unit(s) that is (are) served by such control system(s). Any scheduled maintenance, as defined in OAC rule 3745-15-06(A)(1), that results in a deviation from a federally enforceable emission limitation (or control requirement) shall be reported in the same manner as described for malfunctions in General Term and Condition A.1.c.i above.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(3)(c))

3. Risk Management Plans

If applicable, the permittee shall develop and register a risk management plan pursuant to section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 7401 et seq. ("Act"); and, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 68.215(a), the permittee shall submit either of the following:

a. a compliance plan for meeting the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 68 by the date specified in 40 C.F.R. 68.10(a) and OAC 3745-104-05(A); or

b. as part of the compliance certification submitted under 40 C.F.R. 70.6(c)(5), a certification statement that the source is in compliance with all requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 68 and OAC Chapter 3745-104, including the registration and submission of the risk management plan.

(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(4))

4. Title IV Provisions

If the permittee is subject to the requirements of 40 CFR Part 72 concerning acid rain, the permittee shall ensure that any affected emissions unit complies with those requirements. Emissions exceeding any allowances that are lawfully held under Title IV of the Act, or any regulations adopted thereunder, are prohibited.

(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(5))

5. Severability Clause

A determination that any term or condition of this permit is invalid shall not invalidate the force or effect of any other term or condition thereof, except to the extent that any other term or condition depends in whole or in part for its operation or implementation upon the term or condition declared invalid.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(6))

6. General Requirements

a. The permittee must comply with all terms and conditions of this permit. Any noncompliance with the federally enforceable terms and conditions of this permit constitutes a violation of the Act, and is grounds for enforcement action or for permit revocation, revocation and reissuance, or modification, or for denial of a permit renewal application.

b. It shall not be a defense for the permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the federally enforceable terms and conditions of this permit.

c. This permit may be modified, reopened, revoked, or revoked and reissued, for cause, in accordance with A.10 below. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or revocation, or of a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any term and condition of this permit.

d. This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

e. The permittee shall furnish to the Director of the Ohio EPA, or an authorized representative of the Director, upon receipt of a written request and within a reasonable time, any information that may be requested to determine whether cause exists for modifying, reopening or revoking this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. Upon request, the permittee shall also furnish to the Director or an authorized representative of the Director, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. For information claimed to be confidential in the submittal to the Director, if the Administrator of the U.S. EPA requests such information, the permittee may furnish such records directly to the Administrator along with a claim of confidentiality.

(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(7))

7. Fees

The permittee shall pay fees to the Director of the Ohio EPA in accordance with ORC section 3745.11 and OAC Chapter 3745-78.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(8))

8. Marketable Permit Programs

No revision of this permit is required under any approved economic incentive, marketable permits, emissions trading, and other similar programs or processes for changes that are provided for in this permit.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(9))

9. Reasonably Anticipated Operating Scenarios

The permittee is hereby authorized to make changes among operating scenarios authorized in this permit without notice to the Ohio EPA, but, contemporaneous with making a change from one operating scenario to another, the permittee must record in a log at the permitted facility the scenario under which the permittee is operating. The permit shield provided in these general terms and conditions shall apply to all operating scenarios authorized in this permit.

(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(10))

10. Reopening for Cause

This Title V permit will be reopened prior to its expiration date under the following conditions:

a. Additional applicable requirements under the Act become applicable to one or more emissions units covered by this permit, and this permit has a remaining term of three or more years. Such a reopening shall be completed not later than eighteen (18) months after promulgation of the applicable requirement. No such reopening is required if the effective date of the requirement is later than the date on which the permit is due to expire, unless the original permit or any of its terms and conditions has been extended pursuant to paragraph (E)(1) of OAC rule 3745-77-08.

b. This permit is issued to an affected source under the acid rain program and additional requirements (including excess emissions requirements) become applicable. Upon approval by the Administrator, excess emissions offset plans shall be deemed to be incorporated into the permit, and shall not require a reopening of this permit.

c. The Director of the Ohio EPA or the Administrator of the U.S. EPA determines that the federally applicable requirements in this permit are based on a material mistake, or that inaccurate statements were made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms and conditions of this permit related to such federally applicable requirements.

d. The Administrator of the U.S. EPA or the Director of the Ohio EPA determines that this permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with the applicable requirements.

(Authority for term: OAC rules 3745-77-07(A)(12) and 3745-77-08(D))

11. Federal and State Enforceability

Only those terms and conditions designated in this permit as federally enforceable, that are required under the Act, or any of its applicable requirements, including relevant provisions designed to limit the potential to emit of a source, are enforceable by the Administrator of the U.S. EPA, the State, and citizens under the Act. All other terms and conditions of this permit shall not be federally enforceable and shall be enforceable under State law only.

(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(B))

12. Compliance Requirements

a. Any document (including reports) required to be submitted and required by a federally applicable requirement in this Title V permit shall include a certification by a responsible official that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements in the document are true, accurate, and complete.

b. Upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, the permittee shall allow the Director of the Ohio EPA or an authorized representative of the Director to:

i. At reasonable times, enter upon the permittee's premises where a source is located or the emissions-related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit.

ii. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit, subject to the protection from disclosure to the public of confidential information consistent with paragraph (E) of OAC rule 3745-77-03.
iii. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit.
iv. As authorized by the Act, sample or monitor at reasonable times substances or parameters for the purpose of assuring compliance with the permit and applicable requirements.

c. The permittee shall submit progress reports to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency concerning any schedule of compliance for meeting an applicable requirement. Progress reports shall be submitted semiannually, or more frequently if specified in the applicable requirement or by the Director of the Ohio EPA. Progress reports shall contain the following:

i. Dates for achieving the activities, milestones, or compliance required in any schedule of compliance, and dates when such activities, milestones, or compliance were achieved.
ii. An explanation of why any dates in any schedule of compliance were not or will not be met, and any preventive or corrective measures adopted.

d. Compliance certifications concerning the terms and conditions contained in this permit that are federally enforceable emission limitations, standards, or work practices, shall be submitted to the Director (the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency) and the Administrator of the U.S. EPA in the following manner and with the following content:

i. Compliance certifications shall be submitted annually on a calendar year basis. The annual certification shall be submitted on or before April 30th of each year during the permit term.
ii. Compliance certifications shall include the following:
(a) An identification of each term or condition of this permit that is the basis of the certification.
(b) The permittee's current compliance status.
(c) Whether compliance was continuous or intermittent.
(d) The method(s) used for determining the compliance status of the source currently and over the required reporting period.
(e) Such other facts as the Director of the Ohio EPA may require in the permit to determine the compliance status of the source.
iii. Compliance certifications shall contain such additional requirements as may be specified pursuant to sections 114(a)(3) and 504(b) of the Act.

(Authority for term: OAC rules 3745-77-07(C)(1),(2),(4) and (5) and ORC section 3704.03(L))

13. Permit Shield

a. Compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit (including terms and conditions established for alternate operating scenarios, emissions trading, and emissions averaging, but excluding terms and conditions for which the permit shield is expressly prohibited under OAC rule 3745-77-07) shall be deemed compliance with the applicable requirements identified and addressed in this permit as of the date of permit issuance.

b. This permit shield provision shall apply to any requirement identified in this permit pursuant to OAC rule 3745-77-07(F)(2), as a requirement that does not apply to the source or to one or more emissions units within the source.

(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(F))

14. Operational Flexibility

The permittee is authorized to make the changes identified in OAC rule 3745-77-07(H)(1)(a) to (H)(1)(c) within the permitted stationary source without obtaining a permit revision, if such change is not a modification under any provision of Title I of the Act [as defined in OAC rule 3745-77-01(JJ)], and does not result in an exceedance of the emissions allowed under this permit (whether expressed therein as a rate of emissions or in terms of total emissions), and the permittee provides the Administrator of the U.S. EPA and the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency with written notification within a minimum of seven days in advance of the proposed changes, unless the change is associated with, or in response to, emergency conditions. If less than seven days notice is provided because of a need to respond more quickly to such emergency conditions, the permittee shall provide notice to the Administrator of the U.S. EPA and the appropriate District Office of the Ohio EPA or local air agency as soon as possible after learning of the need to make the change. The notification shall contain the items required under OAC rule 3745-77-07(H)(2)(d).
(Authority for term: OAC rules 3745-77-07(H)(1) and (2))

15. Emergencies

The permittee shall have an affirmative defense of emergency to an action brought for noncompliance with technology-based emission limitations if the conditions of OAC rule 3745-77-07(G)(3) are met. This emergency defense provision is in addition to any emergency or upset provision contained in any applicable requirement.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(G))

16. Off-Permit Changes

The owner or operator of a Title V source may make any change in its operations or emissions at the source that is not specifically addressed or prohibited in the Title V permit, without obtaining an amendment or modification of the permit, provided that the following conditions are met:

a. The change does not result in conditions that violate any applicable requirements or that violate any existing federally enforceable permit term or condition.

b. The permittee provides contemporaneous written notice of the change to the Director and the Administrator of the U.S. EPA. Such written notice shall describe each such change, the date of such change, any change in emissions or pollutants emitted, and any federally applicable requirement that would apply as a result of the change.

c. The change shall not qualify for the permit shield under OAC rule 3745-77-07(F).

d. The permittee shall keep a record describing all changes made at the source that result in emissions of a regulated air pollutant subject to an applicable requirement, but not otherwise regulated under the permit, and the emissions resulting from those changes.

e. The change is not subject to any applicable requirement under Title IV of the Act or is not a modification under any provision of Title I of the Act.

Paragraph (I) of rule 3745-77-07 of the Administrative Code applies only to modification or amendment of the permittee's Title V permit. The change made may require a permit to install under Chapter 3745-31 of the Administrative Code if the change constitutes a modification as defined in that Chapter. Nothing in paragraph (I) of rule 3745-77-07 of the Administrative Code shall affect any applicable obligation under Chapter 3745-31 of the Administrative Code.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(I))

17. Compliance Method Requirements

Nothing in this permit shall alter or affect the ability of any person to establish compliance with, or a violation of, any applicable requirement through the use of credible evidence to the extent authorized by law. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to waive any defenses otherwise available to the permittee, including but not limited to,

any challenge to the Credible Evidence Rule (see 62 Fed. Reg. 8314, Feb. 24, 1997), in the context of any future proceeding.
(This term is provided for informational purposes only.)

18. Insignificant Activities

Each insignificant activity that has one or more applicable requirements shall comply with those applicable requirements.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(1))

19. Permit to Install Requirement

Prior to the "installation" or "modification" of any "air contaminant source," as those terms are defined in OAC rule 3745-31-01, a permit to install must be obtained from the Ohio EPA pursuant to OAC Chapter 3745-31.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(1))

20. Air Pollution Nuisance

The air contaminants emitted by the emissions units covered by this permit shall not cause a public nuisance, in violation of OAC rule 3745-15-07.
(Authority for term: OAC rule 3745-77-07(A)(1))

21. Permanent Shutdown of an Emissions Unit

The permittee may notify Ohio EPA of any emissions unit that is permanently shut down by submitting a certification by the responsible official of the date on which the emissions unit was permanently shut down. Authorization to operate the affected part or activity of the stationary source shall cease upon the date certified by the responsible official that the emissions unit was permanently shut down.

If an emissions unit is permanently shut down (i.e., that has been physically removed from service or has been altered in such a way that it can no longer operate without a subsequent "modification" or "installation" as defined in OAC Chapter 3745-31 and therefore ceases to meet the definition of an "emissions unit" as defined in OAC rule 3745-77-01(O)), rendering existing permit terms and conditions irrelevant, the permittee shall not be required, after the date of the certification and submission to Ohio EPA, to meet any monitoring, record keeping, reporting, or testing requirements, applicable to that emissions unit, except for any residual requirements, such as the quarterly deviation reports, semi-annual deviation reports and annual compliance certification covering the period during which the emissions unit last operated. All records relating to the shutdown emissions unit, generated while the emissions unit was in operation, must be maintained in accordance with law.

No emissions unit certified by the responsible official as being permanently shut down may resume operation without first applying for and obtaining a permit to install pursuant to OAC Chapter 3745-31.

B. *State Only Enforceable Section*

1. Reporting Requirements Related to Monitoring and Record Keeping Requirements

The permittee shall submit required reports in the following manner:

a. Reports of any required monitoring and/or record keeping information shall be submitted to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency.

b. Except as otherwise may be provided in the terms and conditions for a specific emissions unit, quarterly written reports of (i) any deviations (excursions) from emission limitations, operational restrictions, and control device operating parameter limitations that have been detected by the testing, monitoring, and record keeping requirements specified in this permit, (ii) the probable cause of such deviations, and (iii) any corrective actions or preventive measures which have been or will be taken, shall be submitted to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency. In identifying each deviation, the permittee shall specify the applicable requirement for which the deviation occurred, describe each deviation, and provide the magnitude and duration of each deviation. If no deviations occurred during a calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a quarterly report, which states that no deviations occurred during that quarter. The reports shall be submitted quarterly, i.e., by January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31 of each year and shall cover the previous calendar quarters. (These quarterly reports shall exclude deviations resulting from malfunctions reported in accordance with OAC rule 3745-15-06.)

2. Records Retention Requirements

Each record of any monitoring data, testing data, and support information required pursuant to this permit shall be retained for a period of five years from the date the record was created. Support information shall include, but not be limited to, all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip-chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, and copies of all reports required by this permit. Such records may be maintained in computerized form.

3. Inspections and Information Requests

The Director of the Ohio EPA, or an authorized representative of the Director, may, subject to the safety requirements of the permittee and without undue delay, enter upon the premises of this source at any reasonable time for purposes of making inspections, conducting tests, examining records or reports pertaining to any emission of air contaminants, and determining compliance with any applicable State air pollution laws and regulations and the terms and conditions of this permit. The permittee shall furnish to the Director of the Ohio EPA, or an authorized representative of the Director, upon receipt of a written request and within a reasonable time, any information that may be requested to determine whether cause exists for modifying, reopening or revoking this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. Upon verbal or written request, the permittee shall also furnish to the Director of the Ohio EPA, or an authorized representative of the Director, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

4. Scheduled Maintenance/Malfunction Reporting

Any scheduled maintenance of air pollution control equipment shall be performed in accordance with paragraph (A) of OAC rule 3745-15-06. The malfunction of any emissions units or any associated air pollution control system(s) shall be reported to the appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency in accordance with paragraph (B) of OAC rule 3745-15-06. Except as provided in that rule, any scheduled maintenance or malfunction necessitating the shutdown or bypassing of any air pollution control system(s) shall be accompanied by the shutdown of the emissions unit(s) that is (are) served by such control system(s).

5. Permit Transfers

Any transferee of this permit shall assume the responsibilities of the prior permit holder. The appropriate Ohio EPA District Office or local air agency must be notified in writing of any transfer of this permit.

6. Additional Reporting Requirements When There Are No Deviations of <u>Federally Enforceable</u> Emission Limitations, Operational Restrictions, or Control Device Operating Parameter Limitations (See Section A of This Permit)

If no emission limitation (or control requirement), operational restriction and/or control device parameter limitation deviations occurred during a calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a quarterly report, which states that no deviations occurred during that quarter. The reports shall be submitted by January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31 of each year; and each report shall cover the previous calendar quarter.

The permittee is not required to submit a quarterly report which states that no deviations occurred during that quarter for the following situations:

a. where an emissions unit has deviation reporting requirements for a specific emission limitation, operational restriction, or control device parameter limitation that override the deviation reporting requirements specified in General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii;

b. where an uncontrolled emissions unit has no monitoring, record keeping, or reporting requirements and the emissions unit's applicable emission limitations are established at the potentials to emit; and

c. where the company's responsible official has certified that an emissions unit has been permanently shut down.

Part II - Specific Facility Terms and Conditions

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

1. MACT Requirements

a. The permittee is subject to the applicable emission limitation(s) and/or control measures, operational restrictions, monitoring and/or record keeping requirements, reporting requirements, testing requirements and the general and/or other requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD, in accordance with 40 CFR Parts 63.7480 through 63.7575 (including the Table(s) and Appendix(ices) referenced in Subpart DDDDD), which are included in the text of Attachment 1 hereto, and are hereby incorporated into this permit as if fully rewritten.

Ordinarily, these requirements would be incorporated into Part II of this Title V permit; however, incorporating Subpart DDDDD into Part II of this Title V permit was not practical due to technical incompatibilities and the limitations of the STARS program. In addition, numerous difficulties were encountered in attempting to copy and paste the Subpart's tables and/or equations into STARS format.

The following emissions units in this permit are subject to the aforementioned requirements: B104, B105, B121, B122, B131, B132, B134, B135, B136, B140, B141, B142 and B143.

b. The following emissions units are not subject to any requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD or 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A, in accordance with 40 CFR Part 63.7506(c), which is included in the text of Attachment 1: B001, B002, B011, B012, B013, B014, B015, B025, B026, B027, B029, B030, B035, B036, B039, B040, B041, B042, B043, B044, B045, B046, B047, B048, B049, B050, B051, B052, B054, B056, B057, B064, B065, B066 , B074, B076, B079, B080, B081, B082, B083, B084, B085, B086, B087, B088, B089, B090, B091, B092, B093, B094, B095, B096, B097, B098, B099, B100, B106, B110, B111, B112, B113, B128, B129, B130, B133, B145, B146, B147, B148, B149, B150, B151, B152, B153, B154, B155, B156, B157, B158, B159, B160, B165, B166, B167, B168, B169, B170, B171, B172, B176, B177, Z054 and Z195.

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

2. Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Budget Trading Program OAC Chapter 3745-14

a. Facility Code - 0125042608

b. The following regulated emissions units are subject to the applicable requirements specified in OAC Chapter 3745-14 and the annual NOx allowance allocations listed below:

Emission Unit	Annual Allowance for Calendar Years 2004 through 2007
B132 - Boiler #5	103.52 tons per year

c. The emissions units identified in Section A.2.b above are NOx budget units under OAC rule 3745-14-01(C)(1)(b).
[OAC rule 3745-14-01(C)(1)]

d. NOx allowances for units commencing operation on the dates specified in OAC rule 3745-14-05(C)(4) shall be allocated from the new source set-aside in accordance with the provisions of OAC rule 3745-14-05(C)(4)(d).
[OAC rule 3745-14-05(C)(4)]

e. The NOx authorized account representative shall submit a complete NOx budget permit application in accordance with the deadlines specified in paragraphs (B)(2) and (B)(3) of OAC rule 3745-14-03. The NOx authorized account representative shall also submit, in a timely manner, any supplemental information that the Director determines is necessary in order to review a NOx budget permit application and issue or deny a NOx budget permit.
[OAC rules 3745-14-01(E)(1)(a)(i), 3745-14-01(E)(1)(a)(ii), and 3745-14-03(B)(1)]

f. Beginning May 31, 2004, the owners and operators of each NOx budget source and each NOx budget unit at the source shall hold NOx allowances available for compliance deductions under paragraph (E) of OAC rule 3745-14-06, as of the NOx allowance transfer deadline, in the unit's compliance account and the source's overdraft account in an amount not less than the total NOx emissions for the control period from the unit, as determined in accordance with OAC rule 3745-14-08, plus any amount necessary to account for actual utilization under paragraph (C)(5) of OAC rule 3745-14-05 for the control period.
[OAC rules 3745-14-01(E)(3)(a) and 3745-14-01(E)(3)(c)]

g. NOx allowances shall be held in, deducted from, or transferred among NOx allowance tracking system accounts in accordance with OAC rules 3745-14-05, 3745-14-06, 3745-14-07, and 3745-14-09.
[OAC rule 3745-14-01(E)(3)(d)]

h. A NOx allowance shall not be deducted, in order to comply with the requirement under paragraph (E)(3)(a) of OAC rule 3745-14-01, for a control period in a year prior to the year for which the NOx allowance was allocated.
[OAC rule 3745-14-01(E)(3)(e)]

i. Each ton of NOx emitted in excess of the NOx budget emission limitation, as defined in OAC rule 3745-14-01(B)(2)(yy), shall constitute a separate violation of OAC Chapter 3745-14, the Clean Air Act, and applicable Ohio law. The owners and operators of a NOx budget unit that has excess emissions in any control period shall surrender the NOx allowances required for deduction under paragraph (E)(4)(a) of OAC rule 3745-14-06 and pay any fine, penalty, or assessment or comply with any other remedy imposed under paragraph (E)(4)(c) of OAC rule 3745-14-06.
[OAC rules 3745-14-01(E)(3)(b), 3745-14-01(E)(4)(a) and 3745-14-01(E)(4)(b)]

j. When recorded by the Administrator pursuant to OAC rules 3745-14-06 and 3745-14-07, every allocation, transfer, or deduction of a NOx allowance to or from a NOx budget unit's compliance account or the overdraft account of the source where the unit is located is deemed to amend automatically, and become a part of, any NOx budget permit of the NOx budget unit by operation of law without any further review.
[OAC rule 3745-14-01(E)(3)(h)]

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

k. Except as provided below, the Director shall revise the NOx budget permit, as necessary, in accordance with OAC rule 3745-77-08. Each NOx budget permit is deemed to incorporate automatically the definitions of terms under paragraph (B) of OAC rule 3745-14-01 and, when recorded by the Administrator, in accordance with OAC rules 3745-14-06 and 3745-14-07, every allocation, transfer, or deduction of a NOx allowance to or from the compliance accounts of the NOx budget units covered by the permit or the overdraft account of the NOx budget source covered by the permit.
[OAC rules 3745-14-03(D)(2) and 3745-14-03(E)(1)]

l. The owner or operator of a NOx budget unit shall comply with the prohibitions under OAC rule 3745-14-08(A)(5).
[OAC rule 3745-14-08(A)(5)]

m. The owners and operators of the NOx budget unit shall keep on site at the source each of the following documents for a period of five years from the date the document is created: (This period may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of five years, in writing by the Director or Administrator.)

i. the account certificate of representation for the NOx authorized account representative for the NOx budget unit and all documents that demonstrate the truth of the statements in the account certificate of representation, in accordance with paragraph (D) of OAC rule 3745-14-02, provided that the certificate and documents shall be retained on site at the source beyond such five-year period until such documents are superseded because of the submission of a new account certificate or representation changing the NOx authorized account representative;

ii. all emission monitoring information, in accordance with OAC rule 3745-14-08;

iii. copies of all reports, compliance certifications, and other submissions and all records made or required under the NOx budget trading program; and

iv. copies of all documents used to complete a NOx budget permit application and any other submission under the NOx budget trading program or to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the NOx budget trading program.

[OAC rule 3745-14-01(E)(5)(a)(i) through (iv)]

s. The NOx authorized account representative shall submit to the Administrator a compliance certification in support of each quarterly report based on a reasonable inquiry of those persons with primary responsibility for ensuring that all of the unit's emissions are correctly and fully monitored. The compliance certification shall state that:

i. the monitoring data submitted were recorded in accordance with the applicable requirements of OAC rule 3745-14-08 and 40 CFR Part 75, including the quality assurance procedures and specifications; and

ii. for a unit with add-on NOx emission controls and for all hours where data are substituted in accordance with 40 CFR Part 75.34(a)(1), the add-on emission control were operating within the range of parameters listed in the quality assurance program under Appendix B of 40 CFR Part 75 and the substitute values do not systematically underestimate the NOx emissions.
[OAC rule 3745-14-08(E)(4)(d)(i) and (ii)]

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

n. The permittee, and to the extent applicable, the NOx authorized account representative of the NOx budget unit, shall comply with the monitoring and reporting requirements as provided in OAC rule 3745-14-08 and in 40 CFR Part 75, Subpart H. For purposes of complying with such requirements the definitions in OAC rule 3745-14-01(B) and in 40 CFR 72.2 shall apply, and the terms "affected unit," "designated representative," and "continuous emission monitoring system" (or "CEMS") in 40 CFR Part 75 shall be replaced by the terms "NOx budget unit," "NOx authorized account representative," and "continuous emission monitoring system" (or "CEMS"), respectively, as defined in OAC rule 3745-14-01(B).
[OAC rule 3745-14-08(A)]

o. The permittee shall comply with the monitoring plan requirements of 40 CFR Part 75.62, except that the monitoring plan is only required to include information required by 40 CFR Part 75, Subpart H.
[OAC rule 3745-14-08(E)(2)(b)]

p. The NOx authorized account representative of the NOx budget unit shall submit the reports and compliance certifications required under the NOx budget trading program, including those under OAC rules 3745-14-04 and 3745-14-08, to the Director and Administrator.
[OAC rule 3745-14-01(E)(4)(b)]

q. Each submission under the NOx budget trading program shall be submitted, signed, and certified by the NOx authorized account representative for each NOx budget source on behalf of which the submission is made. Each such submission shall include the following certification statement by the NOx authorized account representative:

"I am authorized to make this submission on behalf of the owners and operators of the NOx budget sources or NOx budget units for which the submission is made. I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined, and am familiar with, the statements and information submitted in this document and all its attachments. Based on my inquiry of those individuals with primary responsibility for obtaining the information, I certify that the statements and information are to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false statements and information or omitting required statements and information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment."

If the NOx authorized account representative for a NOx budget unit subject to an acid rain emission limitation who signed and certified any submission that is made under Subpart F or G of 40 CFR Part 75 and which includes data and information required under OAC rule 3745-14-08 or Subpart H of 40 CFR Part 75 is not the same person as the designated representative or the alternate designated representative for the unit under 40 CFR Part 72, then the submission shall also be signed by the designated representative or the alternate designated representative.
[OAC rules 3745-14-02(A)(5) and 3745-14-08(E)(1)(b)]

r. The NOx authorized account representative shall submit quarterly reports covering the period May 1 through September 30 of each year and including the data described in 40 CFR 75.74(c)(6). The NOx authorized account representative shall submit such quarterly reports, beginning with the calendar quarter covering May 1 through June 30, 2003. The NOx authorized account representative shall submit each quarterly report to the Administrator within thirty days following the end of the calendar quarter covered by the report. Quarterly reports shall be submitted in the manner specified in 40 CFR Part 75, Subpart H.
[OAC rules 3745-14-08(E)(4)(b) and 3745-14-08(E)(4)(c)(i)]

t. The NOx authorized account representative for a NOx budget unit shall submit written notice of monitoring system certification and re-certification test dates to the Director and the Administrator in accordance with 40 CFR Part 75.61. The NOx authorized account representative shall submit a certification application to the Administrator, U.S. EPA, Region V Office, and the Director within forty-five days after completing all initial or re-certification tests required under paragraph (B) of OAC rule 3745-14-08, including the information required under Subpart H of 40 CFR Part 75.
[OAC rules 3745-14-08(D) and 3745-14-08(E)(3)]

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

u. For each control period in which one or more NOx budget units at a source are subject to the NOx budget emission limitation, the NOx authorized account representative of the source shall submit to the Director and the Administrator, by November 30 of that year, a compliance certification report for each source covering all such units. The NOx authorized account representative shall include the following elements in the compliance certification report, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, concerning each unit at the source and subject to the NOx budget emission limitation for the control period covered by the report:

i. identification of each NOx budget unit;

ii. at the NOx authorized account representative's option, the serial numbers of the NOx allowances that are to be deducted from each unit's compliance account under paragraph (E) of OAC rule 3745-14-06 for the control period;

iii. at the NOx authorized account representative's option, for units sharing a common stack and having NOx emissions that are not monitored separately or apportioned in accordance with OAC rule 3745-14-08, the percentage of allowances that is to be deducted from each unit's compliance account under paragraph (E)(5) of OAC rule 3745-14-06; and

iv. the compliance certification under paragraph (A)(3) of OAC rule 3745-14-04.

[OAC rules 3745-14-04(A)(1) and 3745-14-04(A)(2)]

v. In the compliance certification report under Section A.2.u.iv above, the NOx authorized account representative shall certify, based upon reasonable inquiry of those persons with the primary responsibility for operating the source and the NOx budget units at the source in compliance with the NOx budget trading program, whether each NOx budget unit for which the compliance certification is submitted was operated during the calendar year covered by the report in compliance with the requirements of the NOx budget trading program applicable to the unit, including all the following:

i. whether the unit was operated in compliance with the NOx budget emission limitation;

ii. whether the monitoring plan that governs the unit has been maintained to reflect the actual operation and monitoring of the unit, and contains all information necessary to attribute NOx emissions to the unit, in accordance with OAC rule 3745-14-08;

iii. whether all the NOx emissions from the unit, or group of units (including the unit) using a common stack, were monitored or accounted for through the missing data procedures and reported in the quarterly monitoring reports, including whether conditional data were reported in the quarterly reports in accordance with OAC rule 3745-14-08, and if conditional data were reported, the permittee shall indicate whether the status of all conditional data has been resolved and all necessary quarterly report submissions have been made; and

iv. whether the facts that form the basis for certification under OAC rule 3745-14-08 of each monitor at the unit or group of units (including the unit) using a common stack, or for using an excepted monitoring method or alternative monitoring method approved under OAC rule 3745-14-08, if any, have changed.

If a change is required to be reported under Section A.2.u.iv above, specify the nature of the change, the reason for the change, when the change occurred, and how the unit's compliance status was determined subsequent to the change, including what method was used to determine emissions when a change mandated the need for monitor re-certification.
[OAC rule 3745-14-04(A)(3)]

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

w. The NOx authorized account representative shall submit a complete NOx budget permit renewal application for the NOx budget source covering the NOx budget units at the source in accordance with paragraph (E) of OAC rule 3745-77-08.
[OAC rule 3745-14-03(B)(3)(a)]

x. The emission measurements recorded and reported in accordance with OAC rule 3745-14-08 shall be used to determine compliance by the unit with the NOx budget emission limitation under paragraph (E)(3) of OAC rule 3745-14-01.
[OAC rule 3745-14-01(E)(2)(b)]

3. The following insignificant emissions units are located at this facility:

B001 - 151902, 5.6 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B002 - 151901, 5.6 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B011 - 141793, 6.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B012 - 141793, 6.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B013 - 141791, 6.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B014 - 202452, Woody Hayes/Biggs Athletic, 3.9 mmBtu/hr boiler
B015 - 202453, Woody Hayes/Biggs Athletic, 3.9 mmBtu/hr boiler
B025 - 146194, 5.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B026 - 118933, 6.8 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B027 - 118932, 6.8 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B029 - 131512, 5.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B030 - 131511, 5.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B035 - 151910, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B036 - 151909, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B039 - 146182, 8.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B040 - 146181, 8.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B041 - 138350, 4.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B042 - 131538, 4.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B043 - 122185, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B044 - 122184, 5.9 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B045 - 122183, 5.9 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B046 - 122182, 5.9 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B047 - 122181, 5.9 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B048 - 146158, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B049 - 146157, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B050 - 146156, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

B051 - 146155, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B052 - 146154, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B054 - 146152, 4.0 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B056 - 146192, 5.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B057 - 146191, 5.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B064 - 140493, 4.3 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B065 - 140492, 4.3 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B066 - 140491, 4.3 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B074 - 135641, 6.7 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B076 - 151917, 1.5 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B079 - 151914, 7.5 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B080 - 135635, 4.3 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B081 - 135634, 4.3 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B082 - 135633, 4.3 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B083 - 135632, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B084 - 135631, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B085 - 133469, 4.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B086 - 134815, 4.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B087 - 134814, 4.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B088 - 140496, 3.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B089 - 140497, 3.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B090 - 135646, 6.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B091 - 135645, 6.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B092 - 135644, 4.1 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B093 - 123422, 4.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B094 - 123423, 4.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B095 - 114902, 4.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B096 - 130477, 4.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B097 - 123421, 4.4 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B098 - 123605, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B099 - 123604, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B100 - 123603, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B106 - Biotech boiler

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section (continued)

B110 - 107237, Sisson Hall, 2.4 mmBtu/hr boiler
B111 - 107238, Sisson Hall, 2.4 mmBtu/hr boiler
B112 - 138363, 5.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B113 - 138634, 5.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B128 - Mount Hall, 3.15 mmBtu/hr boiler
B129 - 160863, Mount Hall, 3.15 mmBtu/hr boiler
B130 - 6-171498, 4.2 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
B133 - OSU Hospital behind Rhodes, 2000 KW emergency generator (permit to install 01-6073)
B145 - 117607, Animal Science small boiler
B146 - 117609, Animal Science small boiler
B147 - 151916, Animal Science small boiler
B148 - 204475, Child Care 1
B149 - 204476, Child Care 2
B150 - 204477, Child Care 3
B151 - 204478, Child Care 4
B152 - 204479, Child Care 5
B153 - 204480, Child Care 6
B154 - 204481, Child Care 7
B155 - 125941, Scott House 1, 8.37 mmBtu/hr natural gas boiler
B156 - 125942, Scott House 2, 8.37 mmBtu/hr natural gas boiler
B157 - 125943, Scott House 3, 8.37 mmBtu/hr natural gas boiler
B158 - 204482, Child Care 8
B159 - 204483, Child Care 9
B160 - 204484, Child Care 10
B165 - 135636, Lincoln Tower
B166 - 135637, Lincoln Tower
B167 - 135638, Lincoln Tower
B168 - 135639, Lincoln Tower
B169 - 135640, Lincoln Tower

B170 - 188013, Taylor Tower 1
B171 - 188014, Taylor Tower 2
B172 - 141777, Newton Hall
B176 - 395/M, 1275 Kinnear Rd., 5.8 mmBtu/hr natural gas boiler
B177 - 395/M, 1275 Kinnear Rd., 5.8 mmBtu/hr natural gas boiler
G006 - 16G, gasoline dispensing facility (permit to install 01-08470)
P903 - McCracken DG, diesel generator (permit to install 01-6745)
T001 - McCracken oil tank no. 1, no. 2 fuel oil, 50,000 gallons
T002 - McCracken oil tank no. 2, no. 2 fuel oil, 50,000 gallons
T003 - McCracken oil tank no. 3, no. 2 fuel oil, 50,000 gallons
T004 - McCracken oil tank no. 4, no. 2 fuel oil, 50,000 gallons
T005 - McCracken oil tank no. 5, no. 2 fuel oil, 50,000 gallons
T006 - McCracken oil tank no. 6, no. 2 fuel oil, 40,000 gallons
T007 - McCracken oil tank no. 7, no. 2 fuel oil, 40,000 gallons
T008 - McCracken oil tank no. 8, no. 2 fuel oil, 40,000 gallons
T009 - McCracken oil tank no. 9, no. 2 fuel oil, 40,000 gallons
T011 - McCracken oil tank no. 11, no. 2 fuel oil, 30,000 gallons
T012 - McCracken oil tank no. 12, no. 2 fuel oil, 30,000 gallons
T021 - OSU Hospital behind Rhodes, 15,000-gallon diesel UST
Z001 - Ad Administration, 86959, 86961, 86962
Z054 - Newton Hall, 6.5 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
Z195 - Biotech B, 5.23 mmBtu/hr, natural gas boiler
Z220 - McCracken truck traffic/coal handling plant roadway
Z221 - sawdust collection

Each insignificant emissions unit at this facility must comply with all applicable State and federal regulations, and well as any emission limitations and/or control requirements contained within the identified permit to install for the emissions unit. Insignificant emissions units listed above that are not subject to specific permit to install requirements are subject to one or more of the applicable requirements contained in the federally-approved versions of OAC Chapters 3745-17, 3745-18, and 3745-21.

B. State Only Enforceable Section

1. The following insignificant emissions units located at this facility are exempt from permit requirements because they are not subject to any applicable requirements (as defined in OAC rule 3745-77-01(H)) or because they meet the "de minimis" criteria established in OAC rule 3745-15-05:

G002 - 8G-GDF, gasoline dispensing facility
G003 - 9G-GDF, gasoline dispensing facility
G007 - 18G, gasoline dispensing facility
G008 - 19G, gasoline dispensing facility
G009 - 20G, gasoline dispensing facility
T015 - Neuropsychiatric facility, 4000-gallon UST
T016 - Riffe, 2000-gallon diesel UST
T018 - James Cancer Hospital, 3000-gallon AST
T019 - Agronomy field HQ, ASTs (1000-gallon diesel, 500-gallon gas, 500-gallon kerosene)
T020 - Kinnear, 2500-gallon diesel UST
T022 - McCracken, No. 2 fuel oil day tank
T025 - 85,Goss Lab, 5000-gallon diesel fuel oil storage tank
T026 - 65, Hamilton Hall, 1000-gallon diesel fuel oil storage tank
T031 - 02, Maintenance building, 2,500-gallon diesel storage tank
T032 - 48, Mershon, 1000-gallon diesel storage tank
T033 - 26, Service Building Annex, 10,000-gallon diesel storage tank
T034 - 27, Service Building Annex, 1000-gallon used oil storage tank
T035 - 62, Sisson Hall, 2000-gallon diesel storage tank
T039 - Fawcett Center, 2000-gallon No. 2 fuel oil storage tank
T040 - Fawcett Center, 2000-gallon No. 2 fuel oil storage tank
T041 - 85, Telecommunication building storage tank
T042 - Dodd/Davis Hall, 1000-gallon diesel storage tank
T043 - Dodd/Davis Hall, 2500-gallon diesel storage tank

B. State Only Enforceable Section (continued)

Z004 - Allied Medical EG, emergency generator
Z005 - McPherson Lab EG, emergency generator
Z006 - Starling/Loving, emergency generator
Z008 - Wisemen EG, emergency generator
Z009 - Lazenby EG, emergency generator
Z010 - Comprehensive Cancer EG, emergency generator
Z011 - Schottentstein EG, emergency generator
Z012 - Bioscience 2, emergency generator
Z013 - Bioscience 3, emergency generator
Z014 - Parker Food Science EG, 280 KW emergency generator
Z015 - Wiseman EG 2, 275 KW emergency generator
Z016 - Wiseman EG 3, 275 KW emergency generator
Z017 - Ohio Stadium EG, 33 KW emergency generator
Z018 - Chase dynamometer
Z019 - Room 180 dynamometer
Z021 - Graves Hall EG, emergency generator
Z027 - Hamilton Hall EG, emergency generator
Z028 - Postlehall EG, emergency generator
Z029 - prior health/science EG, emergency generator
Z032 - Dodd Hall/Davis, emergency generator
Z034 - Rightmirehall SE, emergency generator
Z035 - Rightmirehall PH, emergency generator
Z036 - Rightmirehall NE, emergency generator
Z037 - Animal EG 1, emergency generator
Z038 - Animal EG 2, emergency generator
Z039 - Animal EG 3, emergency generator
Z040 - Goss EG, emergency generator
Z041 - Byrd Research EG, emergency generator
Z042 - Scott Hall EG 1, emergency generator
Z043 - Scott Hall EG 2, emergency generator
Z044 - Blankenship EG, emergency generator
Z045 - St. Johns EG, emergency generator
Z046 - Fisher Hall, emergency generator
Z047 - Lincoln EG, emergency generator
Z048 - Fawcett EG, emergency generator
Z049 - McCampbell 2, emergency generator
Z050 - Raney Commons EG, emergency generator
Z051 - North Commons EG, emergency generator
Z052 - Veterinary Medicine Academic Bldg., 370 KW emergency generator
Z053 - Chemical Engineering Storage Bldg. EG, 35 KW emergency generator
Z056 - Hitchcock Hall, emergency generator
Z058 - Print Facility 1,140496, small printing press

B. State Only Enforceable Section (continued)

Z059 - Print Facility 2, 140497, small printing press
Z060 - Print Facility 3, 140498, small printing press
Z101 - 047/outside, EG1, emergency generator
Z102 - 054/407B, EG2, emergency generator
Z103 - 055/12M, EG3, emergency generator
Z105 - 078/main shop area, EG4, emergency generator
Z106 - 078/main shop area on first floor, maintenance activities
Z107 - 080/043M, EG5, emergency generator
Z108 - lab 1, 089/0309, Doan Hall, Histology Lab
Z109 - lab 2, 089/0309A, Doan Hall, Histology Lab
Z112 - RhodesHall/Powerplant EG #3, 2000 KW emergency generator
Z113 - RhodesHall/Powerplant EG #4, 2000 KW emergency generator
Z116 - 094/bldg, EG10, emergency generator
Z117 - 094/bldg, EG11, emergency generator
Z122 - Neuropsychiatric facility, EG15, emergency generator
Z141 - 183/maint shop, Royer Center maintenance facility
Z142 - Drackett EG, emergency generator
Z144 - Riffle EG, emergency generator
Z145 - Jones EG, emergency generator
Z148 - Taylor EG, emergency generator
Z149 - Morrill EG, emergency generator
Z150 - 274/011, Hitchcock Hall, offset printing machine
Z151 - 274/011, Hitchcock Hall, offset printing machine
Z152 - 274/011, Hitchcock Hall, offset printing machine
Z153 - Graves EG, emergency generator
Z154 - Dreese EG, emergency generator
Z155 - Fawcett Center, printing press
Z156 - 113/EG at Heart and Lung Institute, 1250 KW emergency generator
Z157 - bldg290-1, Polychrome developing machine
Z158 - bldg290-2, Polychrome developing machine
Z159 - bldg290-3, 12" ITEK graphics machine
Z160 - bldg290-4, 15" ITEK graphics machine
Z161 - bldg290-5, printing press
Z162 - bldg290-6, printing press
Z163 - bldg290-7, web printing room, Goss Suburban - 8 unit printing press
Z164 - bldg290-8, duplicating room, Multi 1860 offset
Z165 - bldg290-9, printing press

B. State Only Enforceable Section (continued)

Z166 - bldg290-10, printing press
Z167 - bldg290-11, printing press
Z168 - bldg290-12, printing press
Z169 - bldg290-13, printing press
Z170 - bldg290-14, printing press
Z171 - bldg290-15, printing press
Z173 - DrakePwCv, emergency generator
Z174 - HHGreen EG, emergency generator
Z175 - AgEng EG, emergency generator
Z176 - VetETO1, ETO sterilizer
Z177 - VetETO2, ETO sterilizer
Z178 - VetEG, emergency generator
Z179 - UnHospEG, emergency generator
Z180 - Rightmire EG, emergency generator
Z184 - 354/0324S, Rhodes Hall, clinical chemistry, hood #7
Z185 - 354/326S, Rhodes Hall, clinical chemistry, hood #5
Z187 - Cancer Ct EG, 275 KW emergency generator
Z188 - Kinnear EG1, emergency generator
Z189 - Kinnear EG2, emergency generator
Z191 - Celeste EG, emergency generator
Z192 - Celeste Hood 14, 372/1128, drug development, hood #14
Z194 - Wexner paint, 386/61, Painting room
Z198 - 951/1314, 1315 Kinnear Rd., Bio. Collections Museum, storage
Z199 - 951/1686, 1315 Kinnear Rd., Bio. Collections Museum, Fish
Z201 - Neuman EG, emergency generator
Z202 - 16Ga, gasoline dispensing facility
Z203 - 284/005, Fawcett Center, OEPA/Development Mail Center
Z204 - 294/140E, Wilce Student Health Center, X-ray developer
Z205 - MathTower EG, emergency generator
Z207 - MedRes EG, emergency generator
Z211 - 354/0306S, Rhodes Hall, Hood
Z212 - James EG 1, emergency generator
Z213 - James EG 2, emergency generator
Z215 - gen #1, emergency generator
Z216 - gen #2, emergency generator
Z224 - McCracken Emergency Generator #2, 21.5 mmBtu/hr emergency generator
Z225 - McCracken Emergency Generator #3, 21.5 mmBtu/hr emergency generator
Z226 - McCracken Emergency Generator #2, 600-gallon storage tank
Z227 - McCracken Emergency Generator #3, 600-gallon storage tank

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: 147997 (B104)

Activity Description: Scott Hall, Carmack, 10.4 mmBTU/hr boiler

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
10.4 mmBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler - Boiler #104	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, see A.I.2.a below.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The provisions specified in paragraphs (D), (F), and (G) of OAC rule 3745-18-06 do not apply to this emissions unit; therefore, there is no SO2 emission limitation from OAC Chapter 3745-18 for this emissions unit.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitation(s) in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following method(s):

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
If required, compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by multiplying the maximum natural gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (10,400 CF/hr) by the AP-42 (Table 1.4-5, 7/98) emission factor for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs/mmCF) and dividing by the maximum heat input capacity of the emissions unit (10.4 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: 147996 (B105)

Activity Description: 310/024M, Scott Hall, Cleaver Brooks boiler, 10.4 mmBTU/hr boiler

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
10.4 mmBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler - Boiler #105	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, see A.I.2.a below.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The provisions specified in paragraphs (D), (F), and (G) of OAC rule 3745-18-06 do not apply to this emissions unit; therefore, there is no SO2 emission limitation from OAC Chapter 3745-18 for this emissions unit.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitation(s) in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following method(s):

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
If required, compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by multiplying the maximum natural gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (10,400 CF/hr) by the AP-42 (Table 1.4-5, 7/98) emission factor for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs/mmCF) and dividing by the maximum heat input capacity of the emissions unit (10.4 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: BOILER #6 (B121)

Activity Description: BOILER #6

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
150 mmBtu/hr natural gas, number 2 fuel oil and number 6 fuel oil-fired boiler - Boiler # 6	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-645)	The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rules 3745-31-05(C), 3745-17-10(B)(1), 3745-17-10(C)(1), and 3745-17-07(A).
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas or number 2 fuel oil.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06(D)	When firing number 2 or number 6 fuel oil, sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 1.6 lbs/mmBtu actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rules 3745-21-08(B) and 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-05(C) (PTI 01-645)	See A.II.2 through A.II.5 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(C)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.18 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 6 fuel oil.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The design of the emissions unit and the technology associated with the current operating practices will satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas, number 2 fuel oil or number 6 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

2. The annual number 6 fuel oil usage shall not exceed 510,000 gallons per rolling, 12-month period for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined.

3. The permittee shall not simultaneously fire multiple fuels in this emissions unit during the production of steam.

4. Sulfur dioxide emissions from the combustion of number 6 fuel oil shall not exceed 39.96 tons per year for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, as a rolling, 12-month summation.

5. The quality of the number 6 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content of one (1.0) percent or less. A higher sulfur content for the number 6 fuel oil may be used provided that the usage, in gallons, is reduced to maintain a sulfur dioxide emission rate of less than 39.96 tons per year for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, as a rolling, 12-month summation.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain daily records of any time during which this emissions unit simultaneously fired multiple fuels during the production of steam.

2. The permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample for each shipment of any oil that is received for burning in this emission unit. The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with the following ASTM methods: ASTM method D4294 for sulfur content and ASTM method D240 for heat content. Alternative, equivalent methods may be used upon approval by the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

3. For each shipment of any oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

4. The permittee shall collect and record the following information on a monthly basis for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined:

a. the amount of number 6 fuel oil burned, in gallons; and

b. the rolling, 12-month summation of the amount of number 6 fuel oil burned, in gallons.

5. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, number 2 fuel oil, or number 6 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

6. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the sulfur dioxide emission rate, when burning number 6 fuel oil, in tons of sulfur dioxide per year as a rolling, 12-month summation, for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, calculated in accordance with Section A.V.1.f of this permit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall perform daily checks for visible emissions from the stack serving this emissions unit when burning number 6 fuel oil. The presence or absence of any visible emissions shall be noted in an operations log. If visible emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;
b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;
c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operations, the cause of the abnormal emissions;
d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and
e. any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions.

If visible emissions are present, a visible emission incident has occurred. The observer does not have to document the exact start and end times for the visible emission incident under item (d) above or continue the daily check until the incident has ended. The observer may indicate that the visible emission incident was continuous during the observation period (or, if known, continuous during the operation of the emissions unit). With respect to the documentation of corrective actions, the observer may indicate that no corrective actions were taken if the visible emissions were representative of normal operations, or specify the minor corrective actions that were taken to ensure that the emissions unit continued to operate under normal conditions, or specify the corrective actions that were taken to eliminate abnormal visible emissions.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows an exceedance of the lbs of sulfur dioxide/mmBtu emission limitation, based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rates from Section A.III.3. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas, number 2 fuel oil or number 6 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

3. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the number 6 fuel oil usage limitation and/or sulfur dioxide emission limitation for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

4. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify any time during which this emissions unit simultaneously fired multiple fuels during the production of steam. These reports shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

5. The permittee shall submit semiannual written reports that (a) identify all days during which visible particulate emissions were observed from the stack serving this emissions unit when burning number 6 fuel oil and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible particulate emissions. These reports shall be submitted to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) by January 30 and July 30 of each year and shall cover the previous 6-month period.

6. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows an exceedance of the sulfur content limitation specified in Section A.II.5. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing natural gas, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum hourly gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (145,631 CF/hr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-2 (7/98) for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs of particulates/mmCF), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (150 mmBtu/hr).

When firing number two fuel oil, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum number 2 fuel oil burning capacity of the emissions unit (1071.5 gal/hr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.3-1 (9/98) for filterable particulates in number 2 fuel oil combustion (2 lbs of particulates/1000 gal), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (150 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9) while firing number 2 fuel oil.

1.c Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not to exceed 0.18 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 6 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing number 6 fuel oil, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by dividing the maximum number 6 fuel oil burning capacity of the emissions unit (1071.5 gal/hr) by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (150 mmBtu/hr) and multiplying by the emission factor for particulate emissions from the following equation found in AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.3-1 (9/98) for filterable particulates in number 6 fuel oil combustion:

$$9.19(S) + 3.22 = EF$$

Where:
S = sulfur content (%)
EF = emission factor (lbs PE/1000 gallons)

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9) while firing number 6 fuel oil.

1.d Compliance with the sulfur content limitation specified in Section A.II.5 shall be based on the record keeping in Section A.III.3.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.e Emission Limitation:
When firing number 2 or number 6 fuel oil, sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 1.6 lbs/mmBtu actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation may be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 6 fuel oil.

1.f Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide emissions from number 6 fuel oil shall not exceed 39.96 tons per year for boiler number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, as a rolling, 12-month summation.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined for sulfur dioxide, from number 6 fuel oil, as provided below:

(the average sulfur dioxide emission rate, for the rolling, 12-month period, in lb/mmBtu, from Section A.III.3) X (the average of the heat content data for the number 6 fuel oil for the rolling, 12-month period, from Section A.III.3, in mmBtu/gallon) X (the updated rolling, 12-month number 6 fuel oil usage rate, in gallons, from Section A.III.4.b)/2000.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

1. Ambient air quality impacts from the shut down and removal of emissions unit B121 are being used as ambient air quality impact credits towards the increase in ambient air quality impacts associated with emissions unit B142. Therefore, at no time shall both emissions units B121 and B142 operate at the same time.

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: BOILER #7 (B122)

Activity Description: BOILER #7

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
150 mmBtu/hr natural gas, number 2 fuel oil and number 6 fuel oil-fired boiler - Boiler # 7	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-645)	The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rules 3745-31-05(C), 3745-17-10(B)(1), 3745-17-10(C)(1), and 3745-17-07(A).
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas or number 2 fuel oil.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06(D)	When firing number 2 or number 6 fuel oil, sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 1.6 lbs/mmBtu actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rules 3745-21-08(B) and 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-05(C) (PTI 01-645)	See A.II.2 through A.II.5 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(C)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.18 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 6 fuel oil.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The design of the emissions unit and the technology associated with the current operating practices will satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas, number 2 fuel oil or number 6 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

2. The annual number 6 fuel oil usage shall not exceed 510,000 gallons per rolling, 12-month period for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined.

3. The permittee shall not simultaneously fire multiple fuels in this emissions unit during the production of steam.

4. Sulfur dioxide emissions from the combustion of number 6 fuel oil shall not exceed 39.96 tons per year for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, as a rolling, 12-month summation.

5. The quality of the number 6 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content of one (1.0) percent or less. A higher sulfur content for the number 6 fuel oil may be used provided that the usage, in gallons, is reduced to maintain a sulfur dioxide emission rate of less than 39.96 tons per year for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, as a rolling, 12-month summation.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain daily records of any time during which this emissions unit simultaneously fired multiple fuels during the production of steam.

2. The permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample for each shipment of any oil that is received for burning in this emission unit. The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with the following ASTM methods: ASTM method D4294 for sulfur content and ASTM method D240 for heat content. Alternative, equivalent methods may be used upon approval by the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

3. For each shipment of any oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

4. The permittee shall collect and record the following information on a monthly basis for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined:

a. the amount of number 6 fuel oil burned, in gallons; and

b. the rolling, 12-month summation of the amount of number 6 fuel oil burned, in gallons.

5. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, number 2 fuel oil, or number 6 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

6. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the sulfur dioxide emission rate, when burning number 6 fuel oil, in tons of sulfur dioxide per year as a rolling, 12-month summation, for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, calculated in accordance with Section A.V.1.f of this permit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall perform daily checks for visible emissions from the stack serving this emissions unit when burning number 6 fuel oil. The presence or absence of any visible emissions shall be noted in an operations log. If visible emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;
b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;
c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operations, the cause of the abnormal emissions;
d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and
e. any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions.

If visible emissions are present, a visible emission incident has occurred. The observer does not have to document the exact start and end times for the visible emission incident under item (d) above or continue the daily check until the incident has ended. The observer may indicate that the visible emission incident was continuous during the observation period (or, if known, continuous during the operation of the emissions unit). With respect to the documentation of corrective actions, the observer may indicate that no corrective actions were taken if the visible emissions were representative of normal operations, or specify the minor corrective actions that were taken to ensure that the emissions unit continued to operate under normal conditions, or specify the corrective actions that were taken to eliminate abnormal visible emissions.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows an exceedance of the lbs of sulfur dioxide/mmBtu emission limitation, based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rates from Section A.III.3. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas, number 2 fuel oil or number 6 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

3. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the number 6 fuel oil usage limitation and/or sulfur dioxide emission limitation for boilers number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

4. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify any time during which this emissions unit simultaneously fired multiple fuels during the production of steam. These reports shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

5. The permittee shall submit semiannual written reports that (a) identify all days during which visible particulate emissions were observed from the stack serving this emissions unit when burning number 6 fuel oil and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the particulate emissions. These reports shall be submitted to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) by January 30 and July 30 of each year and shall cover the previous 6-month period.

6. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows an exceedance of the sulfur content limitation specified in Section A.II.5. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing natural gas, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum hourly gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (145,631 CF/hr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-2 (7/98) for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs of particulates/mmCF), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (150 mmBtu/hr).

When firing number two fuel oil, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum number 2 fuel oil burning capacity of the emissions unit (1071.5 gal/hr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.3-1 (9/98) for filterable particulates in number 2 fuel oil combustion (2 lbs of particulates/1000 gal), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (150 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9) while firing number 2 fuel oil.

1.c Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not to exceed 0.18 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 6 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing number 6 fuel oil, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by dividing the maximum number 6 fuel oil burning capacity of the emissions unit (1071.5 gal/hr) by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (150 mmBtu/hr) and multiplying by the emission factor for particulate emissions from the following equation found in AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.3-1 (9/98) for filterable particulates in number 6 fuel oil combustion:

$$9.19(S) + 3.22 = EF$$

Where:
S = sulfur content (%)
EF = emission factor (lbs PE/1000 gallons)

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9) while firing number 6 fuel oil.

1.d Compliance with the sulfur content limitation specified in Section A.II.5 shall be based on the record keeping in Section A.III.3.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.e Emission Limitation:
When firing number 2 or number 6 fuel oil, sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 1.6 lbs/mmBtu actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation may be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 6 fuel oil.

1.f Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide emissions from number 6 fuel oil shall not exceed 39.96 tons per year for boiler number 6 and number 7 (emissions units B121 and B122, respectively) combined, as a rolling, 12-month summation.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined for sulfur dioxide, from number 6 fuel oil, as provided below:

(the average sulfur dioxide emission rate, for the rolling, 12-month period, in lb/mmBtu, from Section A.III.3) X (the average of the heat content data for the number 6 fuel oil for the rolling, 12-month period, from Section A.III.3, in mmBtu/gallon) X (the updated rolling, 12-month number 6 fuel oil usage rate, in gallons, from Section A.III.4.b)/2000.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

1. Ambient air quality impacts from the shut down and removal of emissions unit B122 are being used as ambient air quality impact credits towards the increase in ambient air quality impacts associated with emissions unit B143. Therefore, at no time shall both emissions units B122 and B143 operate at the same time.

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: BOILER #8 (B131)

Activity Description: BOILER #8

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
181 mmBtu/hr coal-fired boiler with auxiliary natural gas-fired burner, controlled with an oxygen analyzer, dry scrubber and baghouse - Boiler #8	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-572)	When burning coal, particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input and 7.24 lbs/hr. Particulate emissions shall not exceed 24.8 tons/yr. When burning coal, nitrogen oxides emissions shall not exceed 0.6 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input and 108.6 lbs/hr. Nitrogen oxides emissions shall not exceed 474.36 tons/yr. When burning coal, sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 0.87 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input and 157.5 lbs/hr. Sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 687.83 tons/yr. When burning coal, carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 15.5 lbs/hr. Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 67.61 tons/yr.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
		The following emission limitations only apply when burning coal: Lead emissions shall not exceed 0.1 lb/hr and 0.44 ton/yr. Beryllium emissions shall not exceed 0.015 lb/hr and 0.07 ton/yr. Mercury (Hg) emissions shall not exceed 0.003 lb/hr and 0.02 ton/yr. Fluoride emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/hr and 0.0182 ton/yr. Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, except for a period of not more than 3 minutes in any 60-minute period, but shall not exceed 60% opacity, at any time. See A.II.1-5 below. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1).
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	The emission limitations specified by this rule are less stringent than the emission limitation established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).
	OAC rule 3745-18-06(E)	The emission limitation specified by this rule is less stringent than the emission limitation established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(C)(1)	The emission limitation specified by this rule is less stringent than the emission limitation established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).
	OAC rules 3745-21-08(B) and 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning natural gas.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The permittee has satisfied the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively by committing to comply with the best available technology requirements established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Install 01-572.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall vent emissions to a dry scrubber followed by a baghouse.

2. The permittee shall operate and maintain an oxygen analyzer to assure complete combustion in this emissions unit.

3. The quality of the coal burned in this emissions unit shall meet the following specifications on an "as-received" basis:

a. less than 14% ash, by weight;

b. a sulfur content which is sufficient to comply with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation of 0.87 pound sulfur dioxide/mmBtu of actual heat input at the scrubber exhaust; and

c. greater than 11,700 Btu/pound of coal.

Compliance with the above-mentioned specifications shall be determined based upon the analytical results for the monthly composite sample of coal collected from each shipment of coal received during the calendar month.

4. The annual coal usage shall not exceed 53,000 tons per calendar year.

5. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when the emissions unit is in operation.

6. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the sulfur dioxide emission rate in lbs of sulfur dioxide/mmBtu from this emissions unit when the emissions unit is in operation.

7. The pressure drop across the baghouse shall be maintained within the range of 4-8 inches of water while the emissions unit is in operation, while burning coal.

8. The permittee shall burn only natural gas or coal in this emissions unit.

9. The auxiliary natural gas-fired burner shall only be used during periods of boiler start-up, coal handling sytem malfunctions, boiler shutdown, and when sulfur dioxide emissions are marginal (based upon the data from the continuous sulfur dioxide monitoring system).

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall collect a representative sample of each shipment of coal which is received for burning. The coal sampling shall be performed in accordance with ASTM method D2234, Collection of a Gross Sample of Coal. At the end of each calendar month, the representative samples of coal from all shipments of coal which were received during that calendar month shall be combined into one composite sample.

Each monthly composite sample of coal shall be analyzed for ash content (percent), sulfur content (percent), and heat content (Btu/pound of coal). The analytical methods for ash content, sulfur content and heat content shall be: ASTM method D3174, Ash in the Analysis of Coal and Coke; ASTM method D3177, Total Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke or ASTM method D4239, Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke Using HighTemperature Tube Furnace Combustion Methods; and ASTM method D5865, Gross CalorificValue of Coal and Coke, respectively. Alternative, equivalent methods may be used upon written approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the following:

a. the total quantity of coal received (tons);

b. the total quantity of coal burned (tons);

c. the average ash content (percent) of the coal received;

d. the average sulfur content (percent) of the coal received;

e. the average heat content (Btu/pound) of the coal received;

f. the total quantity of natural gas burned (CF); and

g. the total operating time for the emissions unit (hrs).

3. The permittee shall maintain annual records of the following:

a. the total quantity of coal burned (tons); and

b. the total operating time of the emissions unit (hrs).

4. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to monitor the pressure drop across the baghouse while the emissions unit is in operation. The monitoring equipment shall be installed, calibrated, operated, and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations, instructions, and operating manual(s). The permittee shall record the pressure drop across the baghouse on daily basis.

5. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas and/or coal, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

6. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR 60.13.

Each continuous monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain a certification letter from the Ohio EPA documenting that the continuous opacity monitoring system has been certified in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system: percent opacity on a 6-minute block average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record sulfur dioxide emissions from this emissions unit in units of the applicable standard(s) when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.

Each continuous monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, sample conditioning hardware, analyzers, and data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain on-site documentation from the USEPA or the Ohio EPA that the continuous sulfur dioxide monitoring system has been certified in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous sulfur dioxide monitoring system: emissions of sulfur dioxide in lb/mmBtu actual heat input on an hourly average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments. In addition, the permittee shall maintain daily records of the total actual heat input values as determined from the weight of the coal and the monthly average heating values.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify each month during which records were not maintained for the amount of coal burned or the quantity of coal received.

2. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify any record showing an exceedance of the coal ash content limitation or the heat content limitation.

3. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify any record showing an exceedance of the 0.87 pound sulfur dioxide/mmBtu of actual heat input limitation.

4. The permittee shall submit quarterly pressure drop deviation (excursion) reports that identify all periods of time during which the pressure drop across the baghouse did not comply with the allowable range specified above, while burning coal.

5. The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

6. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total amount of coal used and the total particulate, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, lead, beryllium, Hg, and fluoride emissions for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

7. The permittee shall submit reports following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting all instances of opacity values in excess of the limitations specified above, detailing the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude (percent opacity), reason (if known), and corrective actions taken (if any) of each 6-minute block average above the applicable opacity limitation(s).

The reports shall also identify any continuous opacity monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions unit and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line shall be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report. These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

IV. Reporting Requirements (continued)

8. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting the date, commencement and completion time, duration, magnitude, reason (if known), and corrective actions taken (if any), of all 3-hour average sulfur dioxide values in excess of the applicable sulfur dioxide emission rate (lb/mmBtu) and/or of all 1-hour readings which are equal to or greater than the span of the analyzer.

The reports shall also document any continuous sulfur dioxide monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason), along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions unit and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line shall also be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report. These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, except for a period of not more than 3 minutes in any 60-minute period, but shall not exceed 60% opacity, at any time.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be based on the data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system.

Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

1.b Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input and 7.24 lbs/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The permittee shall conduct, or have conducted, emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with the following requirements:

i. The emission testing shall be conducted every 2.5 years from the date of the most recent emission test that demonstrated the emissions unit was in compliance.

ii. The emission testing shall be conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate emission limitations.

iii. The following test methods shall be employed to demonstrate compliance with the allowable mass emission rate(s): 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5. Alternative U.S. EPA-approved test methods may be used with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

iv. The test(s) shall be conducted while the emissions unit is burning coal and operating at or near its maximum capacity, unless otherwise specified or approved by the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.c Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 24.8 tons/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and coal emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-2 (7/98) for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs of particulates/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

The annual coal emissions shall be determined by multiplying the emission factor established during the most recent emission tests that demonstrated that the emissions unit was in compliance (lbs PE/mmBtu) by the amount of coal used (lbs/yr) and by the average annual coal heating value (Btu/lb) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and by 2000 lbs/ton.

1.d Emission Limitations:
Nitrogen oxides emissions shall not exceed 0.6 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input and 108.6 lbs/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The permittee shall conduct, or have conducted, emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with the following requirements:

i. The emission testing shall be conducted every 2.5 years from the date of the most recent emission test that demonstrated the emissions unit was in compliance.

ii. The emission testing shall be conducted to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitations for nitrogen oxides.

iii. The following test methods shall be employed to demonstrate compliance with the allowable mass emission rates: 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 7. Alternative U.S. EPA approved test methods may be used with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

iv. The test(s) shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, unless otherwise specified or approved by the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

1.e Emission Limitation:
Nitrogen oxides emissions shall not exceed 474.36 tons/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and coal emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-1 (2/98) for nitrogen oxides from natural gas combustion (140 lbs of nitrogen oxides/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

The annual coal emissions shall be determined by multiplying the hourly emission rate from the most recent emission test (lb/mmBtu) that demonstrated that the emissions unit was in compliance by the total tons of coal burned (Section A.III.2.b) and by the average annual heat content (Section A.III.2.e) divided by 1,000,000.

1.f Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 0.87 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be based on the data obtained by the continuous sulfur dioxide emission monitoring system and the record keeping in Section A.III.1.

If required, the permittee shall conduct emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.g Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 157.5 lbs/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with this emission limitation can be assumed provided that the permittee demonstrates compliance with the 0.87 lb of sulfur dioxide/mmBtu emission limitation.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6.

1.h Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 687.83 tons/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and coal emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-2 (7/98) for sulfur dioxide from natural gas combustion (0.6 lb of sulfur dioxide/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

The annual coal emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs SO2/mmBtu) by the average annual coal heat content (Btu/lb coal) from Section A.III.2.e by the total annual quantity of coal burned (tons) from Section A.III.3.a and dividing by 1,000,000Btu/mmBtu.

1.i Emission Limitation:
Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 15.5 lbs/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The permittee shall conduct, or have conducted, emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with the following requirements:

i. The emission testing shall be conducted every 2.5 years from the date of the most recent emission test that demonstrated the emissions unit was in compliance.

ii. The emission testing shall be conducted to demonstrate compliance with the carbon monoxide emission limitation.

iii. The following test method shall be employed to demonstrate compliance with the allowable mass emission rate: 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 10. Alternative U.S. EPA approved test methods may be used with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

iv. The test(s) shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, unless otherwise specified or approved by the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

1.j Emission Limitation:
Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 67.61 tons/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and coal emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-1 (2/98) for carbon monoxide from natural gas combustion (84 lbs of carbon monoxide/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

The annual coal emissions shall be determined by multiplying the emission factor established during the most recent stack test (lbs CO/mmBtu) that demonstrated that the emission unit was in compliance by the total quantity of coal burned (tons/yr) and by the average annual heat content (Btu/lb) divided by 1,000,000.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.k Emission Limitation:
Lead emissions shall not exceed 0.1 lb/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 507 lbs lead/10e12 Btu (AP-42, Table 1.1-17, 9/98) by the maximum heat input capacity of the emissions unit (181 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall conduct emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 12.

1.l Emission Limitation:
Lead emissions shall not exceed 0.44 ton/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum emission rate of 0.1 lb lead/hr by the total annual operating hours from Section A.III.3.b and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

1.m Emission Limitation:
Mercury emissions shall not exceed 0.003 lb/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
This emission limitation was established by multiplying the emission factor of 16 lbs mercury/10e12 Btu (AP-42, Table 1.1-17, 9/98) by the maximum capacity of the emissions unit (181 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall conduct emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 29.

1.n Emission Limitation:
Mercury emissions shall not exceed 0.02 ton/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum emission rate of 0.003 lb/hr by the total annual operating hours from Section A.III.3.b and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

1.o Emission Limitation:
Fluoride emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
This emission limiation was established by multiplying the maximum coal burned per hour, 7.42 tons (per the Title V application submitted 3/15/01) by the emission factor of 0.00054 lb Fluoride/ton coal burned (from AP-42, Supplement 13, Table 1.1, 1982).

If required, the permittee shall conduct emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 13A or 13B.

1.p Emission Limitation:
Fluoride emissions shall not exceed 0.0182 ton/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum emission rate of 0.004 lb flouride/hr by the total annual operating hours from Section A.III.3.b and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.q Emission Limitation:
Beryllium emissions shall not exceed 0.015 lb/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
This emission limitation was established by multiplying the emission factor of 81 lbs beryllium/1e12 Btu (from AP-42, Table 1.1-17, 9/98) by the maximum capacity of the emissions unit (181 mmBtu/hr) by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu.

If required, the permittee shall conduct emission testing for this emissions unit in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 29.

1.r Emission Limitation:
Beryllium emissions shall not exceed 0.07 ton/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum emission rate of 0.015 lb/hr by the total annual operating hours from Section A.III.3.b and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

1.s Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning only natural gas.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum hourly gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (106,796 CF/hr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-2 (7/98) for filterable particulates in natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs of particulates/mmCF), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the natural gas burner (110 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9).

2. Not later than 30 days prior to any proposed test date(s), the permittee shall submit an "Intent to Test" notification to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office. The "Intent to Test" notification shall describe in detail the proposed test methods and procedures, the emissions unit operating parameters, the time(s) and date(s) of the test(s), and the person(s) who will be conducting the test(s). Failure to submit such notification for review and approval prior to the test(s) may result in the Ohio EPA, Central District Office's refusal to accept the results of the emission test(s).

Personnel from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office shall be permitted to witness the test(s), examine the testing equipment, and acquire data and information necessary to ensure that the operation of the emissions unit and the testing procedures provide a valid characterization of the emissions from the emissions unit and/or the performance of the control equipment.

A comprehensive written report on the results of the emission test(s) shall be signed by the person or persons responsible for the tests and submitted to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office within 30 days following completion of the test(s). The permittee may request additional time for the submittal of the written report, where warranted, with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: BOILER #5 (B132)

Activity Description: Replaced original BOILER #5

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
313.1 mmBtu/hr natural gas and 300.1 mmBtu/hr number 2 fuel oil-fired boiler - Boiler #5	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08714)	Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.0196 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.007 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas. PE shall not exceed 17.68 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.056 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.0006 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas. SO2 emissions shall not exceed 37.80 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.009 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.008 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas. VOC emissions shall not exceed 11.40 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
		Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 0.12 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.036 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas. NOx emissions shall not exceed 103.52 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 0.17 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.072 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas. CO emissions shall not exceed 161.04 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db. See A.II.4 below.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06(D) OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1) OAC rule 3745-23-06(C)(1) OAC rule 3745-23-06(C)(2) 40 CFR Part 60.44b	The emission limitations established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05 (A)(3) are more stringent than the emission limitation established by these rules.
	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db	When firing number two fuel oil, visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	When firing natural gas, visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rules 3745-21-08(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-13(D)(1)	See A.I.2.b below.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The permittee has satisfied the "best available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 by committing to comply with the best available technology requirements established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Install 01-08714.

On November 5, 2002, OAC rule 3745-21-08 was revised to delete paragraph (B); therefore, paragraph (B) is no longer part of the State regulations. However, that rule revision has not yet been submitted to the U.S. EPA as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP). Therefore, until the SIP revision occurs and the U.S. EPA approves the revisions to OAC rule 3745-21-08, the requirement to satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" still exists as part of the federally-approved SIP for Ohio.

2.b As a non-profit education institution, the permittee requested and received a discretionary exemption from the Director on March 14, 2003, to exempt this emissions unit from the PSD requirements contained in OAC rules 3745-31-10 through 3745-31-20.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum annual number 2 fuel oil consumption shall not exceed 9,526,500 gallons.

2. The quality of the number 2 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content which is equal to or less than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and is sufficient to comply with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation specified in Section A.I above.

3. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil.

4. The permittee shall operate low NOx burners and employ flue gas recirculation at all times this emissions unit is in operation.

5. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the NOx emissions from this emissions unit when combusting natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

6. The permittee shall burn only natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the oil burned in this emissions unit in accordance with either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2 described below.

a. Alternative 1:

For each shipment of oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample of oil and maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).) A shipment may be comprised of multiple tank truck loads from the same supplier's batch, or may be represented by single or multiple pipeline deliveries from the same supplier's batch, and the quality of the oil for those loads or pipeline deliveries may be represented by a single batch analysis from the supplier.

b. Alternative 2:

The permittee shall collect a representative grab sample of oil that is burned in this emissions unit for each day when the emissions unit is in operation. If additional fuel oil is added to the tank serving this emissions unit on a day when the emissions unit is in operation, the permittee shall collect a sufficient number of grab samples to develop a composite sample representative of the fuel oil burned in this emissions unit. A representative grab sample of oil does not need to be collected on days when this emissions unit is only operated for the purpose of "test-firing." The permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil burned each day, except for the purpose of test-firing, the permittee's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 19, or the appropriate ASTM methods (such as ASTM methods D4294 and D240), or equivalent methods approved by the Director.

2. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas or number 2 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

3. The permittee shall maintain daily records of the following information:

a. the natural gas consumption for each day (MM cu.ft);

b. the number 2 fuel oil consumption for each day (gal);

c. the total actual heat input to the emissions unit, calculated as follows:

DI = DIg + DIo

DI = Total heat input for each day, mmBtu
DIg = Daily heat input rate from Gas
DIo = Daily heat input rate from Oil

When the unit is combusting natural gas, use the following equation to calculate heat input rate:

DIg = (Qg * GCVg) /10^3

Where:
DIg = Daily heat input rate from pipeline natural gas, mmBtu/day.
Qg = Metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted during unit operation, thousand standard cubic feet per day.
GCVg = Gross calorific value of natural gas, as determined by sampling (for each monthly sample of pipeline natural gas, or as verified by the contractual supplier at least once every month pipeline natural gas is combusted) using ASTM D1826-88, ASTM D3588-91, ASTM D4891-89, GPA Standard 2172-86 "Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis," or GPA Standard 2261-90 "Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography," Btu/scf.
10^3 = Conversion of thousand Btu to mmBtu.

When the unit is combusting oil, use the following equation to calculate hourly heat input rate:

DIo = Voil-rate * Doil * (GCVo / 10^6)

Where:

DIo = Daily heat input rate from oil, mmBtu/day.
Voil-rate = Volume rate of oil consumed per day, measured in gal/day
Doil = Density of oil, measured in lb/gal
GCVo = Gross calorific value of oil, as measured by ASTM D240-87, ASTM D2015-91, or ASTM D2382-88 for each batch of oil burned, Btu/unit mass, in lbs.
10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

4. The permittee shall operate and maintain existing equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when using number 2 fuel oil. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13.

Each continuous emission monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the data recording/processing hardware and software

The permittee shall maintain a certification letter from the Ohio EPA documenting that the continuous opacity monitoring system has been certified in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system: percent opacity on a 6-minute block average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

5. The permittee shall maintain the written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous opacity monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of opacity. The plan shall include, as a minimum, conducting and recording daily automatic zero/span checks, provisions for conducting a quarterly audit of the continuous opacity monitoring system, and a description of preventive maintenance activities. The plan shall describe step by step procedures for ensuring that the requirements specified in section 8 of Performance Specification 1 are maintained on a continuous basis. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous opacity monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

6. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record NOx emissions from this emissions unit, in units of the applicable applicable standard(s) when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13.

Each continuous emission monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, sample conditioning hardware, analyzers, and data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain on-site documentation from the USEPA or the Ohio EPA that the continuous nitrogen oxides monitoring system has been certified in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous nitrogen oxides monitoring system: emissions of nitrogen oxides in lb/mmBtu actual heat input on an hourly average basis, emissions of nitrogen oxides in lb/mmBtu actual heat input on a daily average basis, emissions of nitrogen oxides in lb/mmBtu on a rolling, 30-day average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

7. The permittee shall maintain the written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous NOx monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of NOx emissions in units of the applicable standard. The plan follows the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous NOx monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

8. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for the purpose of determining annual emissions:

a. the amount of natural gas used (in million cubic feet);

b. the amount of number 2 fuel oil used (in gallons); and

c. the total annual emissions of each pollutant listed in term A.I.1 emitted from this emissions unit, in tons.

9. Pursuant to Section 60.49b(r) of 40 CFR Part 60, the owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to demonstrate that the affected facility combusts only very low sulfur oil under Section 60.42b(j)(2) shall obtain and maintain at the affected facility fuel receipts from the fuel supplier which certify that the oil meets the definition of distillate oil as defined in Section 60.41b. For purposes of this section (i.e., 60.49b(r)), the oil need not meet the fuel nitrogen content specification in the definition of distillate oil.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rates and any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur content. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 45 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

IV. Reporting Requirements (continued)

3. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting all instances of opacity values in excess of the limitations specified above when burning number 2 fuel oil, detailing the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude (percent opacity), reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any) of each 6-minute block average above the applicable opacity limitation(s).

The reports shall also identify any continuous opacity monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) when burning number 2 fuel oil, along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions unit and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line shall be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

4. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting the date, commencement and completion time, duration, magnitude, reason (if known), and corrective actions taken (if any), of all 30-day average nitrogen oxides values in excess of the applicable nitrogen oxides emission rate (lb/mmBtu).

The reports shall also document any continuous nitrogen oxides monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason), along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions unit and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line shall also be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

5. The permittee shall submit quarterly reports certifying that only very low sulfur oil meeting the definition in 40 CFR Part 60.41b (i.e., containing no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur) was combusted in this emissions unit during the preceding quarter.

These quarterly reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year.

6. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total particulate, sulfur dioxide, volatile organic compound, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide emissions for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall by submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations specified in Section A.I shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitations:
PE shall not exceed 0.0196 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while burning number 2 fuel oil, and 0.007 lb/mmBtu while burning natural gas.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing natural gas, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum hourly gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (302,512 CF/hr) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for total PE from natural gas combustion (7.25 lbs of particulates/mmCF), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (313 mmBtu/hr).

When firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance may be demonstrated based on the results of the the most recent emission test that demonstrated that the emissions unit was in compliance.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5.

1.b Emission Limitation:
PE shall not exceed 17.68 tons/year when burning natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The natural gas annual emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for total PE from natural gas combustion (7.25 lbs of particulates/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton.

The number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the emission factor established during the most recent emission test that demonstrated compliance (lbs PE/mmBtu) by the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the annual average fuel oil heating value (Btu/gal) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and by 2000 pounds per ton.

1.c Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.056 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.0006 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation shall be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

When firing natural gas, compliance with this limitation will be assumed due to the negligible percent sulfur, by weight, in the fuel.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.d Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 37.8 tons/year when burning natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The natural gas annual emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the emission factor from AP-42 "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", Table 1.4-2 (07/1998) for sulfur dioxide from natural gas combustion (0.6 lb of SO2/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton.

When firing number 2 fuel oil compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil consumption (gal/yr) by the emission factor of 157*S lbs SO2/1000 gal, where S equals sulfur content (from AP-42, Table 1.3-1, 09/1998) and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton.

1.e Emission Limitations:
Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.009 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.008 lb/mmBtu when burning natural gas.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing natural gas, compliance may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum hourly gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (302,512 CF/hr) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for volatile organic compounds from natural gas combustion (8.28 lbs of VOC/mmCF), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (313 mmBtu/hr).

When firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum fuel oil burning capacity of the emissions unit (2144 gal/hr) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for volatile organic compounds from number 2 fuel oil combustion (1.26 lb of VOC/1000 gal), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (300.1 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 25.

1.f Emission Limitation:
Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 11.40 tons/year when burning natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The natural gas annual emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for volatile organic compounds from natural gas combustion (8.28 lbs VOC/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton.

The number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for volatile organic compounds from number 2 fuel oil combustion (1.26 lbs of VOC/1000 gal), and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.g Emission Limitations:
Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 0.17 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while burning number 2 fuel oil, and 0.072 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while burning natural gas.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing natural gas, compliance may be demonstrated by multiplying the maximum hourly gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (302,512 CF/hr) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/29/2001) for carbon monoxide in natural gas combustion (74.5 lbs of CO/mmCF), and dividing by the maximum hourly heat input capacity of the emissions unit (313 mmBtu/hr).

When firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data (0.17 lb/mmBtu, Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 10.

1.h Emission Limitation:
Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 161.04 tons/year when burning natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The natural gas annual emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/29/2001) for carbon monoxide from natural gas combustion (74.5 lbs CO/mmCF), and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton.

The number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the boiler manufacturer's emission factor (Babcock and Wilcox, 04/24/1998) for carbon monoxide in from number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.17 lb CO/mmBtu), and dividing by 2000 pounds per ton and by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu.

1.i Emission Limitations:
Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 0.12 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while burning number 2 fuel oil and 0.036 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while burning natural gas.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing natural gas, compliance with the emission limitation may be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day rolling average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly nitrogen oxides emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

When burning number 2 fuel oil, compliance with the emission limitation may be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day rolling average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly nitrogen oxides emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 7.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.j Emission Limitation:
Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 103.52 tons/year when burning natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual natural gas heat content (Btu/CF) by the annual natural gas usage (mmCF/yr) and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual number 2 fuel oil heat content (Btu/gal) by the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2000 lbs/ton.

1.k Emission Limitation:
When firing natural gas, visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.l Emission Limitation:
When firing number 2 fuel oil, visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by the data collected and recorded in Section A.III.5 for the COM.
Compliance shall be determined by visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

1. The permittee shall comply with all applicable provisions of OAC Chapter 3745-14 for emissions unit B132 if appropriate, including but not limited to, certain NOx emission limitations in the emissions trading program, obtain permits, comply with trading procedures and meet the monitoring and reporting requirements in this rule. See Part II.A.2 of this permit.

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Vet Hosp 3 (B134)

Activity Description: Vet Hosp - 151923

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
16.7 mmBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler - VH #3	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, see A.I.2.a below.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The provisions specified in paragraphs (D), (F), and (G) of OAC rule 3745-18-06 do not apply to this emissions unit; therefore, there is no SO2 emission limitation from OAC Chapter 3745-18 for this emissions unit.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitation(s) in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following method(s):

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by multiplying the maximum natural gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (16,700 CF/hr) by the AP-42 (Table 1.4-5, 7/98) emission factor for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs/mmCF) and dividing by the maximum heat input capacity of the emissions unit (16.7 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Vet Hosp 4 (B135)

Activity Description: Vet Hosp - 151924

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
16.7 mmBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler - VH #4	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, see A.I.2.a below.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The provisions specified in paragraphs (D), (F), and (G) of OAC rule 3745-18-06 do not apply to this emissions unit; therefore, there is no SO2 emission limitation from OAC Chapter 3745-18 for this emissions unit.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitation(s) in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following method(s):

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by multiplying the maximum natural gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (16,700 CF/hr) by the AP-42 (Table 1.4-5, 7/98) emission factor for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs/mmCF) and dividing by the maximum heat input capacity of the emissions unit (16.7 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Vet Hosp 5 (B136)

Activity Description: Vet Hosp - 151925

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
16.7 mmBtu/hr natural gas-fired boiler - VH #5	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, see A.I.2.a below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The provisions specified in paragraphs (D), (F), and (G) of OAC rule 3745-18-06 do not apply to this emissions unit; therefore, there is no SO2 emission limitation from OAC Chapter 3745-18 for this emissions unit.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitation(s) in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following method(s):

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.020 pound per mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by multiplying the maximum natural gas burning capacity of the emissions unit (16,700 CF/hr) by the AP-42 (Table 1.4-5, 7/98) emission factor for filterable particulates from natural gas combustion (1.9 lbs/mmCF) and dividing by the maximum heat input capacity of the emissions unit (16.7 mmBtu/hr).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(9).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken Natural Gas Driven Compressor (B137)

Activity Description: 230 HP Caterpillar natural gas driven compressor.

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
230 HP Caterpillar natural gas-driven compressor	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(5)(a)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.25 lb/mmBtu actual heat input. See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(5)(a)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.310 lb/mmBtu actual heat input. See A.I.2.b below.
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, exempt pursuant to OAC rules 3745-18-06(A) and 3745-18-06(B).

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The requirement to comply with this particulate emission limitation shall terminate on the date the U.S. EPA approves the 0.310 lb/mmBtu actual heat input emission limitation as a revision to the Ohio SIP for particulate matter.

2.b This particulate emission limitation shall be effective and federally enforceable on the date the U.S. EPA approves this particulate emission limitation as a revision to the Ohio SIP for particulate matter.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall burn only natural gas in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.b Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.25 lb/mmBtu actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be based upon an emission factor of 0.0095 lb/mmBtu. This emission factor is specified in USEPA reference document AP-42, Fifth Edition, Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors, Section 3.2, Table 3.2-3 (07/00).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation in accordance with the methods and procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(10).

1.c Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.310 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be based upon an emission factor of 0.0095 lb/mmBtu. This emission factor is specified in USEPA reference document AP-42, Fifth Edition, Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors, Section 3.2, Table 3.2-3 (07/00).

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation in accordance with the methods and procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(10).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: C.A.R.Lab #1 (B138)

Activity Description: C.A.R. Facility Engine Dynamometer Lab #1

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
300 HP Engine Dynamometer Lab 1	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08695)	Organic compound emissions shall not exceed 10.66 lbs/day and 0.69 ton/year. Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 101.52 lbs/day and 6.60 tons/year. Nitrogen oxides emissions shall not exceed 7.34 lbs/day and 0.48 ton/year. Sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 0.38 lb/day and 0.02 ton/year. Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.47 lb/day and 0.03 ton/year. Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(C).
	OAC rule 3745-31-05(C) (PTI 01-08695)	Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 6.60 tons per year, based on a rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly carbon monoxide emissions. See A.II.2 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	None, see A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(1)	None, see A.I.2.b below.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, exempt pursuant to OAC rule 3745-18-06(B).
	OAC rule 3745-21-07(B) OAC rule 3745-23-06(B)	None, see A.I.2.c below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a This emissions unit is exempt from the visible particulate emission limitations specified in OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) pursuant to OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)(3)(h) because the emissions unit is not subject to the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-11, see A.I.2.b.

2.b The uncontrolled mass rate of particulate emissions from this emissions unit is less than 10 pounds per hour. Therefore, pursuant to OAC rule 3745-47-17-11(a)(ii), Figure II of OAC rule 3745-17-11 does not apply. In addition, Table I of OAC rule 3745-17-11, does not apply because the process weight, as defined in OAC rule 3745-17-01(B)(14), is equal to zero.

2.c The permittee has satisfied the "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rule 3745-21-07 for control of organic materials from stationary sources and OAC rule 3745-23-06 for control of nitrogen oxides emissions from stationary sources, respectively, by committing to comply with the best available technology requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Install 01-08695.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum daily fuel usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 72 gallons of gasoline per day.

2. The maximum annual fuel usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 9,360 gallons of gasoline based on a rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly gasoline fuel usages.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the following information:

a. the daily gasoline usage for this emissions unit (gallons);

b. the monthly gasoline usage for this emissions unit (gallons);

c. the rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly gasoline fuel usages for this emissions unit (gallons);

d. the monthly carbon monoxide emissions for this emissions unit (tons); and

e. the rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly carbon monoxide emissions for this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. The permittee shall perform weekly checks, when the emissions unit is in operation and when the weather conditions allow, for any visible particulate emissions from the vent serving this emissions unit. The presence or absence of any visible emissions shall be noted in a operations log. If visible emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;

b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;

c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operation, the cause of the abnormal emissions;

d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and

e. any corrective actions taken to eliminate the visible emissions.

The visible emissions check is not required to be performed by individuals certified to conduct U.S. EPA Reference Method 9 observations.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify all exceedances of the daily and rolling, 12-month fuel usage and carbon monoxide emission limitations for this emissions unit. The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

2. The permittee shall submit quarterly written reports that (a) identify all days during which any visible particulate emissions were observed from the vent serving this emissions unit and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to eliminate the visible particulate emissions. These reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

3. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total emissions of particulates, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds, and carbon monoxide from this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. The reports shall be submitted by April 15 of each year. This reporting requirement may be satisfied by including and identifying the specific emission data from this emissions unit in the annual Fee Emission Report.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of the terms and conditions of this permit shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitations:
Organic compound (OC) emissions shall not exceed 10.66 lbs/day and 0.69 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 148.00 pounds of OC per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 148.00 pounds of OC per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

OC - 148.00 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 10.66 lbs/day
OC - 148.00 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.69 ton/year

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.b Emission Limitations:
Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 101.52 lbs/day and 6.60 tons/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 1,410 pounds of CO per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (emissions test, June 5, 2002) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 1,410 pounds of CO per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (emissions test, June 5, 2002) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

CO - 1,410 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 101.52 lbs/day
CO - 1,410 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 6.60 tons/year

1.c Emission Limitations:
Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 7.34 lbs/day and 0.48 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 102.00 pounds of NOx per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 102.00 pounds of NOx per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

NOx - 102.00 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 7.34 lbs/day
NOx - 102.00 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.48 ton/year

1.d Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.38 lb/day and 0.02 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 5.31 pounds of SO2 per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 5.31 pounds of SO2 per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

SO2 - 5.31 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 0.38 lb/day
SO2 - 5.31 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.02 ton/year

1.e Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.47 lb/day and 0.03 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 6.47 pounds of PE per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 6.47 pounds of PE per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

PE- 6.47 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 0.47 lb/day
PE - 6.47 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.03 ton/year

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.f Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: C.A.R.Lab #2 (B139)

Activity Description: C.A.R. Facility Engine Dynamometer Lab #2

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
190 HP Engine Dynamometer Lab 2	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08695)	Organic compound emissions shall not exceed 10.66 lbs/day and 0.69 ton/year. Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 101.52 lbs/day and 6.60 tons/year. Nitrogen oxides emissions shall not exceed 7.34 lbs/day and 0.48 ton/year. Sulfur dioxide emissions shall not exceed 0.38 lb/day and 0.02 ton/year. Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.47 lb/day and 0.03 ton/year. Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(C).
	OAC rule 3745-31-05(C) (PTI 01-08695)	Carbon monoxide emissions shall not exceed 6.60 tons per year, based on a rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly carbon monoxide emissions. See A.II.2 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	None, see A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(1)	None, see A.I.2.b below.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
	OAC rule 3745-18-06	None, exempt pursuant to OAC rule 3745-18-06(B).
	OAC rule 3745-21-07(B) OAC rule 3745-23-06(B)	None, see A.I.2.c below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a This emissions unit is exempt from the visible particulate emission limitations specified in OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) pursuant to OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)(3)(h) because the emissions unit is not subject to the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-11, see A.I.2.b.

2.b The uncontrolled mass rate of particulate emissions from this emissions unit is less than 10 pounds per hour. Therefore, pursuant to OAC rule 3745-47-17-11(a)(ii), Figure II of OAC rule 3745-17-11 does not apply. In addition, Table I of OAC rule 3745-17-11, does not apply because the process weight, as defined in OAC rule 3745-17-01(B)(14), is equal to zero.

2.c The permittee has satisfied the "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rule 3745-21-07 for control of organic materials from stationary sources and OAC rule 3745-23-06 for control of nitrogen oxides emissions from stationary sources, respectively, by committing to comply with the best available technology requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Install 01-08695.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum daily fuel usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 72 gallons of gasoline per day.

2. The maximum annual fuel usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 9,360 gallons of gasoline based on a rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly gasoline fuel usages.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the following information:

a. the daily gasoline usage for this emissions unit (gallons);

b. the monthly gasoline usage for this emissions unit (gallons);

c. the rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly gasoline fuel usages for this emissions unit (gallons);

d. the monthly carbon monoxide emissions for this emissions unit (tons); and

e. the rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly carbon monoxide emissions for this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. The permittee shall perform weekly checks, when the emissions unit is in operation and when the weather conditions allow, for any visible particulate emissions from the vent serving this emissions unit. The presence or absence of any visible emissions shall be noted in a operations log. If visible emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;

b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;

c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operation, the cause of the abnormal emissions;

d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and

e. any corrective actions taken to eliminate the visible emissions.

The visible emissions check is not required to be performed by individuals certified to conduct U.S. EPA Reference Method 9 observations.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify all exceedances of the daily and rolling, 12-month fuel usage and carbon monoxide emission limitations for this emissions unit. The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

2. The permittee shall submit quarterly written reports that (a) identify all days during which any visible particulate emissions were observed from the vent serving this emissions unit and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to eliminate the visible particulate emissions. These reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

3. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total emissions of particulates, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds, and carbon monoxide from this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. The reports shall be submitted by April 15 of each year. This reporting requirement may be satisfied by including and identifying the specific emission data from this emissions unit in the annual Fee Emission Report.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of the terms and conditions of this permit shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitations:
Organic compound (OC) emissions shall not exceed 10.66 lbs/day and 0.69 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 148.00 pounds of OC per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 148.00 pounds of OC per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

OC - 148.00 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 10.66 lbs/day
OC - 148.00 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.69 ton/year

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.b Emission Limitations:
Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 101.52 lbs/day and 6.60 tons/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 1,410 pounds of CO per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (emissions test, June 5, 2002) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 1,410 pounds of CO per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (emissions test, June 5, 2002) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

CO - 1,410 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 101.52 lbs/day
CO - 1,410 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 6.60 tons/year

1.c Emission Limitations:
Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 7.34 lbs/day and 0.48 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 102.00 pounds of NOx per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 102.00 pounds of NOx per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

NOx - 102.00 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 7.34 lbs/day
NOx - 102.00 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.48 ton/year

1.d Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.38 lb/day and 0.02 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 5.31 pounds of SO2 per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 5.31 pounds of SO2 per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

SO2 - 5.31 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 0.38 lb/day
SO2 - 5.31 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.02 ton/year

1.e Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.47 lb/day and 0.03 ton/year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance with the short term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 6.47 pounds of PE per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum daily gasoline usage of 72 gallons per day. Compliance with the long term limitation shall be demonstrated by multiplying the emission factor of 6.47 pounds of PE per 1,000 gallons of gasoline burned (U.S. EPA's Factor Information Retrieval Data System (FIRE) Version 6.23) by the maximum annual gasoline usage of 9,360 gallons per year and dividing by 2,000 pounds per ton.

PE- 6.47 lbs/1000 gal * 72 gals/day = 0.47 lb/day
PE - 6.47 lbs/1000 gal * 9360 gals/yr * 1 ton/2000 lbs = 0.03 ton/year

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.f Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken New Boiler #1 (B140)

Activity Description: New Boiler #1 to be installed (replace B125)

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
206 mmBtu/hr gas/oil-fired water tube boiler with low NOx burner - New Boiler 1 (replaces B125)	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08714)	Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. PE shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
		Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. VOC emissions shall not exceed 3.54 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. SO2 emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db. See A.II.3 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.
	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity, when firing number 2 fuel oil.
	OAC rule 3745-21-08(B) OAC rule 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-13(D)(1)	See A.I.2.b below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1) OAC rule 3745-18-06(D)	The emission limitations established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) are more stringent than the emission limitations established by these rules.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The permittee satisfies the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively, by complying with the best available technology requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Intall 1-08714.

On November 5, 2002, OAC rule 3745-21-08 was revised to delete paragraph (B); therefore, paragraph (B) is no longer part of the State regulations. However, that rule revision has not yet been submitted to the U.S. EPA as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP). Therefore, until the SIP revision occurs and the U.S. EPA approves the revisions to OAC rule 3745-21-08, the requirement to satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" still exists as part of the federally-approved SIP for Ohio.

2.b As a non-profit education institution, the permittee requested and received a discretionary exemption from the Director on March 14, 2003, to exempt this emissions unit from the PSD requirements contained in OAC rules 3745-31-10 through 3745-31-20.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum annual number 2 fuel oil usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 6,285,300 gallons.

2. The quality of the number 2 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content which is equal to or less than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and is sufficient to comply with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation specified in Section A.I above.

3. The permittee shall operate low NOx burners and employ flue gas recirculation at all times this emissions unit is in operation.

4. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil.

5. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the NOx emissions from this emissions unit when combusting natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

6. The permittee shall burn only natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the oil burned in this emissions unit in accordance with either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2 described below.

a. Alternative 1:

For each shipment of oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample of oil and maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).) A shipment may be comprised of multiple tank truck loads from the same supplier's batch, and the quality of the oil for those loads may be represented by a single batch analysis from the supplier.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

b. Alternative 2:

The permittee shall collect a representative grab sample of oil that is burned in this emissions unit for each day when the emissions unit is in operation. If additional fuel oil is added to the tank serving this emissions unit on a day when the emissions unit is in operation, the permittee shall collect a sufficient number of grab samples to develop a composite sample representative of the fuel oil burned in this emissions unit. A representative grab sample of oil does not need to be collected on days when this emissions unit is only operated for the purpose of "test-firing." The permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil burned each day, except for the purpose of test-firing, the permittee's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 19, or the appropriate ASTM methods (such as, ASTM methods D240 and D4294), or equivalent methods as approved by the Director.

2. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas or number 2 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

3. The permittee shall maintain daily records of the following information:

a. the natural gas consumption for each day (in million cubic feet);

b. the number 2 fuel oil consumption for each day (in gallons);

c. the total actual heat input to the emissions unit, calculated as follows:

DI = DIg + DIo

DI = Total heat input for each day, mmBtu
DIg = Daily heat input rate from Gas
DIo = Daily heat input rate from Oil

When the unit is combusting natural gas, use the following equation to calculate heat input rate:

DIg = (Qg * GCVg) /10^3

Where:

DIg = Daily heat input rate from pipeline natural gas, mmBtu/day.
Qg = Metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted during unit operation, thousand standard cubic feet per day.
GCVg = Gross calorific value of natural gas, as determined by sampling (for each monthly sample of pipeline natural gas, or as verified by the contractual supplier at least once every month pipeline natural gas is combusted) using ASTM D1826-88, ASTM D3588-91, ASTM D4891-89, GPA Standard 2172-86 "Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis," or GPA Standard 2261-90 "Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography," Btu/scf.
10^3 = Conversion of thousand Btu to mmBtu.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

When the unit is combusting oil, use the following equation to calculate hourly heat input rate:

DIo = Voil-rate * Doil * (GCVo / 10^6)

Where:

DIo = Daily heat input rate from oil, mmBtu/day.
Voil-rate = Volume rate of oil consumed per day, measured in gal/day
Doil = Density of oil, measured in lb/gal
GCVo = Gross calorific value of oil, as measured by ASTM D240-87, ASTM D2015-91, or ASTM D2382-88 for each batch of oil burned, Btu/unit mass, lbs.
10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

d. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing natural gas; and

e. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing number 2 fuel oil.

4. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for the purpose of determining annual mass emissions:

a. the amounts of natural gas used (in million cubic feet);

b. the amounts of number 2 fuel oil used (in gallons); and

c. the annual emissions of each pollutant listed in term A.I.1 emitted from this emissions unit, in tons.

5. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13.

Each continuous emission monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain a certification letter from the Ohio EPA documenting that the continuous opacity monitoring system has been certified in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1. This letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system: percent opacity on a 6-minute average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

6. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous opacity monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of opacity. The plan shall include, as a minimum, conducting and recording daily automatic zero/span checks, provisions for conducting a quarterly audit of the continuous opacity monitoring system, and a description of preventive maintenance activities. The plan shall describe step by step procedures for ensuring that the requirements specified in section 8 of Performance Specification 1 are maintained on a continuous basis. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous opacity monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record NOx emissions from this emissions unit, in units of the applicable standard(s) when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13. Each continuous monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, sample conditioning hardware, analyzers, and data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain on-site documentation from the Ohio EPA that the continuous NOx monitoring system has been certified in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous NOx monitoring system: emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu of actual heat input on an hourly average basis, emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu on a rolling 30-day average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

8. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous NOx monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of NOx emissions in units of the applicable standard. The plan shall follow the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous NOx monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

9. Pursuant to Section 60.49b(r) of 40 CFR Part 60, the owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to demonstrate that the affected facility combusts only very low sulfur oil under Section 60.42b(j)(2) shall obtain and maintain at the affected facility fuel receipts from the fuel supplier which certify that the oil meets the definition of distillate oil as defined in Section 60.41b. For purposes of this section (i.e., 60.49b(r)), the oil need not meet the fuel nitrogen content specification in the definition of distillate oil.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate and any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur content. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be set to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 45 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

3. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting all instances of opacity valued in excess of the limitations specified above when firing number 2 fuel oil, detailing the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude (percent opacity), reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any) of each 6-minute block average above the applicable opacity limitation(s).

The reports shall also identify any continuous opacity monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) when firing number 2 fuel oil, along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.
If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

IV. Reporting Requirements (continued)

4. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude, reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any), of all 30-day average NOx values in excess of the applicable NOx emission rate (pound per mmBtu).

The reports shall also identify any continuous NOx monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

5. The permittee shall submit quarterly reports certifying that only very low sulfur oil meeting the definition in 40 CFR Part 60.41b (i.e. containing no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur) was combusted in this emissions unit during the preceding quarter.

These quarterly reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year.

6. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total particulate, SO2, VOC, NOx and CO emissions and natural gas and number 2 fuel oil usages for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which this emissions unit will be operated, but not later than 180 days after its initial startup, the permittee shall conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the particulate, NOx, CO and VOC emission limitations while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The particulate emission test shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(d). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The NOx performance test shall be conducted using the NOx monitoring system in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(e). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

2. Not later than 30 days prior to the proposed test date(s), the permittee shall submit an "Intent to Test" notification to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office. The "Intent to Test" notification shall describe in detail the proposed test methods and procedures, the emissions unit operating parameters, the time(s) and date(s) of the test(s), and the person(s) who will be conducting the test(s). Failure to submit such notification for review and approval prior to the test(s) may result in the Ohio EPA, Central District Office's refusal to accept the results of the emission test(s).

3. Personnel from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office shall be permitted to witness the test(s), examine the testing equipment, and acquire data and information necessary to ensure that the operation of the emissions unit and the testing procedures provide a valid characterization of the emissions from the emissions unit and/or the performance of the control equipment.

4. A comprehensive written report on the results of the emission(s) test(s) shall be submitted to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office within 30 days following the completion of the test(s). The permittee may request additional time for the submittal of the written report, where warranted, with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of the terms and conditions of this permit shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

5.a Emission Limitations:
NOx emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Following the initial performance test, when firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

When firing natural gas, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

5.b Emission Limitation:
Nitrogen oxides NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual natural gas heat content (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (mmcu ft/yr) and dividing by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual number 2 fuel oil heat content (Btu/gal) by the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.c Emission Limitations:
CO emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.04 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 10, while firing natural gas.

5.d Emission Limitation:
CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 BTU/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.e Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.005 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5, while firing natural gas.

5.f Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in natural gas combustion (0.005 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.02 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.g Emission Limitations:
Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.004 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 25, while firing natural gas.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.h Emission Limitation:
Volatile organic compound emissions (VOC) shall not exceed 3.54 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in natural gas combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.i Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing fuel oil, compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation shall be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

When firing natural gas, compliance with this limitation will be assumed due to the negligible percent sulfur, by weight, in the fuel.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

5.j Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in natural gas combustion (0.0006 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.052 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.k Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.l Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by data collected and recorded for the COM. Compliance shall be determined by visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken New Boiler #3 (B141)

Activity Description: McCraken New Boiler #3 to be installed (Replace B124)

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
206 mmBtu/hr gas/oil-fired water tube boiler with low NOx burner - New Boiler 3 (replaces B124)	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08714)	Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. PE shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
		Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. VOC emissions shall not exceed 3.54 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. SO2 emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db. See A.II.3 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.
	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity, when firing number 2 fuel oil.
	OAC rule 3745-21-08(B) OAC rule 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-13(D)(1)	See A.I.2.b below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1) OAC rule 3745-18-06(D)	The emission limitations established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) are more stringent than the emission limitations established by these rules.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The permittee satisfies the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively, by complying with the best available technology requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Intall 1-08714.

On November 5, 2002, OAC rule 3745-21-08 was revised to delete paragraph (B); therefore, paragraph (B) is no longer part of the State regulations. However, that rule revision has not yet been submitted to the U.S. EPA as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP). Therefore, until the SIP revision occurs and the U.S. EPA approves the revisions to OAC rule 3745-21-08, the requirement to satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" still exists as part of the federally-approved SIP for Ohio.

2.b As a non-profit education institution, the permittee requested and received a discretionary exemption from the Director on March 14, 2003, to exempt this emissions unit from the PSD requirements contained in OAC rules 3745-31-10 through 3745-31-20.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum annual number 2 fuel oil usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 6,285,300 gallons.

2. The quality of the number 2 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content which is equal to or less than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and is sufficient to comply with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation specified in Section A.I above.

3. The permittee shall operate low NOx burners and employ flue gas recirculation at all times this emissions unit is in operation.

4. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil.

5. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the NOx emissions from this emissions unit when combusting natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

6. The permittee shall burn only natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the oil burned in this emissions unit in accordance with either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2 described below.

a. Alternative 1:

For each shipment of oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample of oil and maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).) A shipment may be comprised of multiple tank truck loads from the same supplier's batch, and the quality of the oil for those loads may be represented by a single batch analysis from the supplier.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

b. Alternative 2:

The permittee shall collect a representative grab sample of oil that is burned in this emissions unit for each day when the emissions unit is in operation. If additional fuel oil is added to the tank serving this emissions unit on a day when the emissions unit is in operation, the permittee shall collect a sufficient number of grab samples to develop a composite sample representative of the fuel oil burned in this emissions unit. A representative grab sample of oil does not need to be collected on days when this emissions unit is only operated for the purpose of "test-firing." The permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil burned each day, except for the purpose of test-firing, the permittee's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 19, or the appropriate ASTM methods (such as, ASTM methods D240 and D4294), or equivalent methods as approved by the Director.

2. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas or number 2 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

3. The permittee shall maintain daily records of the following information:

a. the natural gas consumption for each day (in million cubic feet);

b. the number 2 fuel oil consumption for each day (in gallons);

c. the total actual heat input to the emissions unit, calculated as follows:

DI = DIg + DIo

DI = Total heat input for each day, mmBtu
DIg = Daily heat input rate from Gas
DIo = Daily heat input rate from Oil

When the unit is combusting natural gas, use the following equation to calculate heat input rate:

DIg = (Qg * GCVg) /10^3

Where:

DIg = Daily heat input rate from pipeline natural gas, mmBtu/day.
Qg = Metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted during unit operation, thousand standard cubic feet per day.
GCVg = Gross calorific value of natural gas, as determined by sampling (for each monthly sample of pipeline natural gas, or as verified by the contractual supplier at least once every month pipeline natural gas is combusted) using ASTM D1826-88, ASTM D3588-91, ASTM D4891-89, GPA Standard 2172-86 "Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis," or GPA Standard 2261-90 "Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography," Btu/scf.
10^3 = Conversion of thousand Btu to mmBtu.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

When the unit is combusting oil, use the following equation to calculate hourly heat input rate:

DIo = Voil-rate * Doil * (GCVo / 10^6)

Where:

DIo = Daily heat input rate from oil, mmBtu/day.
Voil-rate = Volume rate of oil consumed per day, measured in gal/day
Doil = Density of oil, measured in lb/gal
GCVo = Gross calorific value of oil, as measured by ASTM D240-87, ASTM D2015-91, or ASTM D2382-88 for each batch of oil burned, Btu/unit mass, lbs.
10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

d. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing natural gas; and

e. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing number 2 fuel oil.

4. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for the purpose of determining annual mass emissions:

a. the amounts of natural gas used (in million cubic feet);

b. the amounts of number 2 fuel oil used (in gallons); and

c. the annual emissions of each pollutant listed in term A.I.1 emitted from this emissions unit, in tons.

5. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13.

Each continuous emission monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain a certification letter from the Ohio EPA documenting that the continuous opacity monitoring system has been certified in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1. This letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system: percent opacity on a 6-minute average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

6. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous opacity monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of opacity. The plan shall include, as a minimum, conducting and recording daily automatic zero/span checks, provisions for conducting a quarterly audit of the continuous opacity monitoring system, and a description of preventive maintenance activities. The plan shall describe step by step procedures for ensuring that the requirements specified in section 8 of Performance Specification 1 are maintained on a continuous basis. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous opacity monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record NOx emissions from this emissions unit, in units of the applicable standard(s) when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13. Each continuous monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, sample conditioning hardware, analyzers, and data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain on-site documentation from the Ohio EPA that the continuous NOx monitoring system has been certified in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous NOx monitoring system: emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu of actual heat input on an hourly average basis, emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu on a rolling 30-day average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

8. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous NOx monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of NOx emissions in units of the applicable standard. The plan shall follow the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous NOx monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

9. Pursuant to Section 60.49b(r) of 40 CFR Part 60, the owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to demonstrate that the affected facility combusts only very low sulfur oil under Section 60.42b(j)(2) shall obtain and maintain at the affected facility fuel receipts from the fuel supplier which certify that the oil meets the definition of distillate oil as defined in Section 60.41b. For purposes of this section (i.e., 60.49b(r)), the oil need not meet the fuel nitrogen content specification in the definition of distillate oil.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate and any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur content. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be set to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 45 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

3. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting all instances of opacity valued in excess of the limitations specified above when firing number 2 fuel oil, detailing the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude (percent opacity), reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any) of each 6-minute block average above the applicable opacity limitation(s).

The reports shall also identify any continuous opacity monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) when firing number 2 fuel oil, along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.
If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

IV. Reporting Requirements (continued)

4. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude, reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any), of all 30-day average NOx values in excess of the applicable NOx emission rate (pound per mmBtu).

The reports shall also identify any continuous NOx monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

5. The permittee shall submit quarterly reports certifying that only very low sulfur oil meeting the definition in 40 CFR Part 60.41b (i.e. containing no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur) was combusted in this emissions unit during the preceding quarter.

These quarterly reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year.

6. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total particulate, SO2, VOC, NOx and CO emissions and natural gas and number 2 fuel oil usages for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which this emissions unit will be operated, but not later than 180 days after its initial startup, the permittee shall conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the particulate, NOx, CO and VOC emission limitations while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The particulate emission test shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(d). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The NOx performance test shall be conducted using the NOx monitoring system in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(e). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

2. Not later than 30 days prior to the proposed test date(s), the permittee shall submit an "Intent to Test" notification to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office. The "Intent to Test" notification shall describe in detail the proposed test methods and procedures, the emissions unit operating parameters, the time(s) and date(s) of the test(s), and the person(s) who will be conducting the test(s). Failure to submit such notification for review and approval prior to the test(s) may result in the Ohio EPA, Central District Office's refusal to accept the results of the emission test(s).

3. Personnel from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office shall be permitted to witness the test(s), examine the testing equipment, and acquire data and information necessary to ensure that the operation of the emissions unit and the testing procedures provide a valid characterization of the emissions from the emissions unit and/or the performance of the control equipment.

4. A comprehensive written report on the results of the emission(s) test(s) shall be submitted to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office within 30 days following the completion of the test(s). The permittee may request additional time for the submittal of the written report, where warranted, with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of the terms and conditions of this permit shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

5.a Emission Limitations:
NOx emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Following the initial performance test, when firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

When firing natural gas, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

5.b Emission Limitation:
Nitrogen oxides NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual natural gas heat content (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (mmcu ft/yr) and dividing by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual number 2 fuel oil heat content (Btu/gal) by the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.c Emission Limitations:
CO emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.04 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 10, while firing natural gas.

5.d Emission Limitation:
CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 BTU/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.e Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.005 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5, while firing natural gas.

5.f Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in natural gas combustion (0.005 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.02 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.g Emission Limitations:
Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.004 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 25, while firing natural gas.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.h Emission Limitation:
Volatile organic compound emissions (VOC) shall not exceed 3.54 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in natural gas combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.i Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing fuel oil, compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation shall be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

When firing natural gas, compliance with this limitation will be assumed due to the negligible percent sulfur, by weight, in the fuel.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

5.j Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in natural gas combustion (0.0006 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.052 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.k Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.l Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by data collected and recorded for the COM. Compliance shall be determined by visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken New Boiler #6 (B142)

Activity Description: McCraken New Boiler #6 to be installed (Replace B121)

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
206 mmBtu/hr gas/oil-fired water tube boiler with low NOx burner - New Boiler 6 (replaces B121)	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08714)	Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. PE shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
		Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. VOC emissions shall not exceed 3.54 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. SO2 emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db. See A.II.3 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.
	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity, when firing number 2 fuel oil.
	OAC rule 3745-21-08(B) OAC rule 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-13(D)(1)	See A.I.2.b below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1) OAC rule 3745-18-06(D)	The emission limitations established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) are more stringent than the emission limitations established by these rules.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The permittee satisfies the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively, by complying with the best available technology requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Intall 1-08714.

On November 5, 2002, OAC rule 3745-21-08 was revised to delete paragraph (B); therefore, paragraph (B) is no longer part of the State regulations. However, that rule revision has not yet been submitted to the U.S. EPA as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP). Therefore, until the SIP revision occurs and the U.S. EPA approves the revisions to OAC rule 3745-21-08, the requirement to satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" still exists as part of the federally-approved SIP for Ohio.

2.b As a non-profit education institution, the permittee requested and received a discretionary exemption from the Director on March 14, 2003, to exempt this emissions unit from the PSD requirements contained in OAC rules 3745-31-10 through 3745-31-20.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum annual number 2 fuel oil usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 6,285,300 gallons.

2. The quality of the number 2 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content which is equal to or less than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and is sufficient to comply with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation specified in Section A.I above.

3. The permittee shall operate low NOx burners and employ flue gas recirculation at all times this emissions unit is in operation.

4. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil.

5. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the NOx emissions from this emissions unit when combusting natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

6. The permittee shall burn only natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the oil burned in this emissions unit in accordance with either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2 described below.

a. Alternative 1:

For each shipment of oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample of oil and maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).) A shipment may be comprised of multiple tank truck loads from the same supplier's batch, and the quality of the oil for those loads may be represented by a single batch analysis from the supplier.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

b. Alternative 2:

The permittee shall collect a representative grab sample of oil that is burned in this emissions unit for each day when the emissions unit is in operation. If additional fuel oil is added to the tank serving this emissions unit on a day when the emissions unit is in operation, the permittee shall collect a sufficient number of grab samples to develop a composite sample representative of the fuel oil burned in this emissions unit. A representative grab sample of oil does not need to be collected on days when this emissions unit is only operated for the purpose of "test-firing." The permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil burned each day, except for the purpose of test-firing, the permittee's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 19, or the appropriate ASTM methods (such as, ASTM methods D240 and D4294), or equivalent methods as approved by the Director.

2. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas or number 2 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

3. The permittee shall maintain daily records of the following information:

a. the natural gas consumption for each day (in million cubic feet);

b. the number 2 fuel oil consumption for each day (in gallons);

c. the total actual heat input to the emissions unit, calculated as follows:

DI = DIg + DIo

DI = Total heat input for each day, mmBtu
DIg = Daily heat input rate from Gas
DIo = Daily heat input rate from Oil

When the unit is combusting natural gas, use the following equation to calculate heat input rate:

DIg = (Qg * GCVg) /10^3

Where:

DIg = Daily heat input rate from pipeline natural gas, mmBtu/day.
Qg = Metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted during unit operation, thousand standard cubic feet per day.
GCVg = Gross calorific value of natural gas, as determined by sampling (for each monthly sample of pipeline natural gas, or as verified by the contractual supplier at least once every month pipeline natural gas is combusted) using ASTM D1826-88, ASTM D3588-91, ASTM D4891-89, GPA Standard 2172-86 "Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis," or GPA Standard 2261-90 "Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography," Btu/scf.
10^3 = Conversion of thousand Btu to mmBtu.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

When the unit is combusting oil, use the following equation to calculate hourly heat input rate:

DIo = Voil-rate * Doil * (GCVo / 10^6)

Where:

DIo = Daily heat input rate from oil, mmBtu/day.
Voil-rate = Volume rate of oil consumed per day, measured in gal/day
Doil = Density of oil, measured in lb/gal
GCVo = Gross calorific value of oil, as measured by ASTM D240-87, ASTM D2015-91, or ASTM D2382-88 for each batch of oil burned, Btu/unit mass, lbs.
10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

d. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing natural gas; and

e. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing number 2 fuel oil.

4. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for the purpose of determining annual mass emissions:

a. the amounts of natural gas used (in million cubic feet);

b. the amounts of number 2 fuel oil used (in gallons); and

c. the annual emissions of each pollutant listed in term A.I.1 emitted from this emissions unit, in tons.

5. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13.

Each continuous emission monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain a certification letter from the Ohio EPA documenting that the continuous opacity monitoring system has been certified in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1. This letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system: percent opacity on a 6-minute average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

6. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous opacity monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of opacity. The plan shall include, as a minimum, conducting and recording daily automatic zero/span checks, provisions for conducting a quarterly audit of the continuous opacity monitoring system, and a description of preventive maintenance activities. The plan shall describe step by step procedures for ensuring that the requirements specified in section 8 of Performance Specification 1 are maintained on a continuous basis. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous opacity monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record NOx emissions from this emissions unit, in units of the applicable standard(s) when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13. Each continuous monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, sample conditioning hardware, analyzers, and data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain on-site documentation from the Ohio EPA that the continuous NOx monitoring system has been certified in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous NOx monitoring system: emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu of actual heat input on an hourly average basis, emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu on a rolling 30-day average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

8. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous NOx monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of NOx emissions in units of the applicable standard. The plan shall follow the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous NOx monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

9. Pursuant to Section 60.49b(r) of 40 CFR Part 60, the owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to demonstrate that the affected facility combusts only very low sulfur oil under Section 60.42b(j)(2) shall obtain and maintain at the affected facility fuel receipts from the fuel supplier which certify that the oil meets the definition of distillate oil as defined in Section 60.41b. For purposes of this section (i.e., 60.49b(r)), the oil need not meet the fuel nitrogen content specification in the definition of distillate oil.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate and any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur content. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be set to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 45 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

3. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting all instances of opacity valued in excess of the limitations specified above when firing number 2 fuel oil, detailing the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude (percent opacity), reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any) of each 6-minute block average above the applicable opacity limitation(s).

The reports shall also identify any continuous opacity monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) when firing number 2 fuel oil, along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.
If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

IV. Reporting Requirements (continued)

4. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude, reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any), of all 30-day average NOx values in excess of the applicable NOx emission rate (pound per mmBtu).

The reports shall also identify any continuous NOx monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

5. The permittee shall submit quarterly reports certifying that only very low sulfur oil meeting the definition in 40 CFR Part 60.41b (i.e. containing no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur) was combusted in this emissions unit during the preceding quarter.

These quarterly reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year.

6. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total particulate, SO2, VOC, NOx and CO emissions and natural gas and number 2 fuel oil usages for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which this emissions unit will be operated, but not later than 180 days after its initial startup, the permittee shall conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the particulate, NOx, CO and VOC emission limitations while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The particulate emission test shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(d). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The NOx performance test shall be conducted using the NOx monitoring system in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(e). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

2. Not later than 30 days prior to the proposed test date(s), the permittee shall submit an "Intent to Test" notification to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office. The "Intent to Test" notification shall describe in detail the proposed test methods and procedures, the emissions unit operating parameters, the time(s) and date(s) of the test(s), and the person(s) who will be conducting the test(s). Failure to submit such notification for review and approval prior to the test(s) may result in the Ohio EPA, Central District Office's refusal to accept the results of the emission test(s).

3. Personnel from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office shall be permitted to witness the test(s), examine the testing equipment, and acquire data and information necessary to ensure that the operation of the emissions unit and the testing procedures provide a valid characterization of the emissions from the emissions unit and/or the performance of the control equipment.

4. A comprehensive written report on the results of the emission(s) test(s) shall be submitted to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office within 30 days following the completion of the test(s). The permittee may request additional time for the submittal of the written report, where warranted, with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of the terms and conditions of this permit shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

5.a Emission Limitations:
NOx emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Following the initial performance test, when firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

When firing natural gas, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

5.b Emission Limitation:
Nitrogen oxides NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual natural gas heat content (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (mmcu ft/yr) and dividing by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual number 2 fuel oil heat content (Btu/gal) by the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.c Emission Limitations:
CO emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.04 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 10, while firing natural gas.

5.d Emission Limitation:
CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 BTU/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.e Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.005 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5, while firing natural gas.

5.f Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in natural gas combustion (0.005 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.02 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.g Emission Limitations:
Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.004 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 25, while firing natural gas.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.h Emission Limitation:
Volatile organic compound emissions (VOC) shall not exceed 3.54 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in natural gas combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.i Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing fuel oil, compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation shall be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

When firing natural gas, compliance with this limitation will be assumed due to the negligible percent sulfur, by weight, in the fuel.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

5.j Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in natural gas combustion (0.0006 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.052 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.k Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.l Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by data collected and recorded for the COM. Compliance shall be determined by visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken New Boiler #7 (B143)

Activity Description: McCraken New Boiler #7 to be installed (Replace B122)

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
206 mmBtu/hr gas/oil-fired water tube boiler with low NOx burner - New Boiler 7 (replaces B122)	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08714)	Nitrogen oxides (NOx) emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. PE shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
		Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. VOC emissions shall not exceed 3.54 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu when firing number 2 fuel oil. SO2 emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rule 3745-17-07(A) and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db. See A.II.3 below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.
	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db	Visible PE shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity, when firing number 2 fuel oil.
	OAC rule 3745-21-08(B) OAC rule 3745-23-06(B)	See A.I.2.a below.
	OAC rule 3745-31-13(D)(1)	See A.I.2.b below.
	OAC rule 3745-17-10(B)(1) OAC rule 3745-18-06(D)	The emission limitations established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) are more stringent than the emission limitations established by these rules.
	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	See Attachment 1 of this permit.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The permittee satisfies the "best available control techniques and operating practices" and "latest available control techniques and operating practices" required pursuant to OAC rules 3745-21-08 and 3745-23-06, respectively, by complying with the best available technology requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) in Permit to Intall 1-08714.

On November 5, 2002, OAC rule 3745-21-08 was revised to delete paragraph (B); therefore, paragraph (B) is no longer part of the State regulations. However, that rule revision has not yet been submitted to the U.S. EPA as a revision to Ohio's State Implementation Plan (SIP). Therefore, until the SIP revision occurs and the U.S. EPA approves the revisions to OAC rule 3745-21-08, the requirement to satisfy the "best available control techniques and operating practices" still exists as part of the federally-approved SIP for Ohio.

2.b As a non-profit education institution, the permittee requested and received a discretionary exemption from the Director on March 14, 2003, to exempt this emissions unit from the PSD requirements contained in OAC rules 3745-31-10 through 3745-31-20.

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The maximum annual number 2 fuel oil usage for this emissions unit shall not exceed 6,285,300 gallons.

2. The quality of the number 2 fuel oil burned in this emissions unit shall meet, on an as-received basis, a sulfur content which is equal to or less than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and is sufficient to comply with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation specified in Section A.I above.

3. The permittee shall operate low NOx burners and employ flue gas recirculation at all times this emissions unit is in operation.

4. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil.

5. The permittee shall operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the NOx emissions from this emissions unit when combusting natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

6. The permittee shall burn only natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil in this emissions unit.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain records of the oil burned in this emissions unit in accordance with either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2 described below.

a. Alternative 1:

For each shipment of oil received for burning in this emissions unit, the permittee shall collect or require the oil supplier to collect a representative grab sample of oil and maintain records of the total quantity of oil received, the permittee's or oil supplier's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).) A shipment may be comprised of multiple tank truck loads from the same supplier's batch, and the quality of the oil for those loads may be represented by a single batch analysis from the supplier.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

b. Alternative 2:

The permittee shall collect a representative grab sample of oil that is burned in this emissions unit for each day when the emissions unit is in operation. If additional fuel oil is added to the tank serving this emissions unit on a day when the emissions unit is in operation, the permittee shall collect a sufficient number of grab samples to develop a composite sample representative of the fuel oil burned in this emissions unit. A representative grab sample of oil does not need to be collected on days when this emissions unit is only operated for the purpose of "test-firing." The permittee shall maintain records of the total quantity of oil burned each day, except for the purpose of test-firing, the permittee's analyses for sulfur content and heat content, and the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu). (The sulfur dioxide emission rate shall be calculated in accordance with the formula specified in OAC rule 3745-18-04(F).)

The permittee shall perform or require the supplier to perform the analyses for sulfur content and heat content in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 19, or the appropriate ASTM methods (such as, ASTM methods D240 and D4294), or equivalent methods as approved by the Director.

2. For each day during which the permittee burns a fuel other than natural gas or number 2 fuel oil, the permittee shall maintain a record of the type and quantity of fuel burned in this emissions unit.

3. The permittee shall maintain daily records of the following information:

a. the natural gas consumption for each day (in million cubic feet);

b. the number 2 fuel oil consumption for each day (in gallons);

c. the total actual heat input to the emissions unit, calculated as follows:

DI = DIg + DIo

DI = Total heat input for each day, mmBtu
DIg = Daily heat input rate from Gas
DIo = Daily heat input rate from Oil

When the unit is combusting natural gas, use the following equation to calculate heat input rate:

DIg = (Qg * GCVg) /10^3

Where:

DIg = Daily heat input rate from pipeline natural gas, mmBtu/day.
Qg = Metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted during unit operation, thousand standard cubic feet per day.
GCVg = Gross calorific value of natural gas, as determined by sampling (for each monthly sample of pipeline natural gas, or as verified by the contractual supplier at least once every month pipeline natural gas is combusted) using ASTM D1826-88, ASTM D3588-91, ASTM D4891-89, GPA Standard 2172-86 "Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis," or GPA Standard 2261-90 "Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography," Btu/scf.
10^3 = Conversion of thousand Btu to mmBtu.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

When the unit is combusting oil, use the following equation to calculate hourly heat input rate:

DIo = Voil-rate * Doil * (GCVo / 10^6)

Where:

DIo = Daily heat input rate from oil, mmBtu/day.
Voil-rate = Volume rate of oil consumed per day, measured in gal/day
Doil = Density of oil, measured in lb/gal
GCVo = Gross calorific value of oil, as measured by ASTM D240-87, ASTM D2015-91, or ASTM D2382-88 for each batch of oil burned, Btu/unit mass, lbs.
10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

d. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing natural gas; and

e. beginning after the initial compliance demonstration, the rolling, 30-day average NOx emission rate, in pounds per mmBtu, when firing number 2 fuel oil.

4. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for the purpose of determining annual mass emissions:

a. the amounts of natural gas used (in million cubic feet);

b. the amounts of number 2 fuel oil used (in gallons); and

c. the annual emissions of each pollutant listed in term A.I.1 emitted from this emissions unit, in tons.

5. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record the opacity of the particulate emissions from this emissions unit when combusting number 2 fuel oil. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13.

Each continuous emission monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain a certification letter from the Ohio EPA documenting that the continuous opacity monitoring system has been certified in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1. This letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous opacity monitoring system: percent opacity on a 6-minute average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

6. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous opacity monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of opacity. The plan shall include, as a minimum, conducting and recording daily automatic zero/span checks, provisions for conducting a quarterly audit of the continuous opacity monitoring system, and a description of preventive maintenance activities. The plan shall describe step by step procedures for ensuring that the requirements specified in section 8 of Performance Specification 1 are maintained on a continuous basis. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous opacity monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

7. The permittee shall properly operate and maintain equipment to continuously monitor and record NOx emissions from this emissions unit, in units of the applicable standard(s) when the emissions unit is in operation. Such continuous monitoring and recording equipment shall comply with the requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60.13. Each continuous monitoring system consists of all the equipment used to acquire data and includes the sample extraction and transport hardware, sample conditioning hardware, analyzers, and data recording/processing hardware and software.

The permittee shall maintain on-site documentation from the Ohio EPA that the continuous NOx monitoring system has been certified in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60. The letter of certification shall be made available to the Director upon request.

The permittee shall maintain records of the following data obtained by the continuous NOx monitoring system: emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu of actual heat input on an hourly average basis, emissions of NOx in pounds per mmBtu on a rolling 30-day average basis, results of daily zero/span calibration checks, and magnitude of manual calibration adjustments.

8. Within 180 days of startup of this emissions unit, the permittee shall develop a written quality assurance/quality control plan for the continuous NOx monitoring system designed to ensure continuous valid and representative readings of NOx emissions in units of the applicable standard. The plan shall follow the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. The quality assurance/quality control plan and a logbook dedicated to the continuous NOx monitoring system must be kept on site and available for inspection during regular office hours.

9. Pursuant to Section 60.49b(r) of 40 CFR Part 60, the owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to demonstrate that the affected facility combusts only very low sulfur oil under Section 60.42b(j)(2) shall obtain and maintain at the affected facility fuel receipts from the fuel supplier which certify that the oil meets the definition of distillate oil as defined in Section 60.41b. For purposes of this section (i.e., 60.49b(r)), the oil need not meet the fuel nitrogen content specification in the definition of distillate oil.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall notify the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) in writing of any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation based upon the calculated sulfur dioxide emission rate and any record which shows a deviation of the allowable sulfur content. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be set to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) within 45 days after the deviation occurs.

2. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify each day when a fuel other than natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil was burned in this emissions unit. Each report shall be submitted within 30 days after the deviation occurs.

3. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting all instances of opacity valued in excess of the limitations specified above when firing number 2 fuel oil, detailing the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude (percent opacity), reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any) of each 6-minute block average above the applicable opacity limitation(s).

The reports shall also identify any continuous opacity monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) when firing number 2 fuel oil, along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.
If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

IV. Reporting Requirements (continued)

4. The permittee shall submit reports (hardcopy or electronic format) within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office documenting the date, commencement and completion times, duration, magnitude, reason (if known), and corrective action(s) taken (if any), of all 30-day average NOx values in excess of the applicable NOx emission rate (pound per mmBtu).

The reports shall also identify any continuous NOx monitoring system downtime while the emissions unit was on line (date, time, duration and reason) along with any corrective action(s) taken. The permittee shall provide the emissions unit operating time during the reporting period and the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of emissions until and control equipment malfunctions. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

If there are no excess emissions during the calendar quarter, the permittee shall submit a statement to that effect along with the date, time, reason, and corrective action(s) taken for each time period of monitoring system malfunction. The total operating time of the emissions unit and the total operating time of the analyzer while the emissions unit was on line also shall be included in the quarterly report.

These quarterly excess emission reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year and shall address the data obtained during the previous calendar quarter.

5. The permittee shall submit quarterly reports certifying that only very low sulfur oil meeting the definition in 40 CFR Part 60.41b (i.e. containing no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur) was combusted in this emissions unit during the preceding quarter.

These quarterly reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30, and October 30 of each year.

6. The permittee shall submit annual reports that specify the total particulate, SO2, VOC, NOx and CO emissions and natural gas and number 2 fuel oil usages for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which this emissions unit will be operated, but not later than 180 days after its initial startup, the permittee shall conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the particulate, NOx, CO and VOC emission limitations while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The particulate emission test shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(d). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

The NOx performance test shall be conducted using the NOx monitoring system in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR Parts 60.8 and 60.46b(e). The test shall be conducted while the emissions unit is operating at or near its maximum capacity, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

2. Not later than 30 days prior to the proposed test date(s), the permittee shall submit an "Intent to Test" notification to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office. The "Intent to Test" notification shall describe in detail the proposed test methods and procedures, the emissions unit operating parameters, the time(s) and date(s) of the test(s), and the person(s) who will be conducting the test(s). Failure to submit such notification for review and approval prior to the test(s) may result in the Ohio EPA, Central District Office's refusal to accept the results of the emission test(s).

3. Personnel from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office shall be permitted to witness the test(s), examine the testing equipment, and acquire data and information necessary to ensure that the operation of the emissions unit and the testing procedures provide a valid characterization of the emissions from the emissions unit and/or the performance of the control equipment.

4. A comprehensive written report on the results of the emission(s) test(s) shall be submitted to the Ohio EPA, Central District Office within 30 days following the completion of the test(s). The permittee may request additional time for the submittal of the written report, where warranted, with prior approval from the Ohio EPA, Central District Office.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of the terms and conditions of this permit shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

5.a Emission Limitations:
NOx emissions shall not exceed 0.035 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.10 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Following the initial performance test, when firing number 2 fuel oil, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

When firing natural gas, compliance with the emission limitation shall be demonstrated on a continuous basis through the use of a 30-day rolling average emission rate. A new 30-day average emission rate is calculated each steam generating unit operating day as the average of all of the hourly NOx emission data for the preceding 30 steam generating unit operating days.

5.b Emission Limitation:
Nitrogen oxides NOx emissions shall not exceed 59.15 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual natural gas heat content (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (mmcu ft/yr) and dividing by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emission data (lbs NOx/mmBtu) by the average annual number 2 fuel oil heat content (Btu/gal) by the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.c Emission Limitations:
CO emissions shall not exceed 0.04 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input while firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.04 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.08 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 10, while firing natural gas.

5.d Emission Limitation:
CO emissions shall not exceed 52.74 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the CEMS emissions data (lbs CO/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 BTU/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.e Emission Limitations:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 0.005 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.005 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.02 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 5, while firing natural gas.

5.f Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 10.93 tons per year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in natural gas combustion (0.005 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying the annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for PE in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.02 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.g Emission Limitations:
Volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions shall not exceed 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated with the boiler manufacturer's emission factor data: 0.004 lb/mmBtu for natural gas and 0.004 lb/mmBtu for number 2 fuel oil (Nebraska Boiler Company, boiler engineering calculations dated 08/28/2002) until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the emission limitation for natural gas through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 25, while firing natural gas.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.h Emission Limitation:
Volatile organic compound emissions (VOC) shall not exceed 3.54 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in natural gas combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company) for VOC in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.004 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton. This emission factor shall be used until initial emission testing is completed, at which time the site-specific emission factor for number 2 fuel oil shall be used.

5.i Emission Limitations:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 0.0006 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing natural gas and 0.052 lb/mmBtu of actual heat input when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
When firing fuel oil, compliance with the allowable sulfur dioxide emission limitation shall be demonstrated by documenting that the sulfur content of each shipment of oil received during a calendar month meets the limitation.

When firing natural gas, compliance with this limitation will be assumed due to the negligible percent sulfur, by weight, in the fuel.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 6, while firing number 2 fuel oil.

5.j Emission Limitation:
Sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions shall not exceed 22.82 tons/year when firing natural gas and/or number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be demonstrated by summing the annual natural gas and number 2 fuel oil emissions.

The annual natural gas emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual natural gas usage (cu ft/yr) by the heat content of the gas (Btu/cu ft) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in natural gas combustion (0.0006 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

The annual number 2 fuel oil emissions shall be determined by multiplying annual number 2 fuel oil usage (gal/yr) by the heat content of the oil (Btu/gal) by the emission factor supplied by the boiler manufacturer (Nebraska Boiler Company, 08/28/2002) for SO2 in number 2 fuel oil combustion (0.052 lb/mmBtu) and dividing by 1,000,000 Btu/mmBtu and again by 2,000 lbs/ton.

5.k Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average when firing natural gas, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

5.l Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions (PE) shall not exceed 20% opacity, as a 6-minute average, except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27% opacity when firing number 2 fuel oil.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be determined by data collected and recorded for the COM. Compliance shall be determined by visible emission observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Bldg290-17 (K001)

Activity Description: 290/ , Heidelberg Speedmaster 5 Color Press

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
offset, lithographic 5-color printing press	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-8361)	Organic compound (OC) emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr, 40 lbs/day and 7.31 tons/yr. See Sections A.I.2.a through A.I.2.d below.
	OAC rule 3745-21-07(G)(2)	The requirements established pursuant to this rule are equivalent to the requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The OC content of the ink shall not exceed 5%, by weight.

2.b The OC content of the fountain solution shall not exceed 2.8 pounds per gallon.

2.c The OC content of the blanket wash components shall not exceed 6.96 pounds per gallon.

2.d The OC content of the isopropyl alcohol (IPA) shall not exceed 6.6 pounds per gallon.

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain the following information on a daily basis for the coating operation:

a. the company identification for each coating, ink and cleanup material employed;

b. the number of gallons of each coating, ink and cleanup material employed;

c. the OC content of each coating, ink and cleanup material, in pounds per gallon;

d. the total OC emission rate for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials (i.e., the summation of (b) * (c), for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials) in pounds per day;

e. the total number of hours the emissions unit was in operation; and

f. the average hourly OC emission rate for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials, i.e., (d)/(e), in pounds per hour (average).

2. The permittee shall maintain records of the annual OC emission rate calculated as the sum of the daily OC emission rates from Section A.III.1.d for the calendar year.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that include the following information:

a. an identification of each day during which the average hourly OC emissions from the coatings, inks and cleanup materials exceeded 8 pounds per hour;

b. an identification for each day during which the OC emissions from the coatings, inks and cleanup materials exceeded 40 pounds per day.

2. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify any exceedance of the OC content limitations specified in Sections A.I.2.a through A.I.2.d.

3. The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

4. The permittee shall also submit annual reports that specify the total OC emissions for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitations:
OC emissions shall not exceed 8 pounds per hour and 40 pounds per day.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The record keeping requirements in Section A.III of this permit may be used to determine compliance with the above limitations.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the pounds per hour emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 18.

1.b Emission Limitation:
OC emissions shall not exceed 7.31 tons per year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The record keeping requirements in Section A.III of this permit may be used to determine compliance with the above limitation.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

2. Formulation data or USEPA Method 24 shall be used to determine the organic compound contents of the coatings, inks and cleanup materials.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
offset, lithographic 5-color printing press	none	See B.III below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permit to install for this emissions unit (K001) was evaluated based on the actual materials (typically coatings and cleanup materials) and the design parameters of the emissions unit's exhaust system, as specified by the permittee in the permit to install application. The Ohio EPA's "Review of New Sources of Air Toxic Emissions" policy ("Air Toxic Policy") was applied for each pollutant emitted by this emissions unit using data from the permit to install application and the SCREEN 3.0 model (or other Ohio EPA approved model). The predicted 1-hour maximum ground-level concentration from the use of the SCREEN 3.0 model was compared to the Maximum Acceptable Ground-Level Concentration (MAGLC). The following summarizes the results of the modeling for the "worst case" pollutant(s):

Pollutant: isopropyl alcohol (IPA)

TLV (mg/m3): 983

Maximum Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hr): 8 lbs/hr

Predicted 1-Hour Maximum Ground-Level Concentration (ug/m3): 2,965

MAGLC (ug/m3): 23,404.8

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. Physical changes to or changes in the method of operation of the emissions unit after its installation or modification could affect the parameters used to determine whether or not the "Air Toxic Policy" is satisfied. Consequently, prior to making a change that could impact such parameters, the permittee shall conduct an evaluation to determine that the "Air Toxic Policy" will still be satisfied. If, upon evaluation, the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will not be satisfied, the permittee will not make the change. Changes that can affect the parameters used in applying the "Air Toxic Policy" include the following:

a. changes in the composition of the materials used (typically for coatings or cleanup materials), or the use of new materials, that would result in the emission of a compound with a lower Threshold Limit Value (TLV), as indicated in the most recent version of the handbook entitled "American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)," than the lowest TLV value previously modeled;

b. changes in the composition of the materials, or use of new materials, that would result in an increase in emissions of any pollutant with a listed TLV that was proposed in the application and modeled; and

c. physical changes to the emissions unit or its exhaust parameters (e.g., increased/ decreased exhaust flow, changes in stack height, changes in stack diameter, etc.).

3. If the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will be satisfied for the above changes, the Ohio EPA will not consider the change(s) to be a "modification" under OAC rule 3745-31-01 solely due to the emissions of any type of toxic air contaminant not previously emitted, and a modification of the existing permit to install will not be required, even if the toxic air contaminant emissions are greater than the de minimis level in OAC rule 3745-15-05. If the change(s) is (are) defined as a modification under other provisions of the modification definition, then the permittee shall obtain a final permit to install prior to the change.

The permittee shall collect, record, and retain the following information when it conducts evaluations to determine that the changed emissions unit will still satisfy the "Air Toxic Policy:"

a. a description of the parameters changed (composition of materials, new pollutants emitted, change in stack/exhaust parameters, etc.);

b. documentation of its evaluation and determination that the changed emissions unit still satisfies the "Air Toxic Policy"; and

c. where computer modeling is performed, a copy of the resulting computer model runs that show the results of the application of the "Air Toxic Policy" for the change.

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Print facility (K002)

Activity Description: Printing facility, 6 color press

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
offset, lithographic 6-color printing press	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-8361)	Organic compound (OC) emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr, 40 lbs/day and 7.31 tons/yr. See Sections A.I.2.a through A.I.2.d below.
	OAC rule 3745-21-07(G)(2)	The requirements established pursuant to this rule are equivalent to the requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The OC content of the ink shall not exceed 5%, by weight.

2.b The OC content of the fountain solution shall not exceed 2.8 pounds per gallon.

2.c The OC content of the blanket wash components shall not exceed 6.96 pounds per gallon.

2.d The OC content of the isopropyl alcohol (IPA) shall not exceed 6.6 pounds per gallon.

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain the following information on a daily basis for the coating operation:

a. the company identification for each coating, ink and cleanup material employed;

b. the number of gallons of each coating, ink and cleanup material employed;

c. the OC content of each coating, ink and cleanup material, in pounds per gallon;

d. the total OC emission rate for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials (i.e., the summation of (b) * (c), for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials) in pounds per day;

e. the total number of hours the emissions unit was in operation; and

f. the average hourly OC emission rate for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials, i.e., (d)/(e), in pounds per hour (average).

2. The permittee shall maintain records of the annual OC emission rate calculated as the sum of the daily OC emission rates from Section A.III.1.d for the calendar year.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that include the following information:

a. an identification of each day during which the average hourly OC emissions from the coatings, inks and cleanup materials exceeded 8 pounds per hour;

b. an identification for each day during which the OC emissions from the coatings, inks and cleanup materials exceeded 40 pounds per day.

2. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify any exceedance of the OC content limitations specified in Sections A.I.2.a through A.I.2.d.

3. The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

4. The permittee shall also submit annual reports that specify the total OC emissions for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitations:
OC emissions shall not exceed 8 pounds per hour and 40 pounds per day.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The record keeping requirements in Section A.III of this permit may be used to determine compliance with the above limitations.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the pounds per hour emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 18.

1.b Emission Limitation:
OC emissions shall not exceed 7.31 tons per year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The record keeping requirements in Section A.III of this permit may be used to determine compliance with the above limitation.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

2. Formulation data or USEPA Method 24 shall be used to determine the organic compound contents of the coatings, inks and cleanup materials.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
offset, lithographic 6-color printing press	none	See B.III below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permit to install for this emissions unit (K002) was evaluated based on the actual materials (typically coatings and cleanup materials) and the design parameters of the emissions unit's exhaust system, as specified by the permittee in the permit to install application. The Ohio EPA's "Review of New Sources of Air Toxic Emissions" policy ("Air Toxic Policy") was applied for each pollutant emitted by this emissions unit using data from the permit to install application and the SCREEN 3.0 model (or other Ohio EPA approved model). The predicted 1-hour maximum ground-level concentration from the use of the SCREEN 3.0 model was compared to the Maximum Acceptable Ground-Level Concentration (MAGLC). The following summarizes the results of the modeling for the "worst case" pollutant(s):

Pollutant: isopropyl alcohol (IPA)

TLV (mg/m3): 983

Maximum Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hr): 8 lbs/hr

Predicted 1-Hour Maximum Ground-Level Concentration (ug/m3): 2,965

MAGLC (ug/m3): 23,404.8

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. Physical changes to or changes in the method of operation of the emissions unit after its installation or modification could affect the parameters used to determine whether or not the "Air Toxic Policy" is satisfied. Consequently, prior to making a change that could impact such parameters, the permittee shall conduct an evaluation to determine that the "Air Toxic Policy" will still be satisfied. If, upon evaluation, the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will not be satisfied, the permittee will not make the change. Changes that can affect the parameters used in applying the "Air Toxic Policy" include the following:

a. changes in the composition of the materials used (typically for coatings or cleanup materials), or the use of new materials, that would result in the emission of a compound with a lower Threshold Limit Value (TLV), as indicated in the most recent version of the handbook entitled "American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)," than the lowest TLV value previously modeled;

b. changes in the composition of the materials, or use of new materials, that would result in an increase in emissions of any pollutant with a listed TLV that was proposed in the application and modeled; and

c. physical changes to the emissions unit or its exhaust parameters (e.g., increased/ decreased exhaust flow, changes in stack height, changes in stack diameter, etc.).

3. If the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will be satisfied for the above changes, the Ohio EPA will not consider the change(s) to be a "modification" under OAC rule 3745-31-01 solely due to the emissions of any type of toxic air contaminant not previously emitted, and a modification of the existing permit to install will not be required, even if the toxic air contaminant emissions are greater than the de minimis level in OAC rule 3745-15-05. If the change(s) is (are) defined as a modification under other provisions of the modification definition, then the permittee shall obtain a final permit to install prior to the change.
The permittee shall collect, record, and retain the following information when it conducts evaluations to determine that the changed emissions unit will still satisfy the "Air Toxic Policy:"

a. a description of the parameters changed (composition of materials, new pollutants emitted, change in stack/exhaust parameters, etc.);

b. documentation of its evaluation and determination that the changed emissions unit still satisfies the "Air Toxic Policy"; and

c. where computer modeling is performed, a copy of the resulting computer model runs that show the results of the application of the "Air Toxic Policy" for the change.

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Print facility (K003)

Activity Description: 2 color press. PTI is issued

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
offset, lithographic 2-color printing press	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-8361)	Organic compound (OC) emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr, 40 lbs/day and 4.96 tons/yr. See Sections A.I.2.a through A.I.2.c below.
	OAC rule 3745-21-07(G)(2)	The requirements established pursuant to this rule are equivalent to the requirements of OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

2.a The OC content of the ink shall not exceed 5%, by weight.

2.b The OC content of the fountain solution shall not exceed 2.8 pounds per gallon.

2.c The OC content of the blanket wash components shall not exceed 6.96 pounds per gallon.

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain the following information on a daily basis for the coating operation:

a. the company identification for each coating, ink and cleanup material employed;

b. the number of gallons of each coating, ink and cleanup material employed;

c. the OC content of each coating, ink and cleanup material, in pounds per gallon;

d. the total OC emission rate for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials (i.e., the summation of (b) * (c), for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials) in pounds per day;

e. the total number of hours the emissions unit was in operation; and

f. the average hourly OC emission rate for all coatings, inks and cleanup materials, i.e., (d)/(e), in pounds per hour (average).

2. The permittee shall maintain records of the annual OC emission rate calculated as the sum of the daily OC emission rates from Section A.III.1.d for the calendar year.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that include the following information:

a. an identification of each day during which the average hourly OC emissions from the coatings, inks and cleanup materials exceeded 8 pounds per hour;

b. an identification for each day during which the OC emissions from the coatings, inks and cleanup materials exceeded 40 pounds per day.

2. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that identify any exceedance of the OC content limitations specified in Sections A.I.2.a through A.I.2.c.

3. The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

4. The permittee shall also submit annual reports that specify the total OC emissions for this emissions unit for the previous calendar year. These reports shall be submitted by January 30 of each year.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitations:
OC emissions shall not exceed 8 pounds per hour and 40 pounds per day.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The record keeping requirements in Section A.III of this permit may be used to determine compliance with the above limitations.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the pounds per hour emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 18.

1.b Emission Limitation:
OC emissions shall not exceed 4.96 tons per year.

Applicable Compliance Method:
The record keeping requirements in Section A.III of this permit may be used to determine compliance with the above limitation.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

2. Formulation data or USEPA Method 24 shall be used to determine the organic compound contents of the coatings, inks and cleanup materials.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
offset, lithographic 2-color printing press	none	See B.III below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permit to install for this emissions unit (K003) was evaluated based on the actual materials (typically coatings and cleanup materials) and the design parameters of the emissions unit's exhaust system, as specified by the permittee in the permit to install application. The Ohio EPA's "Review of New Sources of Air Toxic Emissions" policy ("Air Toxic Policy") was applied for each pollutant emitted by this emissions unit using data from the permit to install application and the SCREEN 3.0 model (or other Ohio EPA approved model). The predicted 1-hour maximum ground-level concentration from the use of the SCREEN 3.0 model was compared to the Maximum Acceptable Ground-Level Concentration (MAGLC). The following summarizes the results of the modeling for the "worst case" pollutant(s):

Pollutant: stoddard solvent (mineral spirits, a component of Presspro

TLV (mg/m3): 573

Maximum Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hr): 8 lbs/hr

Predicted 1-Hour Maximum Ground-Level
Concentration (ug/m3): 2,965

MAGLC (ug/m3): 13,633.3

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. Physical changes to or changes in the method of operation of the emissions unit after its installation or modification could affect the parameters used to determine whether or not the "Air Toxic Policy" is satisfied. Consequently, prior to making a change that could impact such parameters, the permittee shall conduct an evaluation to determine that the "Air Toxic Policy" will still be satisfied. If, upon evaluation, the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will not be satisfied, the permittee will not make the change. Changes that can affect the parameters used in applying the "Air Toxic Policy" include the following:

a. changes in the composition of the materials used (typically for coatings or cleanup materials), or the use of new materials, that would result in the emission of a compound with a lower Threshold Limit Value (TLV), as indicated in the most recent version of the handbook entitled "American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)," than the lowest TLV value previously modeled;

b. changes in the composition of the materials, or use of new materials, that would result in an increase in emissions of any pollutant with a listed TLV that was proposed in the application and modeled; and

c. physical changes to the emissions unit or its exhaust parameters (e.g., increased/ decreased exhaust flow, changes in stack height, changes in stack diameter, etc.).

3. If the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will be satisfied for the above changes, the Ohio EPA will not consider the change(s) to be a "modification" under OAC rule 3745-31-01 solely due to the emissions of any type of toxic air contaminant not previously emitted, and a modification of the existing permit to install will not be required, even if the toxic air contaminant emissions are greater than the de minimis level in OAC rule 3745-15-05. If the change(s) is (are) defined as a modification under other provisions of the modification definition, then the permittee shall obtain a final permit to install prior to the change.

The permittee shall collect, record, and retain the following information when it conducts evaluations to determine that the changed emissions unit will still satisfy the "Air Toxic Policy:"

a. a description of the parameters changed (composition of materials, new pollutants emitted, change in stack/exhaust parameters, etc.);

b. documentation of its evaluation and determination that the changed emissions unit still satisfies the "Air Toxic Policy"; and

c. where computer modeling is performed, a copy of the resulting computer model runs that show the results of the application of the "Air Toxic Policy" for the change.

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: RhodesETO1 (P001)

Activity Description: ETO #1 at Rhodes Hall. Sterilizer for hospital equipment.

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
ethylene oxide sterilizer (ETO)	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-08310)	Ethylene oxide emissions shall not exceed 1.34 lbs/hr and 0.25 ton/yr. HCFC emissions shall not exceed 12.06 lbs/hr and 2.2 tons/yr. See A.II.1 through A.II.3 below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

1. This emissions unit shall not exceed one (1) sterilization cycle per day.

2. The permittee shall not use a sterilant gas that contains greater than 10% ethylene oxide.

3. This emissions unit shall not release fugitive emissions of ethylene oxide.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall maintain daily records of the following information for this emissions unit:

a. the number of operating cycles;

b. the ethylene oxide content of the sterilant gas if it exceeds 10%;

c. the release of fugitive ethylene oxide emissions; and

d. all supporting analyses and computations.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit deviation (excursion) reports that identify the following:

a. each day during which more than one sterilization cycle took place;

b. each day during which a sterilant gas was used with greater than 10% ethylene oxide; and

c. each day during which fugitive ethylene oxide emissions were released.

Each report shall be submitted within 30 days of the date the deviation occured.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitation:
Ethylene oxide emissions shall not exceed 1.34 lbs/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
This emission limitation was established by mulitplying the maximum amount of sterilization solution used per operating cycle (13.4 lbs), by the restricted ethylene oxide content of the solution (10%), by the restricted number of operating cycles (1). Since each operating cycle yields one ventilation period that consumes less than one (1) hour, the emissions unit will emit no more than 1.34 lbs/hr.

Therefore, compliance with this emission limitation may be demonstrated by restricting the ethylene oxide content of the solution to no more than 10% and by restricting the emissions unit to no more than one (1) cycle per day.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with U.S. EPA approved methods.

1.b Emission Limitation:
Ethylene oxide emissions shall not exceed 0.25 ton/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Since each sterilization operating cycle yields one ventilation period that consumes less than one (1) hour and the emissions unit is limited to no more than one (1) operating cycle per day, lbs of ethylene oxide emitted/hr equals lbs of ethylene oxide emitted/day.

This emission limitation was established by multiplying the maximum allowable daily ethylene oxide emission rate (1.34 lbs/day) by 365 days/yr, and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

Therefore, compliance with this emission limitation may be assumed provided that the permittee complies with the operational restrictions for this emissions unit.

1.c Emission Limitation:
HCFC emissions shall not exceed 12.06 lbs/hr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
This emission limitation was established by mulitplying the maximum amount of sterilization solution used per operating cycle (13.4 lbs), by the HCFC content of the solution (90%), by the restricted number of operating cycles (1). Each operating cycle yields one ventilation period that consumes less than one (1) hour.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with U.S. EPA approved methods.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.d Emission Limitation:
HCFC emissions shall not exceed 2.2 tons/yr.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Since each sterilization operating cycle yields one ventilation period that consumes less than one (1) hour and the emissions unit is limited to no more than one (1) operating cycle per day, lbs of HCFC emitted/hr equals lbs of HCFC emitted/day.

This emission limitation was established by multiplying the daily HCFC emission rate (12.06 lbs/day) by 365 days/yr, and dividing by 2000 lbs/ton.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
ethylene oxide sterilizer (ETO)	none	See B.III below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permit to install for this emissions unit (P001) was evaluated based on the actual materials (typically coatings and cleanup materials) and the design parameters of the emissions unit's exhaust system, as specified by the permittee in the permit to install application. The Ohio EPA's "Review of New Sources of Air Toxic Emissions" policy ("Air Toxic Policy") was applied for each pollutant emitted by this emissions unit using data from the permit to install application and the SCREEN 3.0 model (or other Ohio EPA approved model). The predicted 1-hour maximum ground-level concentration from the use of the SCREEN 3.0 model was compared to the Maximum Acceptable Ground-Level Concentration (MAGLC). The following summarizes the results of the modeling for the "worst case" pollutant(s):

Pollutant: Chlorodifluoro methane (HCFC)
TLV (mg/m3): 3536.6
Maximum Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hr): 12.06
Predicted 1-Hour Maximum Ground-Level Concentration (ug/m3): 158
MAGLC (ug/m3): 353.6

Pollutant: Ethylene oxide
TLV (mg/m3): 180
Maximum Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hr): 1.34
Predicted 1-Hour Maximum Ground-Level Concentration (ug/m3): 158
MAGLC (ug/m3): 180

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements (continued)

2. Physical changes to or changes in the method of operation of the emissions unit after its installation or modification could affect the parameters used to determine whether or not the "Air Toxic Policy" is satisfied. Consequently, prior to making a change that could impact such parameters, the permittee shall conduct an evaluation to determine that the "Air Toxic Policy" will still be satisfied. If, upon evaluation, the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will not be satisfied, the permittee will not make the change. Changes that can affect the parameters used in applying the "Air Toxic Policy" include the following:

a. changes in the composition of the materials used (typically for coatings or cleanup materials), or the use of new materials, that would result in the emission of a compound with a lower Threshold Limit Value (TLV), as indicated in the most recent version of the handbook entitled "American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)," than the lowest TLV value previously modeled;

b. changes in the composition of the materials, or use of new materials, that would result in an increase in emissions of any pollutant with a listed TLV that was proposed in the application and modeled; and

c. physical changes to the emissions unit or its exhaust parameters (e.g., increased/ decreased exhaust flow, changes in stack height, changes in stack diameter, etc.).

3. If the permittee determines that the "Air Toxic Policy" will be satisfied for the above changes, the Ohio EPA will not consider the change(s) to be a "modification" under OAC rule 3745-31-01 solely due to the emissions of any type of toxic air contaminant not previously emitted, and a modification of the existing permit to install will not be required, even if the toxic air contaminant emissions are greater than the de minimis level in OAC rule 3745-15-05. If the change(s) is (are) defined as a modification under other provisions of the modification definition, then the permittee shall obtain a final permit to install prior to the change.

The permittee shall collect, record, and retain the following information when it conducts evaluations to determine that the changed emissions unit will still satisfy the "Air Toxic Policy:"

a. a description of the parameters changed (composition of materials, new pollutants emitted, change in stack/exhaust parameters, etc.);

b. documentation of its evaluation and determination that the changed emissions unit still satisfies the "Air Toxic Policy"; and

c. where computer modeling is performed, a copy of the resulting computer model runs that show the results of the application of the "Air Toxic Policy" for the change.

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken Coal Handling (P901)

Activity Description: Coal stroage bldh & transport to bunker - blower/bag filter

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
McCracken coal handling - fully enclosed loading, unloading and conveying operations controlled with a fabric filter; fully enclosed coal storage piles	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-572)	Visible particulate emissions from any stack shall not exceed 20% opacity, except for a period of not more than 3 minutes in any 60 minutes when opacity of up to and including 60% is permitted. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rules 3745-17-11(B)(1), 3745-17-07(B)(1), and 3745-17-08(B).
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(1)	5.0 lbs/hr of particulate emissions
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	The emission limitations specified by this rule are less stringent than the emission limitation established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(B)(1)	Visible fugitive particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 3-minute average.
	OAC rule 3745-17-08(B)	See A.II.1 through A.II.3 below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall maintain the enclosures for the coal unloading, coal crushing, coal sizing and coal conveying operations to minimize or eliminate visible emissions of fugitive dust.

2. The permittee shall spray the coal storage piles with a surfactant as needed to minimize or eliminate visible emissions of fugitive dust.

II. Operational Restrictions (continued)

3. The permittee shall employ telescoping chutes to minimize the free-fall of coal loaded onto the enclosed coal storage piles if visible fugitive particulate emissions from the building containing this emissions unit are observed based on the monitoring in Section A.III.2.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall perform daily checks, when the emissions unit is in operation and when the weather conditions allow, for any visible particulate emissions from the fabric filter serving this emissions unit. The presence or absence of any visible emissions shall be noted in an operations log. If visible emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;
b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;
c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operations, the cause of the abnormal emissions;
d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and
e. any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions.

The visible emissions check is not required to be performed by individuals certified to conduct U.S. EPA Reference Method 9 observations.

2. The permittee shall perform daily checks, when the emissions unit is in operation and when the weather conditions allow, for any visible fugitive particulate emissions from the building containing this emissions unit and the associated roadways. The presence or absence of any visible fugitive emissions shall be noted in an operations log. If visible fugitive emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;
b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;
c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operations, the cause of the abnormal emissions;
d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and
e. any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions.

The visible emissions check is not required to be performed by individuals certified to conduct U.S. EPA Reference Method 9 observations.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit semiannual written reports that (a) identify all days during which visible emissions were observed from the fabric filter serving this emissions unit and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions. These reports shall be submitted to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) by January 30 and July 30 of each year and shall cover the previous 6-month period.

2. The permittee shall submit semiannual written reports that (a) identify all days during which visible emissions were observed from the non-stack egress points from the building housing this emissions unit or the associated roadways and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions. These reports shall be submitted to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) by January 30 and July 30 of each year and shall cover the previous 6-month period.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions from any stack shall not exceed 20% opacity, except for a period of not more than 3 minutes in any 60 minutes when opacity of up to and including 60% opacity is permitted.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.b Emission Limitation:
Visible fugitive particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 3-minute average.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(3).

1.c Emission Limitation:
5.0 lbs/hr of particulate emissions

Applicable Compliance Method:
If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission testing performed in accordance with Methods 1 through 5 of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: McCracken Ash & Lime Handling (P902)

Activity Description: Ash unloader, ash silo exhauster/bag filter, lime silo bin vent filter

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
McCracken ash unloading, ash silo with fabric filter and lime silo with fabric filter	OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3) (PTI 01-572)	Visible particulate emissions from any stack shall not exceed 20% opacity, except for a period of not more than 3 minutes in any 60 minutes when opacity of up to and including 60% is permitted. The requirements of this rule also include compliance with the requirements of OAC rules 3745-17-11(B)(1), 3745-17-07(B)(1), and 3745-17-08(B).
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(1)	5.0 lbs/hr of particulate emissions
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	The emission limitations specified by this rule are less stringent than the emission limitation established pursuant to OAC rule 3745-31-05(A)(3).
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(B)(1)	Visible fugitive particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 3-minute average.
	OAC rule 3745-17-08(B)	See A.II.1 through A.II.2 below.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall cover all trucks utilized for ash disposal.

2. The permittee shall not stockpile refuse at the facility.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall perform daily checks, when the emissions unit is in operation and when the weather conditions allow, for any visible particulate emissions from the fabric filters serving this emissions unit. The presence or absence of any visible emissions shall be noted in an operations log. If visible emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;
b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;
c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operations, the cause of the abnormal emissions;
d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and
e. any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions.

The visible emissions check is not required to be performed by individuals certified to conduct U.S. EPA Reference Method 9 observations.

2. The permittee shall perform daily checks, when the emissions unit is in operation and when the weather conditions allow, for any visible fugitive particulate emissions from the building containing this emissions unit and the associated roadways. The presence or absence of any visible fugitive emissions shall be noted in an operations log. If visible fugitive emissions are observed, the permittee shall also note the following in the operations log:

a. the color of the emissions;
b. whether the emissions are representative of normal operations;
c. if the emissions are not representative of normal operations, the cause of the abnormal emissions;
d. the total duration of any visible emission incident; and
e. any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions.

The visible emissions check is not required to be performed by individuals certified to conduct U.S. EPA Reference Method 9 observations.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit semiannual written reports that (a) identify all days during which visible emissions were observed from the fabric filters serving this emissions unit and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions. These reports shall be submitted to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) by January 30 and July 30 of each year and shall cover the previous 6-month period.

2. The permittee shall submit semiannual written reports that (a) identify all days during which visible emissions were observed from the non-stack egress points from the building housing this emissions unit or the associated roadways and (b) describe any corrective actions taken to minimize or eliminate the visible emissions. These reports shall be submitted to the Director (the Ohio EPA, Central District Office) by January 30 and July 30 of each year and shall cover the previous 6-month period.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

1.a Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions from any stack shall not exceed 20% opacity, except for a period of not more than 3 minutes in any 60 minutes when opacity of up to and including 60% opacity is permitted.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.b Emission Limitation:
Visible fugitive particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 3-minute average.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(3).

1.c Emission Limitation:
5.0 lbs/hr of particulate emissions

Applicable Compliance Method:
If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation through emission testing performed in accordance with Methods 1 through 5 of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A.

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Booth #5B-RM301 McCracken Plant (R001)

Activity Description: Binks spray booth, model #FF 8-7T

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
wood and plastic furniture maintenance spray booth - Booth #5B	OAC rule 3745-21-07(G)(2)	Organic compound emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr and 40 lbs/day.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.551 pound per hour.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall operate the dry filtration system whenever this emissions unit is in operation.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for each day for the coating operation:

a. the company identification for each coating and cleanup material employed;

b. the company identification for each photochemically reactive cleanup material employed;

c. the number of gallons of each coating and cleanup material employed;

d. the organic compound content of each coating and cleanup material, in pounds per gallon;

e. the total organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials, in pounds per day;

f. the total number of hours the emissions unit was in operation;

g. the average hourly organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials, i.e., (e)/(f), in pounds per hour (average);

h. if the total organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials exceeds 40 pounds per day, as found in (e), then the permittee shall record the organic compound emission rate for all coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials, in pounds per day; and

i. if the average hourly organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials exceeds 8 pounds per hour, as found in (g), then the permittee shall record the average hourly organic compound emission rate for all coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials, in pounds per hour (average).

[Note: The coating information must be for the coatings as employed, including any thinning solvents added at the emissions unit. Also, the definition of "photochemically reactive material" is based upon OAC rule 3745-21-01(C)(5).]

2. The permittee shall maintain daily records that document any time periods when the dry filtration system was not in service when the emissions unit was in operation.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that include the following information:

a. an identification of each hour during which the organic compound emissions from the coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials exceeded 8 lbs/hr, and the actual organic compound emissions for each such hour; and

b. an identification of each day during which the organic compound emissions from the coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials exceeded 40 lbs/day, and the actual organic compound emissions for each such day.

The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

2. The permittee shall notify the Director (the appropriate District Office or local air agency) in writing of any daily record showing that the dry filtration system was not in service when the emissions unit was in operation. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the appropriate District Office or local air agency) within 30 days after the event occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitations:
Organic compound emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr and 40 lbs/day.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated through the record keeping in Section A.III.1.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the hourly emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 18 or 25, as appropriate.

1.b Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.c Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.551 pound per hour.

Applicable Compliance Method:
To determine the actual worst case emission rate for particulates, the following equation may be used:

E = (M) * (1-TE) * (1-CE)

where:

E = particulate matter emission rate (lbs/hr),
M = maximum coating solids usage rate (lbs/hr),
TE = transfer efficiency of coating equipment (ratio of the amount of coating solids deposited on the coated part to the amount of coating solids used),
CE = control efficiency of the control equipment.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation in accordance with the methods and procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(10).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

Part III - Terms and Conditions for Emissions Units

Emissions Unit ID: Booth #5A-RM301 McCracken Plant (R002)

Activity Description: Binks spray booth, model #FF10-8-T

A. State and Federally Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures
wood and plastic furniture maintenance spray booth - Booth #5A	OAC rule 3745-21-07(G)(2)	Organic compound emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr and 40 lbs/day.
	OAC rule 3745-17-07(A)	Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.
	OAC rule 3745-17-11(B)(1)	Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.551 pound per hour.

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

1. The permittee shall operate the dry filtration system whenever this emissions unit is in operation.

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

1. The permittee shall collect and record the following information for each day for the coating operation:

a. the company identification for each coating and cleanup material employed;

b. the company identification for each photochemically reactive cleanup material employed;

c. the number of gallons of each coating and cleanup material employed;

d. the organic compound content of each coating and cleanup material, in pounds per gallon;

e. the total organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials, in pounds per day;

f. the total number of hours the emissions unit was in operation;

g. the average hourly organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials, i.e., (e)/(f), in pounds per hour (average);

h. if the total organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials exceeds 40 pounds per day, as found in (e), then the permittee shall record the organic compound emission rate for all coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials, in pounds per day; and

i. if the average hourly organic compound emission rate for all coatings and cleanup materials exceeds 8 pounds per hour, as found in (g), then the permittee shall record the average hourly organic compound emission rate for all coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials, in pounds per hour (average).

[Note: The coating information must be for the coatings as employed, including any thinning solvents added at the emissions unit. Also, the definition of "photochemically reactive material" is based upon OAC rule 3745-21-01(C)(5).]

2. The permittee shall maintain daily records that document any time periods when the dry filtration system was not in service when the emissions unit was in operation.

IV. Reporting Requirements

1. The permittee shall submit quarterly deviation (excursion) reports that include the following information:

a. an identification of each hour during which the organic compound emissions from the coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials exceeded 8 lbs/hr, and the actual organic compound emissions for each such hour; and

b. an identification of each day during which the organic compound emissions from the coatings and photochemically reactive cleanup materials exceeded 40 lbs/day, and the actual organic compound emissions for each such day.

The quarterly deviation reports shall be submitted in accordance with General Term and Condition A.1.c.ii of this permit except that the reports shall be submitted by January 30, April 30, July 30 and October 30 of each year.

2. The permittee shall notify the Director (the appropriate District Office or local air agency) in writing of any daily record showing that the dry filtration system was not in service when the emissions unit was in operation. The notification shall include a copy of such record and shall be sent to the Director (the appropriate District Office or local air agency) within 30 days after the event occurs.

V. Testing Requirements

1. Compliance with the emission limitations in Section A.I. of these terms and conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following methods:

V. Testing Requirements (continued)

1.a Emission Limitations:
Organic compound emissions shall not exceed 8 lbs/hr and 40 lbs/day.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance may be demonstrated through the record keeping in Section A.III.1.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with the hourly emission limitation through emission tests performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4 and 18 or 25, as appropriate.

1.b Emission Limitation:
Visible particulate emissions shall not exceed 20% opacity as a 6-minute average, except as provided by rule.

Applicable Compliance Method:
Compliance shall be determined through visible emissions observations performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 and the procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(1).

1.c Emission Limitation:
Particulate emissions shall not exceed 0.551 pound per hour.

Applicable Compliance Method:
To determine the actual worst case emission rate for particulates, the following equation may be used:

E = (M) * (1-TE) * (1-CE)

where:

E = particulate matter emission rate (lbs/hr),
M = maximum coating solids usage rate (lbs/hr),
TE = transfer efficiency of coating equipment (ratio of the amount of coating solids deposited on the coated part to the amount of coating solids used),
CE = control efficiency of the control equipment.

If required, the permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this emission limitation in accordance with the methods and procedures specified in OAC rule 3745-17-03(B)(10).

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

B. State Enforceable Section

I. Applicable Emissions Limitations and/or Control Requirements

1. The specific operation(s), property, and/or equipment which constitute this emissions unit are listed in the following table along with the applicable rules and/or requirements and with the applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures. Emissions from this unit shall not exceed the listed limitations, and the listed control measures shall be employed. Additional applicable emissions limitations and/or control measures (if any) may be specified in narrative form following the table.

Operations, Property, and/or Equipment	Applicable Rules/ Requirements	Applicable Emissions Limitations/Control Measures

2. Additional Terms and Conditions

None

II. Operational Restrictions

None

III. Monitoring and/or Record Keeping Requirements

None

IV. Reporting Requirements

None

V. Testing Requirements

None

VI. Miscellaneous Requirements

None

****

THIS IS THE LAST PAGE OF THE PERMIT

****

Federal Register

Monday,
September 13, 2004

Part II

Environmental Protection Agency

40 CFR Part 63

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters; Final Rule

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[OAR–2002–0058; FRL–7633–9]

RIN 2060–AG69

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is promulgating national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters. The EPA has identified industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters as major sources of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) emissions. The final rule will implement section 112(d) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) by requiring all major sources to meet HAP emissions standards reflecting the application of the maximum achievable control technology (MACT). The final rule is expected to reduce HAP emissions by 50,600 to 58,000 tons per year (tpy).

The HAP emitted by facilities in the boiler and process heater source category include arsenic, cadmium, chromium, hydrogen chloride (HCl), hydrogen fluoride, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, and various organic HAP. Exposure to these substances has been demonstrated to cause adverse health effects such as irritation to the lung, skin, and mucus membranes, effects on the central nervous system, kidney damage, and cancer. These adverse health effects associated with the exposure to these specific HAP are further described in this preamble. In general, these findings only have been shown with concentrations higher than those typically in the ambient air.

The final rule contains numerous compliance provisions including health-based compliance alternatives for the hydrogen chloride and total selected metals emission limits.

DATES: The final rule is effective November 12, 2004. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the final rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of November 12, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket) in the EPA Docket Center, Room B–102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information concerning applicability and rule determinations, contact your State or local representative or appropriate EPA Regional Office representative. For information concerning rule development, contact Jim Eddinger, Combustion Group, Emission Standards Division (C439–01), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541–5426, fax number (919) 541–5450, electronic mail address *eddinger.jim@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Regulated Entities. Categories and entities potentially regulated by this action include:

Category	NAICS code	SIC code	Examples of potentially regulated entities
Any industry using a boiler or process heater as defined in the final rule.	211	13	Extractors of crude petroleum and natural gas.
	321	24	Manufacturers of lumber and wood products.
	322	26	Pulp and paper mills.
	325	28	Chemical manufacturers.
	324	29	Petroleum refineries, and manufacturers of coal products.
	316, 326, 339	30	Manufacturers of rubber and miscellaneous plastic products.
	331	33	Steel works, blast furnaces.
	332	34	Electroplating, plating, polishing, anodizing, and coloring.
	336	37	Manufacturers of motor vehicle parts and accessories.
	221	49	Electric, gas, and sanitary services.
	622	80	Health services.
	611	82	Educational services.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by this action. This table lists examples of the types of entities EPA is now aware could potentially be regulated by this action. Other types of entities not listed could also be affected. To determine whether your facility, company, business, organization, etc., is regulated by this action, you should examine the applicability criteria in § 63.7485 of the final rule. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Docket. The EPA has established an official public docket for this action under Docket ID No. OAR–2002–0058 and Docket ID No. A–96–47. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. All items may not be listed under both docket numbers, so interested parties should inspect both docket numbers to ensure that they have received all materials relevant to the final rule. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket) in the EPA Docket Center, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the Air and Radiation Docket is (202)

566–1742. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials.

Electronic Access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the ''**Federal Register**'' listings at *http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.*

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at *http://www.epa.gov/edocket/* to view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select ''search,'' then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

Worldwide Web (WWW). In addition to being available in the docket, an electronic copy of the final rule is also available on the WWW through the Technology Transfer Network (TTN). Following signature, a copy of the final rule will be posted on the TTN policy and guidance page for newly proposed or promulgated rules at the following address: *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg.* The TTN provides information and technology exchange in various areas of air pollution control. If more information regarding the TTN is needed, call the TTN HELP line at (919) 541–5384.

Judicial Review. Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, judicial review of the NESHAP is available by filing a petition for review in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit by November 12, 2004. Only those objections to the final rule that were raised with reasonable specificity during the period for public comment may be raised during judicial review. Under section 307(b)(2) of the CAA, the requirements that are the subject of the final rule may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by EPA to enforce these requirements.

Background Information Document. The EPA proposed the NESHAP for industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters on January 13, 2003 (68 FR 1660) and received 218 comment letters on the proposal. A memorandum ''National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters, Summary of Public Comments and Responses,'' containing EPA's responses to each public comment is available in Docket No. OAR–2002–0058.

Outline. The information presented in this preamble is organized as follows:

I. Background Information
A. What is the statutory authority for the final rule?
B. What criteria are used in the development of NESHAP?
C. How was the final rule developed?
D. What is the relationship between the final rule and other combustion rules?
E. What are the health effects of pollutants emitted from industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters?
II. Summary of the Final Rule
A. What source categories and subcategories are affected by the final rule?
B. What is the affected source?
C. What pollutants are emitted and controlled?
D. Does the final rule apply to me?
E. What are the emission limitations and work practice standards?
F. What are the testing and initial compliance requirements?
G. What are the continuous compliance requirements?
H. What are the notification, recordkeeping and reporting requirements?
I. What are the health-based compliance alternatives, and how do I demonstrate eligibility?
III. What are the significant changes since proposal?
A. Definition of Affected Source
B. Sources Not Covered by the NESHAP
C. Emission Limits
D. Definitions Added or Revised
E. Requirements for Sources in Subcategories Without Emission Limits or Work Practice Requirements
F. Carbon Monoxide Work Practice Emission Levels and Requirements
G. Fuel Analysis Option
H. Emissions Averaging
I. Opacity Limit
J. Operating Limit Determination
K. Revision of Compliance Dates
IV. What are the responses to significant comments?
A. Applicability
B. Format
C. Compliance Schedule
D. Subcategorization
E. MACT Floor
F. Beyond the MACT Floor
G. Work Practice Requirements
H. Compliance
I. Emissions Averaging
J. Risk-based Approach
V. Impacts of the Final Rule
A. What are the air impacts?
B. What are the water and solid waste impacts?
C. What are the energy impacts?
D. What are the control costs?
E. What are the economic impacts?
F. What are the social costs and benefits of the final rule?
VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review
B. Paperwork Reduction Act
C. Regulatory Flexibility Act
D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995
E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism
F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments
G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks
H. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use
I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
J. Congressional Review Act

I. Background Information

A. What Is the Statutory Authority for the Final Rule?

Section 112 of the CAA requires us to list categories and subcategories of major sources and area sources of HAP and to establish NESHAP for the listed source categories and subcategories. Industrial boilers, commercial and institutional boilers, and process heaters were listed on July 16, 1992 (57 FR 31576). Major sources of HAP are those that have the potential to emit greater than 10 tpy of any one HAP or 25 tpy of any combination of HAP.

B. What Criteria Are Used in the Development of NESHAP?

Section 112(c)(2) of the CAA requires that we establish NESHAP for control of HAP from both existing and new major sources, based upon the criteria set out in CAA section 112(d). The CAA requires the NESHAP to reflect the maximum degree of reduction in emissions of HAP that is achievable, taking into consideration the cost of achieving the emission reduction, any non-air quality health and environmental impacts, and energy requirements. This level of control is commonly referred to as the MACT.

The minimum control level allowed for NESHAP (the minimum level of stringency for MACT) is the ''MACT floor,'' as defined under section 112(d)(3) of the CAA. The MACT floor for existing sources is the emission limitation achieved by the average of the best-performing 12 percent of existing sources for categories and subcategories with 30 or more sources, or the average of the best-performing five sources for categories or subcategories with fewer than 30 sources. For new sources, the MACT floor cannot be less stringent than the emission control achieved in practice by the best-controlled similar source.

C. How Was the Final Rule Developed?

We proposed standards for industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters on January 13, 2003 (68 FR 1660). Public comments were solicited at the time of proposal. The public comment period lasted from January 13, 2003, to March 14, 2003.

We received a total of 218 public comment letters on the proposed rule. Comments were submitted by industry trade associations, owners/operators of boilers and process heaters, State regulatory agencies and their representatives, and environmental groups. Today's final rule reflects our consideration of all of the comments and additional information received. Major public comments on the proposed rules, along with our responses to those comments, are summarized in this preamble.

D. What Is the Relationship Between the Final Rule and Other Combustion Rules?

The final rule regulates source categories covering industrial boilers, institutional and commercial boilers, and process heaters. These source categories potentially include combustion units that are already regulated by other MACT standards. Therefore, we are excluding from the final rule any combustion units that are already or will be subject to regulation under another MACT standard under 40 CFR part 63.

Combustion units that are regulated by other standards and are therefore excluded from the final rule include solid waste incineration units covered by section 129 of the CAA; boilers or process heaters required to have a permit under section 3005 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act or covered by the hazardous waste combustor NESHAP in 40 CFR part 63, subpart EEE [1]; and recovery boilers or furnaces covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart MM.

With regards to solid waste incineration units covered by section 129 of the CAA, EPA solicited on February 17, 2004 (69 FR 7390) public comments on the definition of ''commercial and industrial solid waste incineration unit'' for the purpose of determining which combustion sources to regulate under section 129 and which to regulate under section 112 (*e.g.*, boilers and process heaters). As stated above, combustion units covered under section 129 are not subject to the final rule.

Electric utility steam generating units are not subject to the final rule. An electric utility steam generating unit is a fossil fuel-fired combustion unit of more than 25 megawatts that serves a generator that produces electricity for sale. A fossil fuel-fired unit that cogenerates steam and electricity and supplies more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity and more than 25 megawatts electrical output to any utility power distribution system for sale is considered an electric utility steam generating unit. Non-fossil fuel-fired utility boilers and electric utility steam generating units less than 25 megawatts are covered by the final rule.

In 1986, EPA codified the NSPS for industrial boilers (40 CFR part 60, subparts Db and Dc) and revised portions of them in 1999. The NSPS regulates emissions of particulate matter (PM), sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides from boilers constructed after June 19, 1984. Sources subject to the NSPS are also subject to the final rule because the final rule regulates sources of hazardous air pollutants while the NSPS does not. However, in developing the final rule for industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters, EPA minimized the monitoring requirements, testing requirements, and recordkeeping requirements to avoid duplicating requirements.

Because of the broad applicability of the final rule due to the definition of a process heater, certain process heaters could appear to fit the applicability of another existing MACT rule. We have, therefore, included in the list of combustion units not subject to the final rule refining kettles subject to the secondary lead MACT rule (40 CFR part 63, subpart X); ethylene cracking furnaces covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart YY; and blast furnace stoves described in the EPA document entitled ''National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Integrated Iron and Steel Plants—Background Information for Proposed Standards'' (EPA–453/R–01–005).

E. What Are the Health Effects of Pollutants Emitted From Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters?

The final rule protects air quality and promotes the public health by reducing emissions of some of the HAP listed in section 112(b)(1) of the CAA. As noted above, emissions data collected during development of the proposed rule show that HCl emissions represent the predominant HAP emitted by industrial boilers. Industrial boilers emit lesser amounts of hydrogen fluoride, chlorine, metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, mercury, manganese, nickel, and lead), and organic HAP emissions. Although numerous organic HAP may be emitted from industrial boilers and process heaters, only a few account for essentially all the mass of organic HAP emissions. These organic HAP are: Formaldehyde, benzene, and acetaldehyde.

Exposure to high levels of these HAP is associated with a variety of adverse health effects. These adverse health effects include chronic health disorders (*e.g.*, irritation of the lung, skin, and mucus membranes, effects on the central nervous system, and damage to the kidneys), and acute health disorders (*e.g.*, lung irritation and congestion, alimentary effects such as nausea and vomiting, and effects on the kidney and central nervous system). We have classified three of the HAP as human carcinogens and five as probable human carcinogens. Our screening assessment for respiratory HAP and for central nervous system (CNS) HAP, using health protective assumptions, indicates that manganese and chlorine are the only boiler-related HAP that are reasonably expected to approach health based criteria concentrations at receptor locations at or beyond facility boundaries. Emissions of all other HAP modeled on an individual basis appears to be insignificant relative to the concentration that would produce the health effects that they represent. The maximal hazard index (HI) for summation of the HAP modeled in the screening assessment for respiratory effects, including chlorine, was less than 3. The maximal HI for summation of the HAP modeled in the screening assessment for CNS effects, including manganese, was less than 3. Therefore, effects noted below for HAP at high concentrations are not expected to occur prior or after regulation as a result of emissions from these facilities, and are provided to illustrate the nature of the contaminant's effects at high dose. A screening assessment was also conducted for acute effects, and no exceedances were seen. Therefore, potential acute effects are not discussed below. However, to the extent the adverse effects do occur, the final rule will reduce emissions and subsequent exposures.

Acetaldehyde

Acetaldehyde is ubiquitous in the environment and may be formed in the body from the breakdown of ethanol (ethyl alcohol). In humans, symptoms of chronic (long-term) exposure to acetaldehyde resemble those of alcoholism. Long-term inhalation exposure studies in animals reported effects on the nasal epithelium and mucous membranes, and increased kidney weight. The EPA has classified acetaldehyde as a probable human carcinogen (Group B2) based on animal studies that have shown nasal tumors in rats and laryngeal tumors in hamsters.

[1] Please note that boilers that burn small quantities of hazardous waste under the exemptions provided by 40 CFR 266.108 are subject to today's final rule.

Attachment I
Attachment I

Arsenic

Chronic (long-term) inhalation exposure to inorganic arsenic in humans is associated with irritation of the skin and mucous membranes. Human data suggest a relationship between inhalation exposure for women working at or living near metal smelters and an increased risk of reproductive effects. Inorganic arsenic exposure in humans by the inhalation route has been shown to be strongly associated with lung cancer, while ingestion of inorganic arsenic in humans has been linked to a form of skin cancer and also to bladder, liver, and lung cancer. The EPA has classified inorganic arsenic as a Group A, human carcinogen.

Benzene

Chronic (long-term) inhalation exposure has caused various disorders in the blood, including reduced numbers of red blood cells. Increased incidence of leukemia (cancer of the tissues that form white blood cells) has been observed in humans occupationally exposed to benzene. The EPA has classified benzene as a Group A, known human carcinogen.

Beryllium

Chronic (long-term) inhalation exposure of humans to high levels of beryllium has been reported to cause chronic beryllium disease (berylliosis), in which granulomatous (noncancerous) lesions develop in the lung. Inhalation exposure to high levels of beryllium has been demonstrated to cause lung cancer in rats and monkeys. Human studies are limited, but suggest a causal relationship between beryllium exposure and an increased risk of lung cancer. We have classified beryllium as a Group B1, probable human carcinogen, when inhaled; data are inadequate to determine whether beryllium is carcinogenic when ingested.

Cadmium

Chronic (long-term) inhalation or oral exposure to cadmium leads to a build-up of cadmium in the kidneys that can cause kidney disease. Cadmium has been shown to be a developmental toxicant at high doses in animals, resulting in fetal malformations and other effects, but no conclusive evidence exists in humans. Animal studies have demonstrated an increase in lung cancer from long-term inhalation exposure to cadmium. The EPA has classified cadmium as a Group B1, probable carcinogen.

Chlorine

Chlorine is a commonly used household cleaner and disinfectant. Chlorine is an irritant to the eyes, the upper respiratory tract, and lungs. Chronic (long-term) exposure to chlorine gas in workers has resulted in respiratory effects, including eye and throat irritation and airflow obstruction. No information is available on the carcinogenic effects of chlorine in humans from inhalation exposure. A National Toxicology Program (NTP) study showed no evidence of carcinogenic activity in male rats or male and female mice, and equivocal evidence in female rats, from ingestion of chlorinated water. The EPA has not classified chlorine for potential carcinogenicity.

Chromium

Chromium may be emitted by industrial boilers in two forms, trivalent chromium (chromium III) or hexavalent chromium (chromium VI). The respiratory tract is the major target organ for chromium VI toxicity for inhalation exposures. Bronchitis, decreased pulmonary function, pneumonia, and other respiratory effects have been noted from chronic high dose exposure in occupational settings to chromium VI. Limited human studies suggest that chromium VI inhalation exposure may be associated with complications during pregnancy and childbirth, while animal studies have not reported reproductive effects from inhalation exposure to chromium VI. Human and animal studies have clearly established that inhaled chromium VI is a carcinogen, resulting in an increased risk of lung cancer. The EPA has classified chromium VI as a Group A, human carcinogen.

Chromium III is less toxic than chromium VI. The respiratory tract is also the major target organ for chromium III toxicity, similar to chromium VI. Chromium III is an essential element in humans, with a daily intake of 50 to 200 micrograms per day recommended for an adult. The body can detoxify some amount of chromium VI to chromium III. The EPA has not classified chromium III with respect to carcinogenicity.

Formaldehyde

Exposure to formaldehyde irritates the eyes, nose, and throat. Reproductive effects, such as menstrual disorders and pregnancy problems, have been reported in female workers exposed to high levels of formaldehyde. Limited human studies have reported an association between formaldehyde exposure and lung and nasopharyngeal cancer. Animal inhalation studies have reported an increased incidence of nasal squamous cell cancer. The EPA considers formaldehyde a probable human carcinogen (Group B2).

Hydrogen chloride

Hydrogen chloride, also called hydrochloric acid, is corrosive to the eyes, skin, and mucous membranes at high concentration. Chronic (long-term) occupational exposure to high levels of hydrochloric acid has been reported to cause gastritis, bronchitis, and dermatitis in workers. Prolonged exposure to lower concentrations may also cause dental discoloration and erosion. No information is available on the reproductive or developmental effects of hydrochloric acid in humans. In rats exposed to high levels of hydrochloric acid by inhalation, altered estrus cycles have been reported in females and increased fetal mortality and decreased fetal weight have been reported in offspring. The EPA has not classified hydrochloric acid for carcinogenicity.

Hydrogen fluoride

Chronic (long-term) exposure to fluoride at low levels has a beneficial effect of dental cavity prevention and may also be useful for the treatment of osteoporosis. Exposure to higher levels of fluoride may cause dental fluorosis. One study reported menstrual irregularities in women occupationally exposed to fluoride. The EPA has not classified hydrogen fluoride for carcinogenicity.

Lead

Lead can cause a variety of effects at low dose levels. Chronic (long-term) exposure to high levels of lead in humans results in effects on the blood, central nervous system (CNS), blood pressure, and kidneys. Children are particularly sensitive to the chronic effects of lead, with slowed cognitive development, reduced growth and other effects reported. Reproductive effects, such as decreased sperm count in men and spontaneous abortions in women, have been associated with lead exposure. The developing fetus is at particular risk from maternal lead exposure, with low birth weight and slowed postnatal neurobehavioral development noted. Human studies are inconclusive regarding lead exposure and cancer, while animal studies have reported an increase in kidney cancer from high-dose lead exposure by the oral route. The EPA has classified lead as a Group B2, probable human carcinogen.

Attachment I
Attachment I

Manganese

Health effects in humans have been associated with both deficiencies and excess intakes of manganese. Chronic (long-term) exposure to low levels of manganese in the diet is considered to be nutritionally essential in humans, with a recommended daily allowance of 2 to 5 milligrams per day (mg/d). Chronic exposure to high levels of manganese by inhalation in humans results primarily in CNS effects. Visual reaction time, hand steadiness, and eye-hand coordination were affected in chronically-exposed workers. Impotence and loss of libido have been noted in male workers afflicted with manganism attributed to high-dose inhalation exposures. The EPA has classified manganese in Group D, not classifiable as to carcinogenicity in humans.

Mercury

Mercury exists in three forms: Elemental mercury, inorganic mercury compounds (primarily mercuric chloride), and organic mercury compounds (primarily methyl mercury). Each form exhibits different health effects. Various major sources may release elemental or inorganic mercury; environmental methyl mercury is typically formed by biological processes after mercury has precipitated from the air.

Chronic (long-term) exposure to elemental mercury in humans also affects the CNS, with effects such as increased excitability, irritability, excessive shyness, and tremors. The EPA has not classified elemental mercury with respect to cancer.

The major effect from chronic exposure to inorganic mercury is kidney effects. Reproductive and developmental animal studies have reported effects such as alterations in testicular tissue, increased embryo resorption rates, and abnormalities of development. Mercuric chloride (an inorganic mercury compound) exposure has been shown to result in tumors in experimental animals. The EPA has classified mercuric chloride as a Group C, possible human carcinogen.

Nickel

Nickel is an essential element in some animal species, and it has been suggested it may be essential for human nutrition. Nickel dermatitis, consisting of itching of the fingers, hand and forearms, is the most common effect in humans from chronic (long-term) skin contact with nickel. Respiratory effects have also been reported in humans from inhalation exposure to nickel. No information is available regarding the reproductive or developmental effects of nickel in humans, but animal studies have reported such effects, although a consistent dose-response relationship has not been seen. Nickel forms released from industrial boilers include soluble nickel compounds, nickel subsulfide, and nickel carbonyl. Human and animal studies have reported an increased risk of lung and nasal cancers from exposure to nickel refinery dusts and nickel subsulfide. Animal studies of soluble nickel compounds (*i.e.*, nickel carbonyl) have reported lung tumors. The EPA has classified nickel refinery subsulfide as Group A, human carcinogens and nickel carbonyl as a Group B2, probable human carcinogen.

Selenium

Selenium is a naturally occurring substance that is toxic at high concentrations but is also a nutritionally essential element. Studies of humans chronically (long-term) exposed to high levels of selenium in food and water have reported discoloration of the skin, pathological deformation and loss of nails, loss of hair, excessive tooth decay and discoloration, lack of mental alertness, and listlessness. The consumption of high levels of selenium by pigs, sheep, and cattle has been shown to interfere with normal fetal development and to produce birth defects. Results of human and animal studies suggest that supplementation with some forms of selenium may result in a reduced incidence of several tumor types. One selenium compound, selenium sulfide, is carcinogenic in animals exposed orally. We have classified elemental selenium as a Group D, not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity, and selenium sulfide as a Group B2, probable human carcinogen.

II. Summary of the Final Rule

A. What Source Categories and Subcategories Are Affected by the Final Rule?

The final rule affects industrial boilers, institutional and commercial boilers, and process heaters. In the final rule, process heater means an enclosed device using controlled flame, that is not a boiler, and the unit's primary purpose is to transfer heat indirectly to a process material (liquid, gas, or solid) or to heat a transfer material for use in a process unit, instead of generating steam. Process heaters are devices in which the combustion gases do not directly come into contact with process materials. Process heaters do not include units used for comfort heat or space heat, food preparation for on-site consumption, or autoclaves. Boiler means an enclosed device using controlled flame combustion and having the primary purpose of recovering thermal energy in the form of steam or hot water. Waste heat boilers are excluded from the definition of boiler. A waste heat boiler (or heat recovery steam generator) means a device, without controlled flame combustion, that recovers normally unused energy and converts it to usable heat. Waste heat boilers incorporating duct or supplemental burners that are designed to supply 50 percent or more of the total rated heat input capacity of the waste heat boiler are considered boilers and not waste heat boilers. Emissions from a combustion unit with a waste heat boiler are regulated by the applicable standards for the particular type of combustion unit. For example, emissions from a commercial or industrial solid waste incineration unit, or other incineration unit with a waste heat boiler are regulated by standards established under section 129 of the CAA.

Hot water heaters also are not regulated under the final rule. A hot water heater is a closed vessel, with a capacity of no more than 120 U.S. gallons, in which water is heated by combustion of gaseous or liquid fuel and is withdrawn for use external to the vessel at pressures not exceeding 160 pounds per square inch gauge and water temperatures not exceeding 210 degree Fahrenheit (99 degrees Celsius).

Temporary boilers also are not regulated under the final rule. A temporary boiler is any gaseous or liquid fuel-fired boiler that is designed, and is capable of, being carried or moved from one location to another, and remains at any one location for less than 180 consecutive days. Additionally, any new temporary boiler that replaces an existing temporary boiler and is intended to perform the same or similar function will be included in the determination of the consecutive 180-day time period.

Boilers or process heaters that are used specifically for research and development are not regulated under the final rule. However, units that only provide steam to a process at a research and development facility are still subject to the final rule.

B. What Is the Affected Source?

In the final rule, the affected source is defined as follows: (1) The collection of all existing industrial, commercial, or institutional boilers and process heaters within a subcategory located at a major source; or (2) each new or reconstructed industrial, commercial or institutional

boiler and process heater located at a major source.

The affected source does not include combustion units that are subject to another standard under 40 CFR part 63, or covered by other standards listed in this preamble.

C. What Pollutants Are Emitted and Controlled?

Boilers and process heaters can emit a wide variety of HAP, depending on the material burned. Because of the large number of HAP potentially present in emissions and the disparity in the quantity and quality of the emissions information available, we use several surrogates to control multiple HAP in the final rule. This will reduce the burden of implementation and compliance on both regulators and the regulated community.

We grouped the HAP into four common categories: mercury, non-mercury metallic HAP, inorganic HAP, and organic HAP. In general, the pollutants within each group have similar characteristics and can be controlled with the same techniques.

Next, we identified compounds that could be used as surrogates for all the compounds in each pollutant category. For the non-mercury metallic HAP, we chose to use PM as a surrogate. Most, if not all, non-mercury metallic HAP emitted from combustion sources will appear on the flue gas fly-ash. Therefore, the same control techniques that would be used to control the fly-ash PM will control non-mercury metallic HAP. Particulate matter was also chosen instead of specific metallic HAP because all fuels do not emit the same type and amount of metallic HAP but most generally emit PM. The use of PM as a surrogate will also eliminate the cost of performance testing to comply with numerous standards for individual metals.

However, we are sensitive to the fact that some sources burn fuels containing very little metals, but would have sufficient PM emissions to require control under the PM provisions of the proposed rule. In such cases, PM would not be an appropriate surrogate for metallic HAP. Therefore, in the final rule, an alternative metals emission limit is included. A source may choose to comply with the alternative metals emissions limit instead of the PM limit to meet the final rule.

For inorganic HAP, we chose to use HCl as a surrogate. The emissions test information available indicate that the primary inorganic HAP emitted from boilers and process heaters are acid gases, with HCl present in the largest amounts. Other inorganic compounds emitted are found in much smaller quantities. Also, control technologies that would reduce HCl would also control other inorganic compounds that are acid gases. Thus, the best controls for HCl would also be the best controls for other inorganic HAP that are acid gases. Therefore, HCl is a good surrogate for inorganic HAP because controlling HCl will result in a corresponding control of other inorganic HAP emissions.

For organic HAP, we chose to use carbon monoxide (CO) as a surrogate to represent the variety of organic compounds, including dioxins, emitted from the various fuels burned in boilers and process heaters. Because CO is a good indicator of incomplete combustion, there is a direct correlation between CO emissions and the formation of organic HAP emissions. Monitoring equipment for CO is readily available, which is not the case for organic HAP. Also, it is significantly easier and less expensive to measure and monitor CO emissions than to measure and monitor emissions of each individual organic HAP. Therefore, using CO as a surrogate for organic HAP is a reasonable approach because minimizing CO emissions will result in minimizing organic HAP emissions.

D. Does the Final Rule Apply to Me?

The final rule applies to you if you own or operate a boiler or process heater located at a major source meeting the requirements in the final rule.

E. What Are the Emission Limitations and Work Practice Standards?

You must meet the emission limits and work practice standards for the subcategories in Table 1 of this preamble for each of the pollutants listed. Emission limits and work practice standards were developed for new and existing sources; and for large, small, and limited use solid, liquid, and gas fuel-fired units. Large units are those watertube boilers and process heaters with heat input capacities greater than 10 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr). Small units are any firetube boilers or any boiler and process heater with heat input capacities less than or equal to 10 MMBtu/hr. Limited use units are those large units with capacity utilizations less than or equal to 10 percent as required in a federally enforceable permit.

If your new or existing boiler or process heater is permitted to burn a solid fuel (either as a primary fuel or a backup fuel), or any combination of solid fuel with liquid or gaseous fuel, the unit is in one of the solid subcategories. If your new or existing boiler or process heater burns a liquid fuel, or a liquid fuel in combination with a gaseous fuel, the unit is in one of the liquid subcategories, except if the unit burns liquid only during periods of gas curtailment. If your new or existing boiler or process heater burns a gaseous fuel not combined with any liquid or solid fuels, or burns liquid fuel only during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies, the unit is in the gaseous subcategory.

TABLE 1—EMISSION LIMITS AND WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS FOR BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS

[(Pounds per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu)]

Source	Subcategory	Particulate Matter (PM)	or	Total Selected Metals	Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)	Mercury (Hg)	Carbon Monoxide (CO) (ppm)
New or reconstructed Boiler or Process Heater.	Solid Fuel, Large Unit.	0.025	or	0.0003	0.02	0.000003	400 (@7% oxygen).
	Solid Fuel, Small Unit.	0.025	or	0.0003	0.02	0.000003	
	Solid Fuel, Limited Use.	0.025	or	0.0003	0.02	0.000003	400 (@7% oxygen).
	Liquid Fuel, Large Unit.	0.03	.....	.....	0.0005	.....	400 (@3% oxygen).

TABLE 1—EMISSION LIMITS AND WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS FOR BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS—Continued

[(Pounds per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu)]

Source	Subcategory	Particulate Matter (PM)	or	Total Selected Metals	Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)	Mercury (Hg)	Carbon Monoxide (CO) (ppm)
	Liquid Fuel, Small Unit.	0.03	.....	.....	0.0009	.....	
	Liquid Fuel, Limited Use.	0.03	.....	.....	0.0009	.....	400 (@3% oxygen).
	Gaseous Fuel, Large Unit.		.....	.....	.....	.....	400 (@3% oxygen).
	Gaseous Fuel, Small Unit.		.....	.....	.....	.....	
	Gaseous Fuel Limited Use.		.....	.....	.....	.....	400 (@3% oxygen).
Existing Boiler or Process Heater.	Solid Fuel, Large Unit.	0.07	or	0.001	0.09	0.000009	
	Solid Fuel, Small Unit.		.....	.....	.....	.....	
	Solid Fuel, Limited Use.	0.21	or	0.004	.....	.....	
	Liquid Fuel, Large Unit.		.....	.....	.....	.....	
	Liquid Fuel, Small Unit.		.....	.....	.....	.....	
	Liquid Fuel, Limited Use.		.....	.....	.....	.....	
	Gaseous Fuel		.....	.....	.....	.....	

For solid fuel-fired boilers or process heaters, sources may choose one of two emission limit options: (1) Existing and new affected units may choose to limit PM emissions to the level listed in Table 1 of this preamble, or (2) existing and new affected units may choose to limit total selected metals emissions to the level listed in Table 1 of this preamble. Sources meeting the emission limits must also meet operating limits.

We have provided several compliance alternatives in the final rule. Sources may choose to demonstrate compliance based on the fuel pollutant content. Sources are also allowed to demonstrate compliance for existing large solid fuel units using emissions averaging.

F. What Are the Testing and Initial Compliance Requirements?

As the owner or operator of a new or existing boiler or process heater, you must conduct performance tests (*i.e.* stack testing) or an initial fuel analysis to demonstrate compliance with any applicable emission limits. The applicable emission limits and, therefore, the required performance tests and fuel analysis are different depending on the subcategory classification of the unit. Existing units in the small solid fuel subcategory and existing units in any of the liquid or gaseous fuel subcategories do not have applicable emission limits and, therefore, are not required to conduct stack tests or fuel analyses. Other units are required to conduct the following compliance tests or fuel analyses where applicable:

(1) Conduct initial and annual stack tests to determine compliance with the PM emission limits using EPA Method 5 or Method 17 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(2) Affected sources in the solid fuel subcategories may choose to comply with an alternative total selected metals emission limit instead of PM. Sources would conduct initial and annual stack tests to determine compliance with the total selected metals emission limit using EPA Method 29 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(3) Conduct initial and annual stack tests to determine compliance with the mercury emission limits using EPA Method 29 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter or the ASTM D6784–02.

(4) Conduct initial and annual stack tests to determine compliance with the HCl emission limits using EPA Method 26 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter (for boilers without wet scrubbers) or EPA Method 26A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter (for boilers with wet scrubbers).

(5) For new boilers and process heaters in any of the limited use subcategories and new boilers and process heaters in any of the large subcategories with heat input capacities greater than 10 MMBtu/hr but less than 100 MMBtu/hr, conduct initial and annual stack tests to determine compliance with the CO work practice limit using EPA Method 10, 10A, or 10B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(6) Use EPA Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to convert measured concentration values to pounds per million British thermal units (MMBtu) values.

(7) For new units in any of the liquid fuel subcategories that do not burn residual oil, instead of conducting an initial and annual compliance test you may submit a signed statement in the Notification of Compliance Status report that indicates that you only burn liquid fossil fuels other than residual oil.

(8) For affected sources that choose to meet the emission limits based on fuel analysis, conduct the fuel analysis using method ASTM D5865–01ae1 or ASTM E711–87 to determine heat content; ASTM D3684–01 (for coal), SW–846–7471A (for solid samples) or SW–846–7470A (for liquid samples) to determine mercury levels; SW–846–6010B or ASTM D3683–94 (for coal) or ASTM E885–88 (for biomass) to determine total selected metals concentration; SW–846–9250 or ASTM E776–87 (for biomass) to determine chlorine concentration; and ASTM D3173 or ASTM E871 to determine moisture content.

As part of the initial compliance demonstration, you must monitor specified operating parameters during the initial performance tests that demonstrate compliance with the PM (or metals), mercury, and HCl emission limits. You must calculate the average parameter values measured during each

test run over the 3-run performance test. The minimum or maximum of the three average values (depending on the parameter measured) for each applicable parameter establishes the site-specific operating limit. The applicable operating parameters for which operating limits must be established are based on the emissions limits applicable to your unit as well as the types of add-on controls on the unit. A summary of the operating limits that must be established for the various types of controls are as follows:

(1) For boilers and process heaters without wet scrubbers that must comply with the mercury emission limit and either a PM emission limit or a total selected metals emission limit, you must meet an opacity limit of 20 percent for existing sources (based on 6-minute averages), except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent, or 10 percent for new sources (based on 1-hour block averages). Or, if the unit is controlled with a fabric filter, instead of meeting an opacity operating limit, you may elect to operate the fabric filter using a bag leak detection system such that corrective actions are initiated within 1 hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and you operate and maintain the fabric filter such that the alarm is not engaged for more than 5 percent of the total operating time in a 6-month reporting period.

(2) For boilers and process heaters without wet or dry scrubbers that must comply with an HCl emission limit, you must determine the average chloride content level in the input fuel(s) during the HCl performance test. This is your maximum chloride input operating limit.

(3) For boilers and process heaters with wet scrubbers that must comply with a mercury, PM (or total selected metals) and/or an HCl emission limit, you must measure pressure drop and liquid flow rate of the scrubber during the performance test and calculate the average value for each test run. The minimum test run average establishes your site-specific pressure drop and liquid flow rate operating levels. If different average parameter levels are measured during the mercury, PM (or metals) and HCl tests, the highest of the minimum test run average values establishes your site-specific operating limit. If you are complying with an HCl emission limit, you must measure pH during the performance test for HCl and determine the average for each test run and the minimum value for the performance test. This establishes your minimum pH operating limit.

(4) For boilers and process heaters with dry scrubbers that must comply with an HCl emission limit, you must measure the sorbent injection rate during the performance test for mercury and HCl and calculate the average for each test run. The minimum test run average during the performance test establishes your site-specific minimum sorbent injection rate operating limit.

(5) For boilers and process heaters with fabric filters in combination with wet scrubbers that must comply with a mercury emission limit, PM (or total selected metals) emission limit and/or an HCl emission limit, you must measure the pH, pressure drop, and liquid flowrate of the wet scrubber during the performance test and calculate the average value for each test run. The minimum test run average establishes your site-specific pH, pressure drop, and liquid flowrate operating limits for the wet scrubber. Furthermore, the fabric filter must be operated such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during any 6-month period.

(6) For boilers and process heaters with electrostatic precipitators (ESP) in combination with wet scrubbers that must comply with a mercury, PM (or total selected metals) and/or an HCl emission limit, you must measure the pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow rate of the wet scrubber during the HCl performance test, and you must measure the voltage and secondary current of the ESP collection plates or total power input during the mercury and PM (or metals) performance test. Calculate the average value of these parameters for each test run. The minimum test run averages establish your site-specific minimum pH, pressure drop, and liquid flowrate operating limit for the wet scrubber and the minimum voltage and current operating limits for the ESP.

(7) For boilers and process heaters that choose to comply with the alternative total selected metals emission limit instead of PM, you must determine the total selected metals content of the inlet fuels that were burned during the total selected metals performance test. This value is your maximum fuel inlet metals content operating limit.

(8) For boilers and process heaters that burn a mixture of multiple fuels, you must determine the mercury content of the inlet fuels that were burned during the mercury performance test. This value is your maximum fuel inlet mercury operating limit. Units burning only a single fuel type (not including start-up fuels) do not need to determine, by fuel analysis, the fuel inlet operating limit when conducting performance tests.

(9) For new boilers and process heaters in any of the large subcategories and with heat input capacities greater or equal to 100 MMBtu/hr, you must monitor CO to demonstrate that average CO emissions, on a 30-day rolling average, are at or below an exhaust concentration of 400 parts per million (ppm) by volume on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen for units in the liquid subcategories and corrected to 7 percent for units in the solid subcategories. For new boilers and process heaters in any of the limited use subcategories or with heat input capacities less than 100 MMBtu/hr, you must conduct initial test of CO emissions to demonstrate compliance with the CO work practice limit.

The final rule also provides you another compliance alternative. You may demonstrate compliance by emissions averaging for existing large solid fuel boilers in States that choose to allow emissions averaging in their operating permit program.

G. What Are the Continuous Compliance Requirements?

To demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limitations, you must monitor and comply with the applicable site-specific operating limits established during the performance tests or fuel analysis. Upon detecting an excursion or exceedance, you must restore operation of the unit to its normal or usual manner of operation as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions. The response shall include minimizing the period of any startup, shutdown or malfunction and taking any necessary corrective actions to restore normal operation and prevent the likely recurrence of the cause of an excursion or exceedance. Such actions may include initial inspections and evaluation, recording that operations returned to normal without operator action, or any necessary follow-up actions to return operation to below the work practice standard.

(1) For boilers and process heaters without wet scrubbers that must comply with a mercury emission limit and either a PM emission limit or a total selected metals emission limit, you must continuously monitor opacity and maintain the opacity at or below the maximum opacity operating limit for new and existing sources. Or, if the unit is controlled with a fabric filter, instead of continuous monitoring opacity, the fabric filter may be continuously operated such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound

more than 5 percent of the operating time during any 6-month period.

(2) For boilers and process heaters without wet or dry scrubbers that must comply with an HCl emission limit, you must maintain monthly records of fuel use that demonstrate that you have burned no new fuel types or new mixtures such that you have maintained the fuel HCl content level at or below your site-specific maximum HCl input operating limit. If you plan to burn a new fuel type or a new mixture than what was burned during the initial performance test, then you must re-calculate the maximum HCl input anticipated from the new fuels based on supplier data or your own fuel analysis. If the results of re-calculating the HCl input exceeds the average HCl content level established during the initial test, then you must conduct a new performance test to demonstrate continuous compliance with the HCl emission limit.

(3) For boilers and process heaters with wet scrubbers that must comply with a mercury, PM (or total selected metals) and/or an HCl emission limit, you must monitor pressure drop and liquid flow rate of the scrubber and maintain the 3-hour block averages at or above the operating limits established during the performance test. You must monitor the pH of the scrubber and maintain the 3-hour block average at or above the operating limit established during the performance test to demonstrate continuous compliance with the HCl emission limits.

(4) For boilers and process heaters with dry scrubbers that must comply with a PM (or total selected metals) or mercury emission limit, and/or an HCl emission limit, you must continuously monitor the sorbent injection rate and maintain it at or above the operating limits established during the HCl performance test.

(5) For boilers and process heaters with fabric filters in combination with wet scrubbers, you must monitor the pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow rate of the wet scrubber and maintain the levels at or above the operating limits established during the HCl performance test. You must also maintain the operation of the fabric filter such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during any 6-month period.

(6) For boilers and process heaters with ESP in combination with wet scrubbers that must comply with a mercury, PM and/or an HCl emission limit, you must monitor the pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow rate of the wet scrubber and maintain the 3-hour block averages at or above the operating limits established during the HCl performance test. Also, you must monitor the voltage and secondary current of the ESP collection plates or total power input and maintain the 3-hour block averages at or above the operating limits established during the mercury or PM (or metals) performance test.

(7) For boilers and process heaters that choose to comply with the alternative total selected metals limit instead of PM emission limit, you must maintain monthly fuel records that demonstrate that you burned no new fuel type or new mixtures such that the total selected metals content of the inlet fuel was maintained at or below your maximum fuel inlet metals content operating limit set during the metals performance test. If you plan to burn a new fuel type or new mixture, then you must re-calculate the maximum metals input anticipated from the new fuels based on supplier data or own fuel analysis. If the results of re-calculating the metals input exceeds the average metals content level established during the initial test, then you must conduct a new performance test to demonstrate continuous compliance with the alternate selected metals emission limit.

(8) For boilers and process heaters that must comply with the mercury emission limit, you must maintain monthly fuel records that demonstrate that you burned no new fuel type or new mixture such that the total selected mercury content of the inlet fuel was maintained at or below your maximum fuel inlet metals content operating limit set during the mercury performance test. If you plan to burn a new fuel type or new mixture than what was burned during the initial performance test, then you must re-calculate the maximum mercury input anticipated from the new fuels based on supplier data or own fuel analysis. If the results of re-calculating the mercury input exceeds the average mercury content level established during the initial test, then you must conduct a new performance test to demonstrate continuous compliance with the mercury emission limit.

(9) For boilers and process heaters that choose to comply with any emission limit based on fuel analysis, you must maintain monthly fuel records to demonstrate that the content of fuel is maintained below the appropriate applicable emission limit.

(10) For new boilers and process heaters in any of the large subcategories with heat input capacities greater or equal to 100 MMBtu/hr, you must continuously monitor CO and maintain the 30-day rolling average CO emissions at or below 400 ppm by volume on a dry basis (corrected to 3 percent oxygen for units in the liquid or gaseous subcategories, and 7 percent for units in the solid fuel subcategories) to demonstrate compliance with the work practice standards at all times except during startup, shutdown, and malfunction and when the unit is operating less than 50 percent of the rated capacity.

If a control device other than the ones specified in this section is used to comply with the final rule, you must establish site-specific operating limits and establish appropriate continuous monitoring requirements, as approved by the EPA Administrator.

If you choose to comply using emissions averaging, you must demonstrate on a monthly basis that mercury, metals, PM, and HCl emission limits can be met over a 12-month period.

H. What Are the Notification, Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements?

If your boiler or process heater is in the existing large gaseous fuel subcategory, or existing limited use gaseous fuel subcategory, or existing large liquid fuel subcategory, or existing limited use liquid fuel subcategory, or a new small liquid fuel unit that only burn gaseous fuels or distillate oil, you only have to submit the initial notification report. If your boiler or process heater is in the existing small gaseous, liquid, or solid fuel subcategories or new small gaseous fuel subcategory, you are not required to keep any records or submit any reports.

If your boiler or process heater is in any other subcategory, then you must keep the following records:

(1) All reports and notifications submitted to comply with the final rule.

(2) Continuous monitoring data as required in the final rule.

(3) Each instance in which you did not meet each emission limit work practice and operating limit, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction (*i.e.*, deviations from the final rule).

(4) Monthly hours of operation by each source that is in a limited use subcategory.

(5) Monthly fuel use by each boilers and process heaters subject to an emission limit including a description of the type(s) of fuel(s) burned, amount of each fuel type burned, and units of measure.

(6) Calculations and supporting information of chloride fuel input, as required in the final rule.

Attachment I
Attachment I

(7) Calculations and supporting information of total selected metals and mercury fuel input, as required in the final rule, if applicable.

(8) A copy of the results of all performance tests, fuel analysis, opacity observations, performance evaluations, or other compliance demonstrations conducted to demonstrate initial or continuous compliance with the final rule.

(9) A copy of any federally enforceable permit that limits the annual capacity factor of the source to less than or equal to 10 percent.

(10) A copy of your site-specific startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan.

(11) A copy of your site-specific monitoring plan developed for the final rule, if applicable.

(12) A copy of your site-specific fuel analysis plan developed for the final rule, if applicable.

(13) A copy of the emissions averaging plan, if applicable.

You must submit the following reports and notifications:

(1) Notifications required by the General Provisions.

(2) Initial Notification no later than 120 calendar days after you become subject to the final rule.

(3) Notification of Intent to conduct performance tests and/or compliance demonstration at least 30 calendar days before the performance test and/or compliance demonstration is scheduled.

(4) Notification of Compliance Status 60 calendar days following completion of the performance test and/or compliance demonstration.

(5) Notification of intent to demonstrate compliance by emissions averaging.

(6) Notification of intent to demonstrate eligibility for either health-based compliance alternative.

(7) Compliance reports semi-annually.

I. What Are the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives, and How Do I Demonstrate Eligibility?

HCl Compliance Alternative

As an alternative to the requirement for each large solid fuel-fired boiler to demonstrate compliance with the HCl emission limit in the final rule, you may demonstrate compliance with a health-based HCl equivalent allowable emission limit.

The procedures for demonstrating eligibility for the HCl compliance alternative (as outlined in appendix A of the final rule) are:

(1) You must include in your demonstration every emission point covered under the final rule.

(2) You must conduct HCl and chlorine emissions tests for every emission point covered under the final rule.

(3) You must determine the total maximum hourly mass HCl-equivalent emission rate for your affected source by summing the maximum hourly emission rates of HCl and chlorine for each of the affected units at your facility covered under the final rule.

(4) Use the look-up table in the appendix A of the final rule to determine if your facility is in compliance with the health-based HCl-equivalent emission limit.

(5) Select the maximum allowable HCl-equivalent emission rate from the look-up table in appendix A of the final rule for your affected source using the average stack height of your emission units covered under the final rule as your stack height and the minimum distance between any affected emission point and the property boundary as your property boundary.

(6) Your facility is in compliance if your maximum HCl-equivalent emission rate does not exceed the value specified in the look-up table in appendix A of the final rule.

(7) As an alternative to using the look-up table, you may conduct a site-specific compliance demonstration (as outlined in appendix A of the final rule) which demonstrates that the subpart DDDDD units at your facility are not expected to cause an individual chronic inhalation exposure from HCl and chlorine which can exceed a Hazard Index (HI) value of 1.0.

Total Selected Metals Compliance Alternative

In lieu of complying with the emission standard for total selected metals (TSM) in the final rule based on the sum of emissions for the eight selected metals, you may demonstrate eligibility for complying with the TSM standard based on excluding manganese emissions from the summation of TSM emissions for the affected source unit(s).

The procedures for demonstrating eligibility for the TSM compliance alternative (as outlined in appendix A of the final rule) are:

(1) You must include in your demonstration every emission point covered under the final rule that emits manganese.

(2) You must conduct manganese emissions tests for every emission point covered under the final rule that emits manganese.

(3) You must determine the total maximum hourly manganese emission rate from your affected source by summing the maximum hourly manganese emission rates for each of the affected units at your facility covered under the final rule.

(4) Use the look-up table in appendix A of the final rule to determine if your facility is eligible for complying with the alternative TSM limit based on the sum of emissions for seven metals (excluding manganese) for the affected source units.

(5) Select the maximum allowable manganese emission rate from the look-up table in appendix A of the final rule for your affected source using the average stack height of your emission units covered under the final rule as your stack height and the minimum distance between any of those emission points and the property boundary as your property boundary.

(6) Your facility is eligible if your maximum manganese emission rate does not exceed the value specified in the look-up table in appendix A of the final rule.

(7) As an alternative to using look-up table to determine if your facility is eligible for the TSM compliance alternative, you may conduct a site-specific compliance demonstration (as outlined in appendix A of the final rule) which demonstrates that the subpart DDDDD units at your facility are not expected to cause an individual chronic inhalation exposure from manganese which can exceed a Hazard Quotient (HQ) value of 1.0.

If you elect to demonstrate eligibility for either of the health-based compliance alternatives, you must submit certified documentation supporting compliance with the procedures at least 1 year before the compliance date.

You must submit supporting documentation including documentation of all maximum capacities, existing control devices used to reduce emissions, stack parameters, and property boundary distances to each affected source of HCl-equivalent and/or manganese emissions.

You must keep records of the information used in developing the eligibility demonstration for your affected source.

To be eligible for either health-based compliance alternative, the parameters that defined your affected source as eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives (including, but not limited to, fuel type, type of control devices, process parameters reflecting the emission rates used for your eligibility demonstration) must be incorporated as Federally enforceable limits into your title V permit. If you do not meet these criteria, then your affected source is subject to the applicable emission

limits, operating limits, and work practice standards in the final rule.

If you intend to change key parameters (including distance of stack to the property boundary) that may result in lower allowable health-based emission limits, you must recalculate the limits under the provisions of this section, and submit documentation supporting the revised limits prior to initiating the change to the key parameter.

If you intend to install a new solid fuel-fired boiler or process heater or change any existing emissions controls that may result in increasing HCl-equivalent and/or manganese emissions, you must recalculate the total maximum hourly HCl-equivalent and/or manganese emission rate from your affected source, and submit certified documentation supporting continued eligibility under the revised information prior to initiating the new installation or change to the emissions controls.

III. What Are the Significant Changes Since Proposal?

A. Definition of Affected Source

The definition of affected source in § 63.7490 has been revised to be: (1) The collection of all existing industrial, commercial, or institutional boilers or process heaters within a subcategory located at a major source; and/or (2) each new or reconstructed industrial, commercial, or institutional boiler or process heater located at a major source.

B. Sources Not Covered by the NESHAP

The applicability section of the final rule (§ 63.7490(c)) has been written to clarify that the following are not subject to the final rule: Blast furnace stoves, any boiler or process heater specifically listed as an affected source in another MACT standard, temporary boilers, and blast furnace gas fuel-fired boilers and process heaters.

C. Emission Limits

The emission limit for mercury in the existing large solid fuel subcategories has been written as 0.000009 lb/MMBtu (from 0.000007 lb/MMBtu at proposal).

D. Definitions Added or Revised

The EPA has written the definitions of large, limited use, and small gaseous subcategories to include gaseous fuel-fired boilers and process heaters that burn liquid fuel during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies.

The final rule also includes a definition of fuel type which is used in the fuel analysis compliance options. Fuel type means each category of fuels that share a common name of classification. Examples include, but are not limited to: bituminous coal, subbituminous coal, lignite, anthracite, biomass, construction/demolition material, salt water laden wood, creosote treated wood, tires, and residual oil. Individual fuel types received from different suppliers are not considered new fuel types except for construction/demolition material.

Construction/demolition material means waste building material that result from the construction or demolition operations on houses and commercial and industrial buildings.

Unadulterated wood, component of biomass, means wood or wood products that have not been painted, pigment-stained, or pressure treated with compounds such as chromate copper arsenate, pentachlorophenol, and creosote. Plywood, particle board, oriented strand board, and other types of wood products bound by glues and resins are included in this definition.

We have included a definition for temporary boiler to mean any gaseous or liquid fuel-fired boiler that is designed, and is capable of, being carried or moved from one location to another. A temporary boiler that remains at a location for more than 180 consecutive days is no longer considered to be a temporary boiler. Any temporary boiler that replaces a temporary boiler at a location and is intended to perform the same or similar function will be included in calculating the consecutive time period.

The final rule also contains a definition written for waste heat boiler that identifies waste heat boilers incorporating duct or supplemental burners that are designed to supply 50 percent or more of the total rated heat input capacity of the waste heat boiler as not being waste heat boilers, but are considered boilers and subject to the final rule.

E. Requirements for Sources in Subcategories Without Emission Limits or Work Practice Requirements

In the final rule, we have clarified that sources in the existing large and limited use gaseous fuel subcategories, existing large and limited use liquid fuel subcategories, and new small liquid fuel subcategory that burn only distillate oil are only subject to the initial notification requirements in § 63.9(b) of subpart A of this part and are not required to submit as startup, shutdown, and malfunction (SSM) plan as part of their initial notification. We have written the final rule to state that sources in the existing small gaseous fuel, liquid fuel, and solid fuel subcategories and in the new small gaseous fuel subcategory are not subject to any requirements in the final rule or of subpart A of this part.

F. Carbon Monoxide Work Practice Emission Levels and Requirements

The final rule provides revisions to the CO work practice emission levels. For new sources in the solid fuel subcategory, the work practice standard has been written to be corrected to 7 percent oxygen rather than 3 percent. Units in the gaseous and liquid fuel subcategories still have to correct to 3 percent oxygen.

The final rule also allows sources with heat input capacities greater than 10 MMBtu/hr but less than 100 MMBtu/hr to conduct initial and annual compliance tests to demonstrate compliance with the CO limit. Sources greater than 100 MMBtu/hr must still demonstrate compliance using CO continuous emission monitors (CEMS).

The final rule also does not allow you to calculate data average using data recorded during periods where your boiler or process heater is operating at less than 50 percent of its rated capacity, monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, out-of-control periods, or required quality assurance or control activities. You must use all data collected during all other periods in assessing compliance.

G. Fuel Analysis Option

We have clarified the fuel analysis options in the final rule. You are not required to conduct performance tests for hydrogen chloride, mercury, or total selected metals if you demonstrate compliance with the hydrogen chloride, mercury, or total selected metals limits based on the fuel pollutant content. Your operating limit is then the emission limit of the applicable pollutant. You are not required to conduct emission tests.

If you demonstrate compliance with the HCl, mercury, or TSM limit by performance tests, then your operating limits are the operating limits of the control device (if used) and the fuel pollutant content of the fuel type/mixture burned. Units burning multiple fuel types are required to determine by fuel analysis, the fuel pollutant content of the fuel/mixture burned during the performance test.

The final rule specifies the testing and initial and continuous compliance requirements to be used when complying with the fuel analysis options. Fuel analysis tests for total chloride, gross calorific value, mercury, metal analysis, sample collection, and sample preparation are included in the final rule.

Attachment I
Attachment I

We have written the requirement to remove the need for conducting additional tests if you receive fuel from a new supplier. You are required to conduct another performance test, if you demonstrated compliance through performance testing, only when you burn a new fuel type or mixture and the results of recalculating the fuel pollutant content are higher than the level established during the initial performance test.

H. Emissions Averaging

We have included a compliance alternative in the final rule to allow emissions averaging between existing large solid fuel boilers. Compliance must be demonstrated on a 12-month rolling average basis, determined at the end of every month. If you elect to comply with the emissions averaging compliance alternative, you must use equations provided in the final rule to demonstrate that particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury from all applicable units do not exceed the emission limits specified in the final rule. If you use this option, you must also develop and submit an implementation plan no later than 6 months before the date that the facility intends to demonstrate compliance.

I. Opacity Limit

At proposal, we required sources meeting the PM and mercury limits to determine site-specific opacity operating limits based on levels during the initial performance test. To demonstrate continuous compliance with the opacity limit, the opacity operating limits have been established to be 20 percent (based on 6-minute averages) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent for existing sources and 10 percent (based on 1-hour block averages) for new sources.

J. Operating Limit Determination

The final rule defines maximum and minimum operating parameters that must be met. For sources complying with the alternative opacity requirement of establishing opacity limits during the initial performance test, the maximum opacity operating limit is 110 percent of the highest test-run average opacity measured according to the final rule during the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limit. For sources meeting the standards using scrubbers or ESP, the minimum pressure drop, scrubber effluent pH, scrubber flow rate, sorbent flow rate, voltage or amperage means 90 percent of the lowest test run average pressure drop, scrubber effluent pH, scrubber flow rate, sorbent flow rate, voltage or amperage measured according to the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limits.

The final rule clarifies that operation above the established maximum or below the established minimum operating parameters constitute a deviation of established operating parameters.

K. Revision of Compliance Dates

In § 63.7510, we have also written the date by which you have to complete a compliance demonstration to be 180 days after the compliance date instead of at the compliance date.

IV. What Are the Responses to Significant Comments?

We received 218 public comment letters on the proposed rule. Complete summaries of all the comments and responses are found in the Response-to-Comments document (see **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section).

A. Applicability

Comment: Many commenters requested that EPA exempt units that are not subject to emission limits or work practice requirements from monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements.

Response: Sources in subcategories that do not have any emission limitations and work practices are not required to keep records or reports other than the initial notification. This is appropriate because no reports other than the initial notification would apply to these units. The SSM plan is not necessary nor required for these units because § 63.6(e)(3) of subpart A of this part requires an affected source to develop an SSM plan for control equipment used to comply with the relevant standard. The proposed rule was not intended to require monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting (including startup, shutdown, and malfunction plans), other than the initial notification for sources not subject to an emission limit. We have clarified this decision in the final rule. We have also determined that existing small units and new small gaseous fuel units, which are not subject to emission limits or work practices in this standard, and which are also not subject to such requirements in any other Federal regulation, should also not have to provide an initial notification. These small sources are generally gas-fired and since they have minimal emissions, they are usually considered as insignificant emission units by State permitting agencies.

Comment: Several commenters requested that EPA specifically exclude portable/transportable units from the final rule. The commenters stated that facilities periodically use these units to supply or supplement other site steam supplies when there is a mechanical problem that takes a unit out of service or during planned outages. The commenters added that because they are used on a limited basis, portable units are not fully integrated with site control systems and most portable/transportable units are owned by a rental company and may not be operated by the facility owner/operator.

Response: We agree with the commenters that temporary/portable units are used only on a limited basis and are not integrated into a facility's control system. These units are gas or oil fired units. Units in the existing gaseous or liquid subcategories are not subject to emission limits or work practice standards. Consequently, we have decided that temporary/portable units are not subject to the final rule. We have added a definition for temporary boiler to mean any gaseous or liquid fuel-fired boiler that is designed, and is capable of, being carried or moved from one location to another. A temporary boiler that remains at a location for more than 180 consecutive days is no longer considered to be a temporary boiler. Any temporary boiler that replaces a temporary boiler at a location and is intended to perform the same or similar function will be included in calculating the consecutive time period. We chose the 180-day time frame because that is the length of time a new source has after startup to conduct the initial performance test.

Comment: Several commenters requested EPA provide a lower size cut-off for the small unit subcategory. Several commenters argued that the benefits from requiring smaller units to install controls would be minimal given the overall monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting burden. Several commenters also requested lower size cutoffs to make the final rule similar to others established by EPA (*e.g.,* NSPS Nitrogen Oxide (NO_X) SIP Call). Several commenters noted several recent court decisions in which the court has decided that a *de minimis* exemption is appropriate since the regulation of small sources would yield a gain of trivial or no value yet would impose significant regulatory burden. A wide range of lower size cutoffs were suggested. However, one commenter said that EPA should not develop *de minimis* exemptions. The commenter noted that *de minimis* exemptions do not spare EPA's resources for use on other

purposes and are not justified by reductions in industry burden or inconvenience. The commenter noted that EPA did not establish any administrative record justifying the *de minimis* exemption.

Response: We have reviewed the commenters arguments and all the data provided in the comment letters. There is no justification for developing a lower size cut-off or *de minimis* level. We would also note the designation of large and small subcategories was not based solely on size of the unit. Large and small subcategories were developed because small units less than 10 MMBtu/hr heat input typically use a combustor design that is not common in larger units. Large boilers generally use the watertube combustor design. The design of the boiler or process heater will influence the completeness of the combustion process which will influence the formation of organic HAP emissions. Additionally, the vast majority of small units use natural gas as fuel. The EPA chose to develop large and small subcategories to account for these differences and their affect on the type of emissions. The cut-off between the large and small subcategories of 10 MMBtu/hr was based on typical sizes for fire tube units, and also when considering cut-offs in State and Federal rules. Lastly, we would like to note that the final rule does not impose any requirements for existing units in any of the small subcategories.

Comment: Many commenters asked EPA to clarify which sources are not covered by the final rule.

Response: We have included an extensive list of sources that are not subject to the final rule. The final rule clarifies that boilers and process heaters that are included as part of the affected source in any other NESHAP are not subject to the NESHAP for industrial boilers and process heaters. However, we do not exclude boilers and process heaters that are used as control devices unless they are specifically considered part of any other NESHAP's definition of affected source. Incinerators, thermal oxidizers, and flares do not generally fall under the definition of a boiler or process heater and would not be subject to the final rule. The final rule excludes waste heat boilers and waste heat boilers with supplemental firing, as long as the supplemental firing does not provide more than 50 percent of the waste heat boiler's heat input. If your waste heat boiler does receive 50 percent of its total heat input from supplemental firing, it would be subject to the NESHAP for industrial boilers unless it is subject to any other NESHAP. We specifically exclude comfort heaters from the final rule. However, this exclusion does not include boilers used to make steam or heated water for comfort heat. If your boiler meets the definition of a hot water heater, then it would not be subject to the final rule. However, if the temperature, pressure, or capacity specifications of your boiler exceed the criteria specified for hot water heaters, then your boiler would be subject to the final rule. We recognize the unique properties of blast furnace gas having high CO concentrations and none to almost no organic compounds. Consequently, we agree that for these sources CO is not a surrogate for organic HAP emissions since CO is the primary component of blast furnace gas and virtually no organic HAP are generated in its combustion. As a result, we exclude from the final rule units that receive 90 percent or more of their total heat input from blast furnace gas. In addition, research and development (R&D) operations are not subject to the final rule. However, units that only provide steam to a process or for heating at a research and development facility are still subject to the final rule. This should address the commenters' concern over overlapping applicability.

Comment: Several commenters suggested that EPA revise the proposed definition of affected source to be consistent with the definition of affected source in the General Provisions. The definition in the rule as proposed is much more narrow than that in the General Provisions, even though the General Provisions states that each standard will redefine affected source based on published justification as to why the definition would result in significant administration, practical or implementation problems. The commenters argued that EPA failed to provide justification for the proposed definition of affected source, which is narrower than the definition of affected source in the General Provisions.

Response: We agree with the commenters and in the final rule have incorporated the broader definition of affected source from the revised General Provisions. The General Provisions define the affected source as ''the collection of equipment, activities, or both within a single contiguous area and under common control that is included in a section 112(c) source category or subcategory * * *'' Therefore, the definition of existing affected source in the final rule is the collection of existing industrial, commercial, or institutional boilers and process heaters within a subcategory located at a major source of HAP emissions.

B. Format

Comment: Several commenters opposed using one or more surrogates for the HAP regulated. Some commenters stated that EPA must set emission standards for each HAP emitted by this category. One commenter explained that the use of surrogates is acceptable if: (1) The surrogates reflect the actual emissions of the represented pollutants, (2) the emission limit set for the surrogate is consistent with the emission limit calculated for the represented pollutants, and (3) the surrogates have substantially the same properties as the represented pollutants and is controlled by the same mechanism. Based on these criteria, the commenter argued that EPA's selection of surrogates is inadequate. One commenter specifically contended that CO is not an adequate surrogate for dioxin because dioxin emissions are affected by the temperature of the emissions, how quickly the temperature is lowered, and the levels of chlorine in the materials that are being combusted and control devices. Other commenters supported the use of surrogates to represent the HAP list.

Response: As discussed in the proposal preamble, the use of surrogates for the HAP regulated is appropriate. Because of the large number of HAP potentially present, the disparity in the quality and quantity of the emissions information available, particularly for different fuel types, we chose to group HAP into four categories: Mercury, non-mercury metallic HAP, inorganic HAP, and organic HAP. In general, the pollutants within each group have similar characteristics and can be controlled with the same techniques. We then chose compounds that could be used as surrogates for all the compounds in each pollutant category. We have used surrogates in previous NESHAP as a technique to reduce the performance testing costs, and thus the use of surrogates is appropriate in the final rule.

For inorganic HAP, we chose to use HCl as a surrogate. The emissions test information available to us indicated that the primary inorganic HAP emitted from boilers and process heaters is HCl. Much smaller amounts of hydrogen fluoride and chlorine are emitted. Control technologies that would reduce HCl would also control other inorganic HAP. Additionally, we had limited emissions information for other inorganic HAP. By focusing on HCl, we have achieved control of the largest emitted and most widely emitted HAP,

and control of HCl would also constitute control of other inorganic HAP.

For non-mercury metallic HAP, we chose to use PM as a surrogate. Most, if not all, non-mercury metallic HAP emitted from combustion sources will appear on the flue gas fly-ash. Therefore, the same control technology that would be used to control fly-ash PM will control non-mercury metallic HAP. A review of data in the emission database for PM control devices having both inlet and outlet emissions results shows control efficiencies for each non-mercury metallic HAP similar to PM. Particulate matter was also chosen instead of a specific metallic HAP because all fuels do not emit the same type and amount of metallic HAP, but most generally emit PM that includes some amount and combination of metallic HAP. We maintain that particulate matter reflects the emissions of non-mercury metallic HAP as these compounds usually comprise a percentage of the emitted particulate matter. Since the NESHAP program is technology-based, the technologies that have been developed and implemented to control particulate matter, also control non-mercury metallic HAP. Furthermore, since non-mercury metallic HAP is a component of particulate matter, we can use particulate matter as a surrogate for the purposes of the final rule.

While we did use PM as a surrogate for non-mercury metallic HAP, we also provided an alternative total selected metals emission limit based on the sum of the emissions of the eight most common and largest emitted metallic HAP compounds from boilers and process heaters. Again, a total selected metals number was used instead of limits for each individual metallic HAP because sufficient information was not available for each metallic HAP for every fuel type. However, a total metals number could be calculated for every fuel type.

We realize that mercury emissions can exist in different forms depending on combustion conditions and concentrations of other compounds. That is why we have mercury as a separate pollutant category in the final rule and do not provide for a surrogate.

For organic HAP, we chose to use CO as a surrogate to represent the variety of organic compounds emitted from the various fuels burned. Both organic HAP and CO emissions are the result of incomplete combustion of the fuel. Because CO is a good indicator of incomplete combustion, there is a direct correlation between CO emissions and minimizing organic HAP emissions. The extent to which CO and HAP emissions are related can also depend on site-specific operating conditions for each boiler or process heater. This site-specific nature may result in various degrees of correlation between CO and organic HAP emissions, but it is proven that reductions in CO emissions result in a reduction of organic HAP emissions. The control methods for both CO and organic HAP are the same, *i.e.*, complete combustion. This result would not have been different if MACT floor analyses were conducted for specific organic HAP or for a surrogate compound such as CO. For boilers and process heaters, we have determined that CO is a reasonable indicator of incomplete combustion. Also, we did not set emission limits for each specific organic HAP because we lacked sufficient information for many of the organic HAP for all the fuels combusted. We acknowledge that there are many factors that affect the formation of dioxin, but we also recognize that dioxin can be formed in both the combustion unit and downstream in the associated PM control device. Minimizing organic HAP emissions can limit the formation of dioxin in the combustion unit. We reviewed all the good combustion practice (GCP) information available in the boiler population database and determined that no floor level of control exists, except for limiting CO emissions, such that GCP could be incorporated into the standard. One control technique, controlling inlet temperature to the PM control device, that has demonstrated controlling downstream formation of dioxins in other source categories (*e.g.,* municipal waste combustors) was analyzed for industrial boilers. In all cases, no increase in dioxins emissions were indicated across the PM control device even at high inlet temperatures. However, we requested comment on controls that would achieve reductions of organic HAP, including any additional data that might be available. The EPA did not receive any additional supporting information or data. Additionally, more stringent options beyond the floor level of control were evaluated, but were determined to be too costly and emissions reductions associated with the options could not be evaluated because no information was available that indicated a relationship between the GCP and emission reduction of organics (including dioxin).

C. Compliance Schedule

Comment: Many commenters requested that EPA provide an additional year to comply with the final rule. Commenters explained that the time lines associated with permitting, capital appropriation, project bid, and construction activities are significant and that the 3-year deadline would not provide adequate time for the estimated 3,730 existing units at affected sources to be retrofitted as necessary to meet the new MACT standards. The commenters added that sources subject to the final rule would also be competing with sources that are subject to other combustion rules for the same vendors.

Response: The EPA disagrees with the commenters that the 3-year compliance deadline is too short considering the number of sources that will be competing for the resources and materials from engineering consultants, equipment vendors, construction contractors, financial institutions, and other critical suppliers. The EPA recognizes the possibility that these same consultants, vendors, etc., may also be used to comply with the utility MACT standard. However, we know that many sources will not need to install controls. As a result, since not everyone will need more than 3 years to actually install controls, the final rule does not allow an extra year for existing sources to comply with the final rule. Section 112(i)(3)(B) of the CAA allows EPA or the permit authority, on a case-by-case basis, to grant an extension permitting an existing source up to 1 additional year to comply with standards if such additional period is necessary for the installation of controls. This provision is sufficient for those sources where the 3-year deadline would not provide adequate time to retrofit as necessary to comply with the requirements of the standard. We anticipate that a number of units will seek and be granted the 1-year extension since construction of needed control devices could be constrained by the potential impacts on delays in obtaining funding and potential labor and equipment shortages.

D. Subcategorization

Comment: Two commenters said that EPA does not have the authority to develop subcategories for the purpose of reducing compliance costs or weakening the standard. The commenters also noted that costs should not be considered in subcategorizing and establishing the MACT floor. One commenter explained that EPA has failed to present a persuasive rationale for the establishment of new or different subcategories, such as a wood-fired unit subcategory and noted that EPA cannot subcategorize based on fuel type, cost, level of emissions reductions, control technology applicability or effectiveness, achievability of emissions reductions, or health risks. The

commenter argued that EPA cannot subcategorize to reduce cost because that would change CAA section 112 standards into a cost-benefit program and that is not legally defensible. The commenter noted that the DC Circuit court recently held that, when confronted with the cost argument, costs are not relevant when determining MACT floors.

Response: If the commenters are referring to the request for comment regarding further subcategorizations than what was proposed, the EPA agrees that there is no justification for any further subcategories. The final rule maintains the subcategories presented in the proposed rule. If the commenters are referring to subcategories presented in the proposed rule, section 112(d)(1) of the CAA states ''the Administrator may distinguish among classes, types, and sizes of sources within a category or subcategory'' in establishing emission standards. Thus, we have discretion in determining appropriate subcategories based on classes, types, and sizes of sources. We used this discretion in developing subcategories for the industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters source category. Through subcategorization, we are able to define subsets of similar emission sources within a source category if differences in emissions characteristics, processes, air pollution control device (APCD) viability, or opportunities for pollution prevention exist within the source category. We first subcategorized boilers and process heaters based on the physical state of the fuel (solid, liquid, or gaseous), which will affect the type of pollutants emitted and controls applicable, and the design and operation of the boiler, which influences the formation of organic HAP emissions. We then further subcategorized boilers and process heaters based on size. Our distinctions are based on technological differences in the equipment. For example, small units are package units typically having capacities less than 10 million Btu per hour heat input and use a combustor design which is not common in large units. A review of the information gathered on boilers also shows that a number of units operate as backup, emergency, or peaking units that operate infrequently. The boiler database indicates that these infrequently operated units typically operate 10 percent of the year or less. These limited use boilers, when called upon to operate, must respond without failure and without lengthy periods of startup. Since their use and operation are different compared to typical industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers, we decided that such limited use units should have their own subcategory.

Neither the subcategories or MACT floor analysis was conducted considering costs, either in the proposed rule or in the final rule.

Comment: Many commenters requested EPA to develop a separate subcategory for small municipal electric utilities. Reasons for creating a subcategory for small electrical utility steam generating units included: (1) EPA has authority to establish such a subcategory of sources to be regulated under CAA section 112 and is meant to address control costs and feasibility, (2) past EPA practice supports subcategorization in this instance, (3) differences between municipal utility boilers and non-utility boilers justify subcategorization, and (4) EPA cannot properly account for cost and energy concerns mandated in the MACT standard setting process without subcategorization for municipal utility boilers. The commenters added that the unique physical attributes of municipally-owned utilities, as well as their significant and direct impact on municipal tax base, support a separate subcategorization.

Response: The EPA sees no technical or legal justification for creating a separate subcategory for municipal utilities. Boilers at municipal utilities fire the same type of fuels, have the same type of combustor designs, and can use the same type of controls as other units in the large subcategory. Consequently, the subcategories that are in the final rule are the same as at proposal. We would also like to clarify that subcategories were developed based on combustor design and not on industrial sector. Also, had we gone beyond-the-floor, we would have considered cost in the final determination. Since we did not go beyond-the-floor level of control, cost did not play a role in the analysis.

Comment: Many commenters requested EPA add a subcategory for medium sized boilers and process heaters.

Response: The EPA does not see justification for creating a separate subcategory for medium sized units. The designation of large and small subcategories was not based

Response: The EPA does not see justification for creating a separate subcategory for medium sized units. The designation of large and small subcategories was not based solely on size of the unit. Large and small subcategories were developed because small units less than 10 MMBtu/hr heat input typically use a combustor design that is not common in larger units. Large boilers generally use the watertube combustor design. The design of the boiler or process heater will influence the completeness of the combustion process which will influence the formation of organic HAP emissions. The EPA developed large and small subcategories to account for these differences and their affect on the type of emissions. The proposed size break between the large and small subcategories of 10 MMBtu/hr was based on typical sizes for firetube and cast iron units and considering cut-offs in State and Federal permitting requirements and rules. The EPA does not view medium sized boilers as being different than larger boilers. Combustor designs, applicable air pollution control devices, fuels used, and operation are similar for large and medium. While actual pollution controls used and monitoring equipment may be different, the CAA does not allow EPA to subcategorize on these parameters.

Section 112(d)(1) of the CAA allows EPA to distinguish among classes, types, and size in establishing MACT standards. As indicated above, at proposal, the size break selected between large and small units of 10 MMBtu/hr was based on typical sizes for fire tube units and also considering cut-offs in State and Federal permitting requirements and emission rules. Based on comments, we have examined information in the docket regarding the population and characteristics of industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers. It is correct that boilers below 10 MMBtu/hr are generally not required to be permitted and are either firetube or cast iron boilers. Based on review of the thousands of responses received on an information collection request (ICR) conducted during the rulemaking process, it is obvious and appropriate that the distinction between small and large units needs to include size. It is apparent from the ICR responses that facilities know the size of their units but do not generally know the exact type of the units. Many responses indicated that the boiler was both firetube and watertube. Many more responses did not list the boiler type at all. Therefore, the inclusion of size in the definition of small and large subcategories is appropriate.

Based on review of the 1979 EPA document on boiler population and the ICR survey database, the appropriate size break between small and large type units is 10 MMBtu/hr. In the EPA document, 99 percent of the boilers listed as being below 10 MMbtu/hr are either firetube or cast iron. Since these trends are from a 25 year old report, we

Attachment I
Attachment I

analyzed our ICR survey database which confirmed these findings.

E. MACT Floor

Comment: Several commenters supported EPA's finding that the MACT floor level for existing gas and liquid fuel-fired units is no emissions reductions. Other commenters contended that EPA has legal authority to set the MACT floor as ''no emissions control'' for particular HAP categories. A commenter noted that EPA has a clear statutory obligation to set emission standards for each listed HAP. One commenter specifically challenged EPA's determination that ''no control'' is the MACT floor for organic pollutants. The commenter noted that the U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit had squarely held, in the National Lime case, that EPA was not allowed to make a ''no control'' determination for a pollutant emitted by a listed category of sources.

Response: First, the MACT floor methodology we use is consistent with DC Circuit's holding in the National Lime case. The DC Circuit held that by focusing only on technology EPA ignored the directive in CAA section 112(d)(2) to consider pollution-reducing measures including process changes and substitution of materials.

The EPA has ample legal authority to set the MACT floor at ''no emissions reductions.'' This is because the statute requires EPA to set standards that are duplicable by others. In the National Lime case, the court threw out EPA's determination of a no control floor because it was based only on a control technology approach. The court stated that EPA must look at what the best performers achieve, regardless of how they achieve it. Therefore, our determination that the MACT floor for certain subcategories or HAP is ''no emissions reductions'' is lawful because we determined that the best-performing sources were not achieving emissions reductions through the use of an emission control system and there were no other appropriate methods by which boilers and process heaters could reduce HAP emissions. Furthermore, setting emissions standards on the basis of actual emissions data alone where facilities have no way of controlling their HAP emissions would contravene the plain statutory language as well as Congressional intent that affected sources not be forced to shut down.

The EPA agrees with the commenter that all factors which might control HAP emissions must be considered in making a floor determination for each subcategory. However, EPA disagrees that it must express the floor as a quantitative emission level in those instances where the source on which the floor determination is based has not adopted or implemented any measure that would reduce emissions.

A detailed discussion of the MACT floor methodology is presented in the memorandum ''MACT Floor Analysis for New and Existing Sources in the Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters Source Categories'' in the docket. In summary, we considered several approaches to identifying MACT floor for existing industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters. Based on recent court decisions, in most cases the most acceptable approach for determining the MACT floor is likely to involve primarily the consideration of available emissions test data. However, after review of the available HAP emission test data, we determined that it was inappropriate to use this MACT floor approach to establish emission limits for boilers and process heaters. The main problem with using only the HAP emissions data is that, based on the test data alone, uncontrolled units (or units with low efficiency add-on controls) were frequently identified as being among the best performing 12 percent of sources in a subcategory, while many units with high efficiency controls were not. However, these uncontrolled or poorly controlled units are not truly among the best controlled units in the category. Rather, the emissions from these units are relatively low because of particular characteristics of the fuel that they burn, that can not reasonably be replicated by other units in the category or subcategory. A review of fuel analyses indicate that the concentration of HAP (metals, HCl, mercury) vary greatly, not only between fuel types, but also within each fuel type. Therefore, a unit without any add-on controls, but burning a fuel containing lower amounts of HAP, can have emission levels that are lower than the emissions from a unit with the best available add-on controls. If only the available HAP emissions data are used, the resulting MACT floor levels would, in most cases, be unachievable for many, if not most, existing units, even those that employ the most effective available emission control technology. Another problem with using only emissions data is that there is very limited or no HAP emissions information available to the Agency for the subcategories. This is consistent with the fact that units in these source categories have not historically been required to test for HAP emissions.

We also considered using HAP emission limits contained in State regulations and permits as a surrogate for actual emission data in order to identify the emissions levels from the best performing units in the category for purposes of establishing MACT standards. However, we found no State regulations or State permits which specifically limit HAP emissions from these sources.

Consequently, we concluded that the most appropriate approach for determining MACT floors for boilers and process heaters is to look at the control options used by the units within each subcategory in order to identify the best performing units. Information was available regarding the emission control options employed by the population of boilers identified by the EPA. We considered several possible control techniques (*i.e.*, factors that influence emissions), including fuel substitution, process changes and work practices, and add-on control technologies.

We first considered whether fuel switching would be an appropriate control option for sources in each subcategory. We considered the feasibility of both fuel switching to other fuels used in the subcategory and to fuels from other subcategories. This consideration included determining whether switching fuels would achieve lower HAP emissions. A second consideration was whether fuel switching could be technically achieved by boilers and process heaters in the subcategory considering the existing design of boilers and process heaters. We also considered the availability of various types of fuel. After considering these factors, we determined that fuel switching was not an appropriate control technology for purposes of determining the MACT floor level of control for any subcategory. This decision was based on the overall effect of fuel switching on HAP emissions, technical and design considerations, and concerns about fuel availability.

We also concluded that process changes or work practices were not appropriate criteria for identifying the MACT floor level of control for units in the boilers and process heaters category. The HAP emissions from boilers and process heaters are either fuel dependent (*i.e.*, mercury, metals, and inorganic HAP) or combustion related (*i.e.*, organic HAP). Fuel dependent HAP are typically controlled by removing them from the flue gas after combustion. Therefore, they are not affected by the operation of the boiler or process heater. Consequently, process changes would be ineffective in reducing these fuel-related HAP emissions.

On the other hand, organic HAP can be formed from incomplete combustion

Attachment I
Attachment I

of the fuel. Good combustion practice (GCP), in terms of boilers and process heaters, could be defined as the system design and work practices expected to minimize organic HAP emissions. While few sources in EPA's database specifically reported using good combustion practices, the data that we have suggests that boilers and process heaters within each subcategory might use any of a wide variety of different work practices, depending on the characteristics of the individual unit. The lack of information, and lack of a uniform approach to assuring combustion efficiency, is not surprising given the extreme diversity of boilers and process heaters, and given the fact that no applicable Federal standards, and most applicable State standards, do not include work practice requirements for boilers and process heaters. Even those States that do have such requirements do not require the same work practices. For example, CO emissions are generally a good indicator of incomplete combustion, and, therefore, low CO emissions might reflect good combustion practices. (As discussed in the proposal, CO is considered a surrogate for organic HAP emissions.) Therefore, we considered whether existing CO emission limits might be used to establish good combustion practice standards for boilers and process heaters. We reviewed State regulations applicable to boilers and process heaters, and then for each subcategory we matched the applicability of State CO emission limits with information on the locations and characteristics of the boilers and process heaters in the population database. Ultimately, we found that very few units (less than 6 percent) in any subcategory were subject to CO emission limits. We concluded that this information did not allow EPA to identify a level of performance that was representative of good combustion across the various units in any subcategory. Therefore, we did not establish a CO emission limit, as a surrogate for organic HAP emissions, as a part of the MACT floor for existing units. However, we have considered the appropriateness of such requirements in the context of evaluation possible beyond-the-floor options.

In general, boilers and process heaters are designed for good combustion. Facilities have an economic incentive to ensure that fuel is not wasted, and the combustion device operates properly and is appropriately maintained. In fact, existing boilers and process heaters are used typically as high efficiency control devices to control (reduce) emission streams containing organic HAP compounds from various process operations. Therefore, EPA's inability to establish a combustion practice requirement as part of the MACT floor for existing sources in this category should not reduce the incentive for owners and operators to run their boilers and process heaters at top efficiency.

As a result of the evaluation of the feasibility of establishing emission limits based on control techniques such as fuel switching and good combustion practices, we concluded that add-on control technology should be the primary factor for purposes of identifying the best controlled units within each subcategory of boilers and process heaters. We identified the types of air pollution control techniques currently used. We ranked those controls according to their effectiveness in removing the different HAP categories of pollutants; including metallic HAP and PM, inorganic HAP such as acid gases, mercury, and organic HAP. We then listed all the boilers and process heaters in the population database in order of decreasing control device effectiveness within each subcategory for each pollutant type. Then we identified the top 12 percent of units within each category based on this ranking, and determined what kind of emission control technology, or combination of technologies, the units in the top 12 percent employed. Finally, we looked at the emissions test data from boilers and process heaters that used the same control technology, or technologies, as the units in the top 12 percent to estimate the average emissions limitation achieved by these units.

This approach reasonably ensures that the emission limit selected as the MACT floor adequately represents the average level of control actually achieved by units in the top 12 percent. The analysis of the measured emissions from units representative of the top 12 percent is reasonably designed to provide a meaningful estimate of the average performance, or central tendency, of the best controlled 12 percent of units in a given subcategory. For existing subcategories where less than 12 percent of units in the subcategory use any type of control technology, we looked to see if we could estimate the central tendency of the best controlled units by looking at the unit occupying the median point in the top 12 percent (the unit at the 94th percentile). If the median unit of the top 12 percent is using some control technology, we might use the measured emission performance of that individual unit as the basis for estimating an appropriate average level of control of the top 12 percent. For subcategories where less than 6 percent of the units in a HAP grouping used controls or limited emissions, the median unit for that HAP grouping reflects no emissions reductions. Therefore, in these circumstances, EPA has appropriately established the MACT floor emission levels for these sources as no emission reduction.

Comment: Many commenters opposed EPA using emissions data from units in the large subcategory to develop emission limits for units in the small or limited use subcategories. Some commenters stated that it was not appropriate to assume that emissions rates achievable by large units are achievable by small units, even the best controlled units. Other commenters argued that the use of large unit data in MACT determinations for other subcategories would defeat the purpose of the subcategorization and violate the requirements of CAA section 112 because the use of this data does not represent sources in the relevant category or subcategory.

Response: The EPA disagrees with the commenters and maintains that it has conducted the MACT floor analysis appropriately. Section 112(d) of the CAA requires us to establish emission limits for new sources based on the performance of the best-controlled similar source. The CAA does not specify that the similar source must be within the same source category or subcategory. To the contrary, our interpretation of section 112(d) is that we are obligated to consider similar sources from other source categories or subcategories in determining the best-controlled similar source for establishing MACT for new sources.

For new limited use and small units, we concluded that the best-controlled similar sources are found in the large subcategory. First, EPA determined the control technology used by the best controlled sources in the subcategory. For example, only units in the population database less than 10 MMBtu/hr (and not in the limited use subcategory) were used to determine the MACT floor control technology for units in the small subcategories. Second, EPA used information in the emissions test database to establish the emission level associated with the MACT floor control technology. The emissions test database did not contain test data for limited use or small boilers and process heaters. Section 112(d) of the CAA requires EPA to use information from similar sources to set the MACT floor. Such sources may not be in the same subcategory. Although the units in the small and

Attachment I
Attachment I

limited use subcategories are different enough to warrant their own subcategory (*i.e.*, different purposes and operation), emissions of the specific types of HAP for which limits are being proposed are expected to be related more to the type of fuel burned and the type of control used, than to unit operation. Consequently, EPA determined that emissions information from large fuel-fired units could be used to establish MACT floor levels for the small and limited use subcategories because the fuels and controls are similar. The proposal preamble requested additional information from commenters to refine/revise the approach if necessary. No commenters provided emissions information for limited use or small subcategory boilers or process heaters.

Comment: Several commenters requested that EPA account for variability in fuel composition as MACT floors are established and to provide adequate allowances for inherent fuel supply variability. Some commenters argued that there is no flexibility in the rule to account for this variability and noted that coal composition can vary by location and also within an individual seam.

Response: As described in the memorandum ''Revised MACT Floor Analysis for the Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heater National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Based on Public Comments'' in the docket, the calculation of numerical emission limits was a two-step analysis. The first step involved calculating a numerical average of the appropriate subset of emission test data. The second step involved generating and applying an appropriate variability factor to account for unavoidable variations in emissions due to uncontrollable variations in fuel characteristics and ordinary operational variability. Accounting for variability is appropriate in order to generate a more accurate estimation of the actual, long term, performance of a source (*e.g.*, the source occupying the median point in the top 12 percent). An emission test provides a momentary snapshot, not an estimation of continuous performance. In order to translate the former into the latter, we must account for that ordinary and unavoidable variability that the source is likely to experience over time. This gives us a more reasonable estimate of the actual level of emissions control that the unit is achieving. The EPA contends that by considering the variability of emissions information, we have indirectly incorporated variability in fuel, operating conditions, and sampling and analytical conditions because these parameters vary from emission tests conducted from one unit to another, and even within each test set of three measurements at a single unit. The most elementary measure of variation is range. Range is defined as the difference between the largest and smallest values. This is the variability methodology used in the proposed rule. That is, for each unit with multiple emissions tests conducted over time, the variability was calculated by dividing the highest three-run test result by the lowest three-run test result. The overall variability was calculated by averaging all the individual unit variability factors. This overall variability factor was multiplied by the overall average emission level to derive a MACT floor limit representative of the average emission limitation achieved by the top 12 percent of units. This approach adequately accounts for inherent fuel supply variability. Based on comments, EPA did conduct a more robust statistical analysis (t-test) of the mercury emissions data used in the MACT floor analysis to identify the 97.5th percent confidence limit. This analysis provided similar results to the variability analysis conducted in the proposed rule. Consequently, EPA decided not to change its variability methodology. A detailed discussion of the statistical analysis conducted is provided in the memorandum ''Statistical Analysis of Mercury Test Data Variability in Response to Public Comments on Determination of the MACT Floor for Mercury Emissions'' in the docket.

Comment: Several commenters supported EPA's finding that the MACT floor level of control for existing gaseous and liquid fuel units is no control. Other commenters noted that EPA has a clear statutory obligation to set emission standards for each listed HAP (the commenter cited legal briefs). One commenter specifically challenged EPA's determination of the MACT floor for organic pollutants. The commenter explained that EPA should rank the units for which emissions data is available according to the best performing units, not based on the add-on control level of 6 percent of the total population. The commenter noted that the U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit had squarely held, in the National Lime case, that EPA was not allowed to make a ''no control'' determination for a pollutant emitted by a listed category of sources.

Response: The EPA agrees that all factors which might control HAP emissions must be considered in making a floor determination for each subcategory. However, EPA disagrees that it must express the floor as a quantitative emission level in those instances where the sources on which the floor determination is based has not adopted or implemented any measure that would reduce emissions. For several subcategories and certain HAP, EPA has not identified any adjustments or other operational modifications that would materially reduce emissions by these units, and EPA had determined that no add-on controls are presently in use. In these circumstances, EPA has established appropriately the MACT floors for these sources as no emission reduction.

Comment: One commenter pointed out that the variability factor used to make the calculated MACT floor less stringent is not allowed by section 112 of the CAA. The commenter mentioned that the variability factors are not consistent, as one factor considers the fuel variability and the other factor considers the test data variability.

Response: Section 112(d)(2) of the CAA requires that emissions standards promulgated shall require the maximum degree of reductions in emissions that the EPA Administrator, taking into consideration the costs of achieving such emission reduction, determines is achievable for new and existing sources in the subcategory to which such emission standards applies. Accounting for variability is appropriate in order to generate a more accurate estimation of the actual, long term, performance of a source (*e.g.*, the source occupying the median point in the top 12 percent). An emission test provides a momentary snapshot, not an estimation of continuous performance. In order to translate the former into the latter, we must account for that ordinary and unavoidable variability that the source is like to experience over time. This give us a more reasonable estimate of the actual level of emissions control that the unit is achieving. As such, due to variations in fuel burned, and ordinary operational variability any emission limit set from a point source measurement alone may not be indicative of normal emissions or operations of the unit. Attempting to base a standard (either a floor standard, or a beyond-the-floor standard) solely on point measurements would lead to unachievable standards for all sources. Limits set by EPA must be achieved at all times, and it is important that the MACT floor limit adequately account for the normal and unavoidable variability in the process and in the operation of the control device.

Variability was assessed two ways. For existing subcategories, variability in emissions information was used to develop variability factors for all

Attachment I
Attachment I

subcategories where emissions information was available. Variability in fuel content was used only in situations regarding determining the achievable MACT floor level for new sources from the emission test result on the best controlled similar source. This approach is appropriate since the main uncertainty associated with the emission test result from the best controlled similar source is fuel variability. Corresponding fuel analysis results were not available for the emissions test results from the best controlled similar source. Whereas, the average emission level of the best 12 percent of the units has, besides fuel variability, the uncertainty associated with operational and design variability of the various control devices installed on units that represent the best 12 percent of the units. For example, available fuel analysis information shows that mercury content of coal varies by a factor of 12.54. Dividing the highest mercury emission test result by the lowest mercury test results from coal-fired units included in units that represent the best 12 percent results in a variability factor of 20. Therefore, we concluded that fuel availability was inherently considered in the MACT floor analysis approach used for existing subcategories.

Comment: Many commenters requested that EPA revise the MACT floor methodology for mercury emission limits. The commenters contended that the variability factor was calculated inappropriately. Other commenters stated that EPA should account for variability in fuel composition in the MACT floor analysis. Other commenters expressed concern that the floor level of control was based on fabric filters, which has not been proven at all sources to reduce mercury.

Response: As discussed in the proposal preamble, the MACT floor analysis for mercury was based on a two step process. First the percentage of units with control technologies that could achieve mercury emissions reductions was determined using the boiler population databases. If the control technology analysis indicated that at least 12 percent of sources in the subcategory used a control device that could achieve mercury emissions reductions, then the control technology present at the median (6th percentile) was identified as the MACT floor control technology. The MACT floor level of control for mercury was identified as a fabric filter. The control effectiveness of fabric filters was based on emissions information for utility boilers that indicated that mercury emissions reductions were being achieved with this technology. In this case, we could use control efficiency information from another similar source category to supplement the information available in this source category because of the similarity in fuel burned, combustor type, and control methodology and operation. We maintain that fabric filters are still the appropriate level of control for the MACT floor.

Second, the emission limit associated with the MACT floor control technology was calculated using emissions information for units in the subcategory, whenever possible. For most of the subcategories developed, emissions information was adequate. Only for the emission limit for new source liquids and the variability factor for new source solids was fuel pollutant content incorporated into the MACT floor analyses. The mercury fuel content of coal from the utility industry was used in developing the variability factors for new solid fired units. This was done because mercury emissions are dependent on the quantity of mercury in the fuel burned. Coal available to utilities and industrial boilers and process heaters is expected to be similar, and coal is the solid fuel that is routinely used in such units that has generally the greatest degree of HAP variability. We maintain that the utility database used at proposal to develop the variability factor for new sources was adequate in establishing the MACT floor emission limit.

The EPA recognizes that the mercury emissions database for industrial boilers is limited. However, EPA is directed by the CAA to develop standards for sources using whatever data is available. Prior to proposal and during the Industrial Combustion Coordinated Rulemaking (ICCR) process, EPA conducted a thorough search for HAP emission test reports. This search was supported by industry, trade groups, and States. For criteria pollutants, such as PM, substantial emission information was available and gathered. For mercury and other HAP, this was not the case. Industrial boilers have not generally been required to test for HAP emissions. In the proposed rule, EPA requested commenters to provide additional emissions information. However, only one source provided any additional mercury emissions data. This information (test results from three additional coal-fired industrial boilers) was used to revise the mercury emission limit for existing sources. We also reviewed the mercury emission database used to develop the MACT floor emission limit for existing sources. After review, we determined that a revision to the variability factor was appropriate. The additional data and the revised variability factor was used to re-calculate the mercury emission limit to be 0.000009 lb/MMBtu (from 0.000007 lb/MMBtu at proposal). A detailed discussion of the revised MACT floor analysis conducted is provided in the memorandum ''Revised MACT Floor Analysis for the Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Based on Public Comments'' in the docket.

Variability of the emissions data were incorporated into the final emission limits. The EPA contends that by considering the variability of emissions information, we have indirectly incorporated variability in fuel, operating conditions, and sampling and analytical conditions because these parameters vary from emission tests conducted from one unit to another, and even within one unit. The EPA does not consider it appropriate or feasible to incorporate variability from a multitude of parameters because such information is not available and cannot be correlated to the emissions information in the emissions test database. For the final rule, EPA did conduct a statistical analysis of the data to identify the 97.5th percent confidence interval. This analysis provided similar results to the variability analysis conducted in the proposed rule. Consequently, EPA decided not to change its variability methodology. A detailed discussion of the statistical analysis conducted is provided in the memorandum ''Statistical Analysis of Mercury Test Data Variability in Response to Public Comments on Determination of the MACT Floor for Mercury Emissions'' in the docket.

Comment: Several commenters contended that the California standards which the CO requirements are based on do not require CO CEMS, but require initial compliance testing and periodic subsequent performance testing.

Response: The commenters are correct that the California CO regulations do not require CO CEMS. The regulations do provide sources with the option of conducting annual testing or installing CO CEMS to demonstrate compliance with the CO emission limit. Because the regulations that were the basis of the MACT floor do not provide specifics on which boilers should conduct annual testing and which should use CO CEMS, we reviewed the cost information provided by the commenters to make this determination. In considering the additional cost information and reviewing the cost information used in the proposed rule, the EPA decided that

Attachment I
Attachment I

changes to the CO compliance requirements were warranted. The final rule requires that new units with heat input capacities less than 100 MMBtu/hr conduct initial and annual performance tests for CO emissions. New units with heat input capacities greater or equal to 100 MMBtu/hr are still required to install, operate, and maintain a CO CEMS.

Regardless of whether the California regulations do or do not require CO CEMS, we would have reviewed the need for continuous monitoring and operating limits in order to ensure the most accurate indication of proper operation of the control system. The purpose of all of the minimum operating parameter limits in the standard is to ensure continuous compliance by ensuring that the air pollution control equipment is operating as they were during the latest performance test demonstrating compliance with the emission limits. The operating parameters are established as ''minimum'' to provide enforceable boundaries in their operation. Operating outside the bounds of the minimum parameters may lead to increased air emissions.

The EPA would also like to clarify that operation above the CO limit constitutes a deviation of the work practice standard. However, the determination of what deviations constitute violations of the standard is up to the discretion of the entity responsible for enforcement of the standards.

F. Beyond the MACT Floor

Comment: Many commenters contended that carbon injection should have been required as a beyond-the-floor option. Other commenters supported EPA's decision to not require any controls beyond-the-floor.

Response: For the final rule, EPA maintains that options beyond the MACT floor are not appropriate for the standard. The EPA is required by the CAA to set the standard at a minimum on the best controlled 12 percent of sources (for existing units) or best controlled similar source (for new units). The CAA also requires EPA to consider costs and non-air quality impacts and energy requirements when considering more stringent requirements than the MACT floor. As documented in the memorandum ''Methodology for Estimating Costs and Emissions Impacts for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants'' in the docket, EPA did consider the cost and emission impacts of a variety of regulatory options more stringent than the MACT floor for each subcategory. The EPA recognizes that for some subcategories, more stringent controls than the MACT floor can be applied and achieve additional emissions reductions. However, EPA also determined that the cost impacts of such controls were very high. Considering both the costs and emissions reductions, EPA determined that it would be infeasible to require any options more stringent than the floor level.

For the final rule, EPA maintains that carbon injection should not be required as an above the floor technology. As discussed in the proposal preamble, we identified one existing industrial boiler that was using carbon injection. The emissions data that we obtained from the boiler indicated that this carbon injection unit was not achieving mercury emissions reductions. This result led us to conclude that it was not the new source floor level of control. However, there may have been other reasons for the ineffectiveness of this system (*e.g.*, low inlet mercury levels, insufficient carbon injection rate, ESP instead of fabric filter for PM control). Therefore, we considered carbon injection as a beyond-the-floor option, but decided that while this control technique has been used in other source categories, there is no demonstrated evidence that it would work for industrial boilers and process heaters because the type of mercury emitted and properties of the emission streams are sufficiently different for boilers and process heaters and other source categories.

G. Work Practice Requirements

Comment: Many commenters requested EPA consider exceedences of the CO limit to be a trigger for corrective action rather than a violation.

Response: In the final rule, we have clarified that an exceedence of the CO limit constitutes a deviation of the work practice standard. An observed exceedence of a monitoring parameter is not an automatic violation. You are required to report any deviation from an applicable emission limitation (including operating limit). We will review the information in your report along with other available information to determine if the deviation constitutes a violation. The determination of what emission or operating limit deviation constitutes violations of the standard is up to the discretion of the entity responsible for enforcement of the standard.

H. Compliance

Comment: Many commenters requested that EPA simplify and write the fuel monitoring requirements to not require retesting of fuel for changes in fuel supplier.

Response: We agree that the fuel monitoring requirements in the proposal needed to be clarified and explained further. Therefore, we have clarified the fuel analysis options in the final rule. If you elect to demonstrate compliance with the HCl, mercury, or total selected metals limit by using fuel which has a statistically lower pollutant content than the emission limit, then your operating limit is the emission limit of the applicable pollutant. Under this option, you are not required to conduct performance tests (*i.e.* stack tests).

If you demonstrate compliance with the HCl, mercury, or total selected metals limit by using fuel with a statistically higher pollutant content than the applicable emission limit, but performance tests demonstrate that you can meet the emission limits, then your operating limits are the operating limits of the control device (if used) and the fuel pollutant content of the fuel type/mixture burned.

The final rule specifies the testing methodology and procedures and the initial and continuous compliance requirements to be used when complying with the fuel analysis options. Fuel analysis tests for total chloride, gross calorific value, mercury, metal analysis, sample collection, and sample preparation are included in the final rule.

If you elect to comply based on fuel analysis, you are required to statistically analyze, using the z-test, the data to determine the 90th percentile confidence level. It is the 90th percentile confidence level that is required to be used to determine compliance with the applicable emission limit. The statistical approach is required to assist in ensuring continuous compliance by statistically accounting for the inherent variability in the fuel type.

You are required to recalculate the fuel pollutant content only if you burn a new fuel type or fuel mixture. You are required to conduct another performance test if you demonstrate compliance through performance testing, you burn a new fuel type or mixture, and the results of recalculating the fuel pollutant content are higher than the level established during the initial performance test.

Comment: Many commenters requested EPA consider exceedences of

parametric limits to be a trigger for corrective action rather than a violation.

Response: In the final rule, we have clarified that an exceedence of the parametric limits constitute a deviation of the operating limits. An observed exceedence of a monitoring parameter is not an automatic violation. You are required to report any deviation from an applicable emission limitation (including operating limit). We will review the information in your report along with other available information to determine if the deviation constitutes a violation. The determination of what emission or operating limit deviation constitutes violations of the standard is up to the discretion of the entity responsible for enforcement of the standard.

Comment: Many commenters requested EPA revise the opacity requirements. Commenters objected to the provision in the proposed NESHAP that would establish an opacity ''operating limit'' based on the initial performance test. Some commenters contended that EPA has provided no data or references demonstrating a relationship between opacity and particulate, total metals, or mercury emissions. Other commenters argued that the proposed opacity limit approach for dry control devices is unworkable due to the inherent inability of continuous opacity monitors (COMS) to accurately measure opacity at levels less than 10 percent. Some commenters argued that the performance and opacity achieved during the initial test may not be representative of the unit's performance. Other commenters explained that equipment condition, fuel and operating variations, and other uncontrollable parameters may result in varying emissions and emissions control equipment efficiencies over time. Commenters suggested requiring the NSPS limits for opacity rather than setting opacity based on the initial compliance test.

Response: We have reviewed the information provided by the commenters, and agree that the opacity operating limit requirements in the proposed rule are not appropriate for this source category. Because of the variability in fuels burned, the combination of fuels burned, and the typical operation of boilers and process heaters, we have decided that an opacity limit set based on the initial performance test may not be representative of the units typical performance.

We have revised the opacity operating limit provision by requiring existing units to maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (based on 6-minute averages) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. This is the opacity limit contained in the current NSPS for industrial boilers, which has a similar PM emission limit as the final rule. Therefore, it was determined that it was appropriate to include a similar opacity level as the control device operating limit for existing units. New sources can maintain their opacity operating limit to less than or equal to 10 percent (based on 1-hour block averages). This level appears to be the lowest opacity level currently applicable to industrial boilers in State regulations.

Comment: Several commenters objected to the requirement to conduct performance testing at worst case conditions. The commenters found this requirement to be unrealistic because stack testing must be scheduled well in advance and worst-case conditions depend on fuel, load, and many other variables, making it impossible to assure that the testing will occur during worst-case conditions. Two commenters contended there can be no guarantee that mineral properties for a fuel source at the time of the baseline test can be guaranteed beyond the content identified during purchase contract negotiations with a fuel supplier. Two commenters suggested that EPA define what worst case conditions are because sources do not have the experience to determine worst-case representative process conditions.

Response: We agree that more direction and clarification is needed regarding testing at worst case conditions. We have modified fuel sampling requirements and performance testing fuel use requirements to simplify compliance. During performance testing, sources are required to burn the type of fuel or mixture of fuel types that have the highest concentration of regulated HAP. This, in combination with revised fuel sampling requirements (*e.g.*, based on fuel type and not on supplier, etc.), will simplify the determination of the fuel blend during the performance test. Sources are also required to conduct performance tests under representative full load operating conditions.

Comment: Several commenters objected to the requirement for annual performance tests because they felt that it is overly burdensome given the ongoing compliance demonstrations required by the NESHAP. Several commenters suggested that initial performance testing should be required with subsequent performance testing occurring every 3 to 5 years. Some commenters stated that 5-year test intervals are consistent with title V permits and have been allowed in other MACT standards (*e.g.* Hazardous Waste Combustors).

Response: We have worked to minimize the testing and monitoring requirements of the final rule while retaining the ability to ensure compliance with the emission limits and work practice requirements. We are providing an option for sources to conduct performance testing once every 3 years if they conduct successful performance testing for 3 consecutive years. We are also allowing sources to demonstrate compliance with the HCl, mercury, and total selected metals emission limits through fuel testing if they do not need emission control devices to achieve the standard.

I. Emissions Averaging

In the proposal preamble, we solicited comments on an emissions averaging or bubbling compliance alternative, as part of the EPA's general policy of encouraging the use of flexible compliance approaches where they can be properly monitored and enforced, and whether EPA should include emissions averaging in the final rule. Emissions averaging can provide sources the flexibility to comply in the least costly manner while still maintaining regulation that is workable and enforceable. We requested comment on an averaging approach for determining compliance with the non-mercury metallic HAP, HCl, mercury, and/or PM standards for existing sources. We indicated that averaging would allow owners and operators to submit non-mercury metals, mercury, HCl, and/or PM emissions limits to the EPA Administrator for approval for each existing boiler in the averaging group such that if these emission limits are met, the total emissions from all existing boilers in the averaging group are less than or equal to emission limits (for non-mercury metals, mercury, HCl, or PM) applicable to units in the particular subcategory. We indicated also that averaging would not be applicable to new sources and could only be used between boilers and process heaters in the same subcategory. Also, owners or operators of existing sources subject to the Industrial Boiler New Source Performance Standards NSPS (40 CFR part 60, subparts Db and Dc) would be required to continue to meet the PM emission standard of that NSPS regardless of whether or not they are averaging.

Emissions averaging has been incorporated into the final rule as an alternative means of complying with the final rule. Emissions averaging allows an individual affected unit emitting

above the allowable emission limit required by the final rule to comply with that emission limit by averaging its emissions with other affected units at the same facility emitting below the allowable emission limit required by the final rule.

Comment: Many commenters supported including averaging in the final rule. Commenters cited numerous reasons, including cost effectiveness, energy efficiency, greater flexibility in compliance, and greater environmental benefit. Commenters also cited 40 CFR part 63, subpart MM, Pulping Chemical Recovery Combustion MACT as a precedent for including emissions averaging in MACT standards. Two commenters disagreed with allowing emissions averaging, stating that it would complicate compliance determinations, does not fit within the CAA mandate, and is inconsistent with the purpose of CAA section 112. Many of those commenters who supported emissions averaging recommended additional flexibility, such as including new units, and bubbling across subcategories.

Response: The final rule includes an emissions averaging compliance alternative because emissions averaging represents an equivalent, more flexible, and less costly alternative to controlling certain emission points to MACT levels. We have concluded that a limited form of averaging could be implemented and not lessen the stringency of the standard. We agree with the commenters that some type of emissions averaging would provide flexibility in compliance, cost and energy savings to owners and operators. We also recognize that we must ensure that any emissions averaging option can be implemented and enforced, will be clear to sources, and most importantly, will achieve no less emissions reductions than unit by unit implementation of the MACT requirements.

The final rule is not the first NESHAP to include provisions permitting emission averaging. In general, EPA has concluded that it is permissible to establish within a NESHAP a unified compliance regimen that permits averaging across affected units subject to the standard under certain conditions. Averaging across affected units is permitted only if it can be demonstrated that the total quantity of any particular HAP that may be emitted by that portion of a contiguous major source that is subject to the NESHAP will not be greater under the averaging mechanism than it would be if each individual affected unit complied separately with the applicable standard. Under this rigorous test, the practical outcome of averaging is equivalent in every respect to compliance by the discrete units, and the statutory policy embodied in the MACT floor provisions is, therefore, fully effectuated.

The EPA has generally imposed certain limits on the scope and nature of emissions averaging programs. These limits include: (1) No averaging between different types of pollutants, (2) no averaging between sources that are not part of the same major source, (3) no averaging between sources within the same major source that are not subject to the same NESHAP, and (4) no averaging between existing sources and new sources.

The final rule fully satisfies each of these criteria. Accordingly, EPA has concluded that the averaging of emissions across affected units permitted by the final rule is consistent with the CAA. In addition, EPA notes that the provision in the final rule that requires each facility that intends to utilize emission averaging to submit an emission averaging plan provides additional assurance that the necessary criteria will be followed. In this emission averaging plan, the facility must include the identification of (1) all units in the averaging group, (2) the control technology installed, (3) the process parameter that will be monitored, (4) the specific control technology or pollution prevention measure to be used, (5) the test plan for the measurement of particulate matter (or selected total metals), hydrogen chloride, or mercury emissions, and (6) the operating parameters to be monitored for each control device. Upon receipt, the regulatory authority will not approve an emission averaging plan containing averaging between emissions of different types of pollutants or between sources in different subcategories.

The final rule excludes new affected sources from the emissions averaging provision. New sources have historically been held to a stricter standard than existing sources because it is most cost effective to integrate state-of-the-art controls into equipment design and to install the technology during construction of new sources. One reason we allow emissions averaging is to give existing sources flexibility to achieve compliance at diverse points with varying degrees of add-on control already in place in the most cost-effective and technically reasonable fashion. This concern does not apply to new sources which can be designed and constructed with compliance in mind.

Only existing large solid fuel units, as defined in the final rule, can be included in the emissions averaging compliance alternative. Of the nine subcategories established for existing sources, existing large solid fuel units is the only subcategory for which multiple HAP emissions limits apply. For the existing small solid fuel subcategory and the six existing gaseous and liquid fuel subcategories, no HAP emissions limits are included in the final rule and, thus, it would not be appropriate to allow these units to average emissions. As for the existing limited use solid fuel subcategory, since these units, as defined in the final rule, operated on a limited basis (capacity factor of less than 10 percent) and are subject only to a less stringent PM emissions limit (as a surrogate for non-mercury metals), it would be inappropriate to allow these units to average emissions.

With concern about the equivalency of emissions reductions from averaging and non-averaging in mind, the EPA Administrator is also imposing under the emission averaging provision caps on the current emissions from each of the sources in the averaging group. The emissions for each unit in the averaging group would be capped at the emission level being achieved on the effective date of the final rule. These caps would ensure that emissions do not increase above the emission levels that sources currently are designed, operated, and maintained to achieve. In the absence of performance tests, in documenting these caps, these sources will documented the type, design, and operating specification of control devices installed on the effective date of the final rule to ensure that existing controls are not removed or lessen. By including this provision in the final rule, the EPA Administrator has taken yet another step to assist in ensuring that emission averaging results in environmental benefits equivalent or better over what would have happened without emission averaging.

The inclusion of emissions averaging into rules and the decision on how to design an emission averaging approach for a particular source category must be evaluated for each source category.

J. Risk-based Approach

Comment: Multiple commenters supported EPA's incorporation of risk-based concepts into the MACT Program. One commenter stated that providing risk-based applicability criteria for sources whose HAP emissions do not pose a significant risk is appropriate. Several commenters stated that there is clear legal authority in the CAA to construct NESHAP based on risk, and such an approach is very appropriate in the case of the Industrial Boiler MACT. The commenter also noted that the regulatory framework exists within their

State to implement such an approach. Several commenters added that risk-based alternatives will function as indirect emission limits that must be maintained by the facilities to assure that the criteria are met, and, thus, such alternatives for low-risk facilities are supportable by EPA's authority under section 112(d)(4) and 112(c)(9) of the CAA and EPA's inherent *de minimis* authority. Another commenter asserted that there are ways to structure the rule to focus on facilities that pose significant risks and avoid imposition of high costs on facilities that pose little risk. An appropriate approach would be to allow individual facilities to conduct a risk assessment to show that they pose insignificant risks to the public. However, one commenter stated that it is not appropriate for State and local programs to determine which facilities should be exempted from MACT. Several commenters supported a risk-based compliance alternative for HCl.

Response: The EPA has determined that it can establish applicable health-based emission standards for HCl and manganese for affected sources in this category pursuant to its authority under section 112(d)(4) of the CAA. As a result, EPA has included such standards in the final rule as alternative compliance requirements. Under this approach, affected sources can choose to comply with either the MACT-based emission limits or the health-based emission limits. Sources which choose to comply with the health-based emission limit(s) will remain subject to those limits, but will need to comply with testing, monitoring and reporting requirements commensurate with the compliance option they have chosen. Such health-based standards are consistent with both the commenters' support for an approach that minimizes the impact on low-risk facilities and EPA's statutory mandate under section 112.

Section 112(d)(4) of the CAA authorizes EPA to consider established health thresholds, with an ample margin of safety, when promulgating emission standards under section 112. Hydrogen chloride and Mn are two pollutants for which health thresholds have been established. Issues concerning our legal authority to establish health-based emission standards under section 112(d)(4) are discussed in detail below.

We are not using CAA section 112(c)(9) for the final rule, and there is no delisting of categories or subcategories, as would be consistent with section 112(c)(9).

The criteria defining how affected sources demonstrate that they meet the threshold emissions levels for the health-based compliance alternative(s) is included in appendix A to the final rule. The criteria in appendix A to the final rule were developed for and apply only to the Boiler and process heater source category and are not applicable to other source categories. The final rule provides two ways that an affected source may demonstrate compliance with the health-based emission limits. The first option is through the use of lookup tables which allow facilities to determine, using a limited number of site-specific input parameters, whether emissions from boilers and process heaters might cause a hazard index (HI) limit for non-carcinogens to be exceeded. The second option is a modeling approach which allows those facilities that do not match the site-specific input parameters on which the lookup tables are based to demonstrate compliance with the health-based emission limits by modeling using site-specific information.

The affected source will have to demonstrate that it meets the criteria established by today's final rule and then assume Federally enforceable limitations, as described in appendix A of the final rule, that ensure their specified HAP emissions do not subsequently increase to exceed levels reflected in their demonstrations.

Comment: Multiple commenters are opposed to the risk-based exemptions. Some noted that the proposal to include risk-based exemptions is critically flawed and opposes adoption of the risk-based exemptions.

One commenter stated that the inclusion of case-by-case risk-based exemptions into the first phase of the MACT program will negate the legislative mandate and jeopardize the effectiveness of the national air toxics program to adequately protect public health and the environment and to establish a level playing field. The commenter was very concerned that EPA referenced a fundamentally flawed interpretation of CAA section 112(d)(4) written by an industry (AF&PA) subject to regulation. Of particular concern was AF&PA's unprecedented proposal to include ''*de minimis* exemptions'' and ''cost'' in the MACT standard process.

One commenter stated that the use of risk-based concepts to evade MACT applicability is contrary to the intent of the CAA and is based on a flawed interpretation of section 112(d)(4) of the CAA. The commenter added that the CAA requires a technology-based floor level of control and does not provide exclusions for risk or secondary impacts from applying the MACT floor.

One commenter stated that in separate rulemakings and lawsuits, EPA has adopted legal positions and policies that refute and contradict the very risk-based and cost-based approaches contained in the proposals. In these other arenas, the commenter contended that EPA has properly rejected risk assessment to alter the establishment of MACT standards. The EPA also has properly rejected cost in determining MACT floors and in denying a basis for avoiding the MACT floor.

Several commenters stated that the preamble discussion of the risk-based approaches is not sufficient to allow for complete public comment and, therefore, it would not be appropriate for EPA to go directly to a final rule (without reproposal) with any of the approaches outlined in the proposal.

Response: We are not identifying and deleting a subcategory of sources in this source category pursuant to the authority of CAA section 112(c)(9). Legal issues associated with the health-based provisions are addressed below and in the comment/response memorandum.

As discussed above, we are, however, including in the final rule alternative health-based emission standards for HCl and TSM based on our authority under CAA section 112(d)(4). Section 112(d)(4) authorizes EPA to consider health thresholds, with an ample margin of safety, in establishing emission standards. The analysis necessary to do this can generally be characterized as a risk analysis. Thus, we disagree with the commenter that we must wait for implementation of CAA section 112(f) before utilizing risk analysis.

Comment: Many commenters stated that the proposal to include risk-based exemptions is contrary to the 1990 CAA Amendments (CAAA) which calls for MACT standards based on technology rather than risk as a first step. They added that congress incorporated the residual risk program under CAA section 112(f) to follow the MACT standards (not to replace them). The commenters added that the need for the technology-based approach has been recently reinforced by the results of the National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA), which indicates that exposure to air toxics is very high throughout the country in urban and remote areas. Several commenters added that risk-based approaches will be used separately to augment and improve technology-based standards that do not adequately provide protection to the public. One commenter added that they have been unable to substantiate the basis for EPA's support of the regulatory relief sought by industry through risk-based exemptions and that, in fact, the use of risk assessment at this stage of the

MACT program is directly opposed to title III of the CAA.

Response: We disagree that inclusion of health-based compliance alternatives, in the form of emission standards based on the authority of section 112(d)(4) of the CAA, in the final rule is contrary to the 1990 CAAA. The final rule is a technology-based standard developed using the procedures dictated by section 112 of the CAA. The only difference between the final rule and other MACT is that we used our discretion under section 112(d)(4) to base appropriate parts of the final rule on established health thresholds, with an ample margin of safety. The final rule is particularly well-suited for a health-based compliance alternative, established pursuant to the criteria set forth in section 112(d)(4). In addition to the fact that there are established health thresholds for HCl and manganese, EPA has determined that many of the facilities in this source category do not emit these pollutants in amounts that pose a significant risk to the surrounding population. Those sources that can demonstrate that the emissions of acid gases and manganese meet the threshold emission levels will be in compliance with the MACT. The criteria are based on health-protective estimates of risk and the threshold emission levels will provide ample protection of human health and the environment.

Inclusion of health-based compliance alternatives in the final rule does not alter the MACT program. Rather, it merely represents EPA availing itself, in appropriate circumstances, of the authority Congress granted it in section 112(d)(4) of the CAA. We recognize that such provisions are only appropriate for certain HAP, and our decision-making process required source category-specific input from stakeholders.

Although the NATA modeling study may show measurable concentrations of toxic air pollution across the country, these data do not suggest that EPA should not establish health-based emission standards pursuant to its authority under CAA section 112(d)(4) when it determines that it is appropriate to do so. The alternative health-based emission standards included in the final rule will ensure that affected sources which choose to comply with those standards do not emit HCl and/or manganese at levels that are harmful to public health.

Comment: Many commenters stated that the proposal to allow risk-based exemptions would divert back to the time-consuming NESHAP development process that existed prior to the CAAA of 1990. The commenters asserted that under this process, which began with a risk assessment step, only eight NESHAP were promulgated during a 20-year period. The commenters continued that if the proposed approaches are inserted into upcoming standards, the commenters fear the MACT program (which is already far behind schedule) would be further delayed. One commenter supported EPA efforts to determine alternative MACT setting methodologies but strongly recommended that these be pursued separately from the final rule. The commenter contended that this will provide for timely issuance of final RICE and Boiler/Process Heater MACT rules relative to the settlement deadline. Two commenters stated that delays could be exacerbated by litigation following legal challenges to the rules, and such delays would trigger the MACT hammer, which would unnecessarily burden the State and local agencies and the industries. The commenters concluded that further delay is unacceptable. The commenters did not want to be in a position of implementing the CAA section 112(j) program and urged EPA to not delay the issuance of any MACT standard. The commenters noted that according to a recently proposed EPA rule regarding section 112(j), the regulated community and State and local agencies would have to proceed with part 2 permit applications, followed by case-by-case MACT, if EPA misses the newly agreed-upon MACT deadlines by as little as 2 months. This would be time consuming, costly, and burdensome for both regulators and the regulated community.

Response: We disagree that allowing health-based compliance alternatives in the final rule will alter the MACT program or affect the schedule for promulgation of the remaining MACT standards. We do not anticipate any further delays in completing the remaining MACT standards. The setting of alternative health-based emission standards in the final rule affects only the final rule.

The approach taken in the final rule is particularly well-suited to acid gases and manganese, which are the only pollutants included in the health-based compliance alternatives. For many facilities, these pollutants are currently emitted in amounts that do not expose anyone in surrounding population to concentrations above the established health thresholds. As a result, emissions of HCl and/or manganese at these facilities do not pose a significant risk to the surrounding population. Only those Boiler facilities that demonstrate that their emissions are below the health-based emission standard(s), are eligible for the compliance alternatives.

Including health-based compliance alternatives for boiler sources does not mean that EPA will automatically provide such alternatives for other industries. Rather, as has been the case throughout the MACT rule development process, EPA will undertake in each individual rule to determine whether it is appropriate to exercise its discretion to use its authority under CAA section 112(d)(4) in developing applicable emission standards. The Boilers NESHAP is being promulgated by the February 2004 court-ordered deadline.

Comment: Many commenters stated that the risk-based proposal removes the level-playing field that would result from the proper implementation of technology-based MACT standards. The commenters added that establishing a baseline level of control is essential to prevent industry from moving to areas of the country that have the least stringent air toxics programs, which was one of the primary goals of developing a uniform national air toxics program under section 112 of the 1990 CAA amendments. The risk-based approaches would jeopardize future reductions of HAP in a uniform and consistent manner across the nation.

Response: Providing health-based compliance alternatives for sources that can meet them in the final rule will assure the application of a uniform set of requirements across the nation. The final rule and its criteria for demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives apply uniformly to boilers across the nation in the large solid fuel-fired subcategories. The final rule establishes a two baseline levels of emission reduction for HCl and manganese, one based on a traditional MACT analysis and the other based on EPA's evaluation of the health threat posed by emissions of these two pollutants. All Boiler facilities must meet one of these baseline levels, and all facilities with boilers in the applicable subcategories have the same opportunity to demonstrate that they can meet the alternative health-based emission standards. The criteria for qualifying to comply with the alternative health-based emission standards are not dependent on local air toxics programs. Therefore, concerns regarding facilities moving to areas of the country with less-stringent air toxics programs should be alleviated.

Comment: Multiple commenters stated that section 112(d)(4) of the CAA provides EPA with authority to exclude sources that emit threshold pollutants from regulation. The commenters indicated that section 112(d)(4) allows for discretion in developing MACT standards for HAP with health

Attachment I
Attachment I

thresholds. The commenters added that the use of section 112(d)(4) authority also is supported by CAA's legislative history, which emphasizes that Congress included section 112(d)(4) in the CAA to prevent unnecessary regulation of source categories.

One commenter pointed out that Congress does not differentiate between technology-based ''emission standards'' set under CAA section 112(d)(3) versus ''health threshold'' based ''emission standards'' set under CAA section 112(d)(4). Instead, the statute explicitly treats emission standards promulgated under section 112(d)(3) and 112(d)(4) as equivalent by not distinguishing between those emission standards under the residual risk provisions of CAA section 112(f). One commenter added that EPA is permitted to establish alternative standards as long as it ensures that ambient concentrations are less than the health thresholds plus a margin of safety and the emissions do not cause adverse environmental effects. Multiple commenters pointed out that EPA has exercised such authority and cited the NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills. In addition, the commenters added that in that NESHAP, EPA identified circumstances in which they would decline to exercise 112(d)(4) authority-where significant or widespread environmental harm would occur as a result of emissions from the category and the estimated health thresholds are subject to substantial scientific uncertainty. The commenters concluded that EPA determined that these considerations were not relevant to emissions from the pulp and paper source category, and the commenters stated that the same is true for their source categories and that the same treatment is warranted for many facilities within the source categories. The commenters noted that facilities that cannot meet the risk criteria would remain subject to the MACT requirements.

One commenter added that the risk-based approaches are squarely in line with the plain meaning of section CAA 112(d)(4). The commenters cited the Senate report (Sen Rep. No. 228, 101st Congress, 1st Sess 175–6 (1990)) showed that Congress contemplated that sources within the same category or subcategory would be subject to varied regulatory requirements, depending on the risk they pose to public health. The commenters added that nothing in the statutory definition of ''emission standard'' suggests that the term is limited to a requirement for the installation of control technology. The commenters added that the risk-based compliance alternatives would meet this requirement because they would apply to an entire source category or subcategory. The EPA could create a subcategory for low-risk sources and tailor an emission standard to this subcategory, or apply to all sources in the category a NESHAP containing multiple compliance options, one or more being risk-based.

Multiple commenters stated that the plain meaning of CAA section 112(d)(4) does not allow EPA to make MACT standards for individual sources. Two commenters noted that section 112(d)(4) states that ''with respect to pollutants for which a health threshold has been established, the EPA Administrator may consider such threshold level, with ample margin of safety, when establishing emission standards under this subsection.''

Several commenters contended that EPA has misinterpreted the provision in CAA section 112(d)(4) in that section 112(d)(4) does not state that EPA can use applicability thresholds ''in lieu of'' the CAA section 112(d)(3) MACT floor requirements. The commenter interpreted section 112(d)(4) to state that health based thresholds can be considered when establishing the degree of the MACT floor requirements, but it should not be used to supplant the requirements established pursuant to section 112(d)(3).

Many commenters stated that the legislative history of CAA section 112(d)(4) clearly rejects EPA's proposed facility-by-facility MACT exemptions. The commenters noted that Congress considered and rejected the applicability cutoffs upon which EPA now solicits comment. The commenters noted that the House version of the 1990 Amendments allowed States to issue permits that exempted a source from compliance with MACT rules if the source presented sufficient evidence to demonstrate negligible risk, and the Senate version of the 1990 Amendments contained no such provision. In conference, Congress considered both the House and Senate versions and rejected the House bill's exemption for specific facilities in favor of the Senate bill's language.

Response: The EPA has properly exercised the authority granted to it pursuant to CAA section 112(d)(4) of the CAA in establishing health-based emission standards for HCl and manganese which are applicable to the large solid fuel-fired subcategory. Section 112(d)(4) authorizes it to by-pass the mandate in section 112(d)(3) in appropriate circumstances. Those circumstances are present in the large solid fuel-fired Boiler subcategories.

Section 112(d)(4) of the CAA provides EPA with authority, at its discretion, to develop health-based emission standards for HAP ''for which a health threshold has been established,'' provided that the standard reflects the health threshold ''with an ample margin of safety.'' (The full text of the section 112(d)(4): ''[with respect to pollutants for which a health threshold has been established, the Administrator may consider such threshold level, within an ample margin of safety, when establishing emission standards under this subsection.'')

Both the plain language of CAA section 112(d)(4) and the legislative history cited above indicate that EPA has the discretion under section 112(d)(4) to develop health-based standards for some source categories emitting threshold pollutants, and that those standards may be less stringent than the corresponding ''floor''-based MACT standard would be. The EPA's use of such standards is not limited to situations where every source in the category or subcategory can comply with them. As is the case with technology-based standards, a particular source's ability to comply with a health-based standard will depend on its individual circumstances, as will what it must do to achieve compliance.

In developing health-based emission standards under CAA section 112(d)(4), EPA seeks to assure that those standards ensure that the concentration of the particular HAP to which an individual exposed at the upper end of the exposure distribution is exposed does not exceed the health threshold. The upper end of the exposure distribution is calculated using the ''high end exposure estimate,'' defined as ''a plausible estimate of individual exposure for those persons at the upper end of the exposure distribution, conceptually above the 90th percentile, but not higher than the individual in the population who has the highest exposure'' (EPA Exposure Assessment Guidelines, 57 FR 22888, May 29, 1992). Assuring protection to persons at the upper end of the exposure distribution is consistent with the ''ample margin of safety'' requirement in section 112(d)(4).

We agree that section 112(d)(4) is appropriate for establishing emission standards for HCl and manganese applicable to the large solid fuel-fired subcategories, and, therefore, we have established such standards as an alternate compliance requirement for affected sources in those subcategories. Affected sources in the large solid fuel-fired subcategories which believe that

they can demonstrate compliance with one or both of the health-based emission standards may choose to comply with those standards in lieu of the otherwise applicable MACT-based standard.

For purposes of the final rule, we are not considering background HAP emissions in developing the section CAA 112(d)(4) compliance alternatives. As we indicated in the Residual Risk Report to Congress, however, the Agency intends to consider facility-wide HAP emissions in future CAA section 112(f) residual risk actions.

Comment: Many commenters contended that the proposal will place a very intensive resource demand on State and local agencies to review source's risk assessments, and State/local agencies may not have expertise in risk assessment methodology or the resources needed to verify information (*e.g.*, emissions data and stack parameters) submitted with each risk assessment.

Other commenters stated that a risk-based program can be structured and implemented in a manner that does not adversely impact limited State resources. One commenter asserted that EPA should work closely with States and industry to implement the risk-based approach in a non-burdensome manner. Another commenter stated that the risk-based approaches, like other MACT standards, would simply be incorporated into each State's existing title V program. The commenter concluded that because the title V framework already exists, the addition of a risk-based MACT standard would not require States to overhaul existing permitting programs. Another commenter contended that the final MACT rule itself should set forth the applicability criteria—including the threshold levels of exposure—that sources must meet to qualify for a risk-based determination. Each source would have the burden of demonstrating that its exposures are below this limit and, therefore, the States would not be required to develop their own risk assessment guidance or to conduct source-specific risk assessments.

Response: The health-based emission limits for HCl and TSM which EPA has adopted in the final rule should not impose significant resource burdens on States. Further, the required compliance demonstration methodology is structured in such a way as to avoid the need for States to have significant expertise in risk assessment methodology. We have considered the commenters' concerns in developing the criteria defining eligibility for these compliance alternatives, and the approach that is included in the final rule provides clear, flexible requirements and enforceable compliance parameters. The final rule provides two ways that a facility may demonstrate eligibility for complying with the alternative health-based emission standard. First, look-up tables, which are included as Tables 2 (HCl) and 3 (manganese) in appendix A of the final rule, allow facilities to determine, using a limited number of site-specific input parameters, whether emissions from their sources might cause a hazard index limit (hazard quotient in the case of manganese) to be exceeded. If a facility cannot demonstrate eligibility using a look-up table, a modeling approach can be followed. Appendix A to the final rule presents the criteria for performing this modeling.

Regarding commenters' concerns with looking for a threshold level for carcinogens, the compliance alternatives only apply to HCl and manganese, which are not currently expected to be carcinogens. Also, the concern expressed by a commenter about exempting a facility based on limited emission data if EPA established a subcategory listing low-risk sources is not relevant here, because we have not used CAA section 112(c)(9) authority to establish a low-risk subcategory for the Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters source category. With respect to guidance for performing site-specific modeling, all of the procedures for performing such modeling are available in peer-reviewed scientific literature and, therefore, no additional guidance needs to be developed.

Only a portion of the major facilities in the large solid fuel-fired boilers and process heaters subcategory will submit eligibility demonstrations for the compliance alternatives. Of this portion of major sources, most will be able to demonstrate eligibility based on simple analyses (*e.g.*, using the look-up tables provided in appendix A of the final rule). However, it is likely that some facilities will require more detailed modeling. The criteria for demonstrating eligibility for the compliance alternatives are clearly spelled out in the final rule. Because these requirements are clearly spelled out and because any standards or requirements created under CAA section 112 are considered applicable requirements under 40 CFR part 70, the compliance alternatives would be incorporated into title V programs, and States would not have to overhaul existing permitting programs.

Finally, with respect to the burden associated with ongoing assurance that facilities which opt to do so continue to comply with the health-based compliance alternatives, the burden to States will be minimal. In accordance with the provisions of title V of the CAA and part 70 of 40 CFR (collectively ''title V''), the owner or operator of any affected source opting to comply with the health-based emission standards will be required to certify compliance with those standards on an annual basis. Additionally, before changing key parameters that may impact an affected source's ability to continue to meet one or both of the health-based emission standards, the affected source is required to evaluate its ability to continue to comply with the health-based emission standard(s) and submit documentation to the permitting authority supporting continued eligibility for the compliance alternative.

The promulgation of specific alternative health-based emission limits and a uniform methodology for demonstrating compliance with those alternatives alleviates any concern regarding the public process required in reviewing/approving the proposed approaches and making substantial changes to existing regulations. It also addresses concerns regarding the costs and resources associated with assuring adequate public participation in the process of reviewing site-specific risk analyses.

To ensure that affected sources which choose to comply with the alternative health-based emission standards continue to comply with those standards after the initial compliance demonstration, specified assessment parameters (*e.g.*, HCl and/or manganese emission rate, boiler heat output, etc.) must be included in their title V permit as enforceable requirements. Draft permits and permit applications must be made available to the public from the State or local agency responsible for issuing the permit, or in the case where EPA is issuing the permit, from the EPA regional office. Members of the public may request that the State or local agency include them on their public notice mailing list, thus providing the public the opportunity to review the appropriateness of these requirements. Every proposed title V permit has a 30-day public comment period and a 45-day EPA review period. If EPA does not object to the permit, any member of the public may petition EPA to object to the permit within 60 days of the end of the EPA review period.

Comment: A commenter contended that exempting HCl emissions from control is inappropriate, particularly since EPA proposed HCl as a surrogate measure for all the inorganic HAP

Attachment I
Attachment I

emitted by this source category. Hence, an exemption that excluded HCl emission points from control requirements would also exclude emissions of all the other inorganic HAP that would likely include hydrogen cyanide and hydrogen fluoride.

Response: Facilities attempting to utilize the health-based compliance alternative for HCl will not be required to evaluate emissions of other inorganic HAP except for chlorine. We conducted an assessment of boiler emissions and determined that, of the acid gas HAP controlled by scrubbing technology, chlorine is responsible for the great majority of risk and HCl is responsible for the next largest portion of the total risk. The contributions of other HAP, including hydrogen fluoride, to the total risk were negligible. Therefore, facilities attempting to demonstrate eligibility for the health-based compliance alternative for HCl, either by conducting a lookup table analysis or by conducting a site-specific compliance demonstration, must include emission rates of chlorine and HCl from their boilers. We do not expect hydrogen cyanide emissions from boilers covered under the final rule.

Comment: Commenters stated that the proposal does not address ecological risk that may result from uncontrolled HAP emissions, especially in those areas with sensitive habitats but few people nearby to be exposed and that EPA provided inadequate discussion of how environmental risks will be evaluated.

Response: To identify HAP with potential to cause multimedia and/or environmental effects, the EPA has identified HAP with significant potential to persist in the environment and to bioaccumulate. This list does not include HCl or manganese which are the only HAP with health-based compliance alternatives in the final rule. Additionally, a screening level analysis conducted by the EPA indicates that acute impacts of these HAP from industrial boiler facilities are highly unlikely. For these reasons we do not believe that emissions of HCl or manganese from industrial boiler facilities will pose a significant risk to the environment and facilities attempting to comply with the health-based alternatives for these HAP are not required to perform an ecological assessment.

V. Impacts of the Final Rule

A. What Are the Air Impacts?

Nationwide emissions of selected HAP (*i.e.*, HCl, hydrogen fluoride, lead, and nickel) will be reduced by 58,500 tpy for existing units and 73 tpy for new units. Depending on the number of facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the total HAP reduction for existing units could be 50,600 tpy. Emissions of HCl will be reduced by 42,000 tpy for existing units and 72 tpy for new units. Depending on the number of facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the total HCl emissions reduction for existing units could be 36,400 tpy. Emissions of mercury will be reduced by 1.9 tpy for existing units and 0.006 tpy for new units. Emissions of PM will be reduced by 565,000 tpy for existing units and 480 tpy for new units. Depending on the number of facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the total PM emissions reduction for existing units could be 547,000 tpy. Emissions of total selected nonmercury metals (*i.e.*, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, manganese, nickel, and selenium) will be reduced by 1,100 tpy for existing units and will be reduced by 1.4 tpy for new units. Depending on the number of facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the total nonmercury metals emissions reduction for existing units could be 950 tpy. In addition, emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) are established to be reduced by 113,000 tpy for existing sources and 110 tpy for new sources. Depending on the number of facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the total SO_2 emissions reduction for existing units could be 49,000 tpy.

As noted above, use of the health-based compliance alternatives by eligible facilities will affect reductions in HAP, PM (and total non-mercury metals that are generally controlled along with PM), and SO_2. Nevertheless, our analysis indicates that the difference in emissions of HCl and manganese with and without the compliance alternatives will not affect health risks because the compliance alternative is available only to those facilities that demonstrate that their emissions pose little risks. Emissions of PM and SO_2 will still be reduced by the implementation of other provisions of the Clean Air Act, such as attainment of the health-based National Ambient Air Quality Standards, which include mechanisms to control such emissions.

A discussion of the methodology used to estimate emissions and emissions reductions is presented in ''Estimation of Baseline Emissions and Emissions Reductions for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters'' in the docket. To estimate the potential impacts of the health-based compliance alternatives, we performed a preliminary ''rough'' assessment of the large solid fuel subcategory to determine the extent to which facilities might become eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives. Based on the results of this rough assessment, 448 coal-fired boilers could potentially be eligible for the HCl compliance alternative and 386 biomass-fired boilers could be potentially eligible for the TSM compliance alternative.

B. What Are the Water and Solid Waste Impacts?

The EPA estimates the additional water usage that would result from the MACT floor level of control to be 110 million gallons per year for existing sources and 0.6 million gallons per year for new sources. In addition to the increased water usage, an additional 3.7 million gallons per year of wastewater will be produced for existing sources and 0.6 million gallons per year for new sources. The costs of treating the additional wastewater are $18,000 for existing sources and $2,300 for new sources, in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. These costs are accounted for in the control costs estimates.

The EPA estimates the additional solid waste that would result from the MACT floor level of control to be 102,000 tpy for existing sources and 1 tpy for new sources. The estimated costs of handling the additional solid waste generated are $1.5 million for existing sources and $17,000 for new sources, in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. These costs are also accounted for in the control costs estimates.

A discussion of the methodology used to estimate impacts is presented in ''Estimation of Impacts for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters NESHAP'' in the docket.

C. What Are the Energy Impacts?

The EPA expects an increase of approximately 1,130 million kilowatt hours (kWh) in national annual energy usage as a result of the final rule, in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. Of this amount, 1,120 million kWh is estimated from existing sources and 13 million kWh is estimated from new sources. The increase results from the electricity required to operate control devices

installed to meet the final rule, such as wet scrubbers and fabric filters.

D. What Are the Control Costs?

To estimate the national cost impacts of the final rule for existing sources, EPA developed several model boilers and process heaters and determined the cost of control equipment for these model boilers. The EPA assigned a model boiler or heater to each existing unit in the database based on the fuel, size, design, and current controls. The analysis considered all air pollution control equipment currently in operation at existing boilers and process heaters. Model costs were then assigned to all existing units that could not otherwise meet the proposed emission limits. The resulting total national cost impact of the final rule is $1,790 million in capital expenditures and $860 million per year in total annual costs. Depending on the number of facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, these costs could be $1,440 million in capital expenditures and $690 million per year in total annual costs. The total capital and annual costs include costs for testing, monitoring, and recordkeeping and reporting. Costs include testing and monitoring costs, but not recordkeeping and reporting costs.

Using Department of Energy projections on fuel expenditures, EPA estimated the number of additional boilers that could be potentially constructed. The resulting total national cost impact of the final rule in the 5th year is $58 million in capital expenditures and $18.6 million per year in total annual costs, in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based provisions. Costs are mainly for testing and monitoring.

A discussion of the methodology used to estimate cost impacts is presented in ''Methodology for Estimating Control Cost for the Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants'' in the docket.

E. What Are the Economic Impacts?

The economic impact analysis shows that the expected price increase for output in the 40 affected industries would be no more than 0.04 percent as a result of the final rule for industrial boilers and process heaters. The expected change in production of affected output is a reduction of only 0.03 percent or less in the same industries. In addition, impacts to affected energy markets show that prices of petroleum, natural gas, electricity and coal should increase by no more than 0.05 percent as a result of implementation of the final rule, and output of these types of energy should decrease by no more than 0.01 percent. These impacts are generated in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, these impacts on product prices could fall to a 0.03 percent increase, and a decrease in output of the energy types mentioned previously of less than 0.01 percent. Therefore, it is likely that there is no adverse impact expected to occur for those industries that produce output affected by the final rule, such as lumber and wood products, chemical manufacturers, petroleum refining, and furniture manufacturing.

F. What Are the Social Costs and Benefits of the Final Rule?

Our assessment of costs and benefits of the final rule is detailed in the ''Regulatory Impact Analysis for the Final Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters MACT.'' The Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA) is located in the Docket.

It is estimated that 3 years after implementation of the final rule, HAP will be reduced by 58,500 tpy (53,200 megagrams per year (Mg/yr)) due to reductions in arsenic, beryllium, HCl, and several other HAP from existing affected emission sources. Of these reductions, 42,000 tpy (38,200 Mg/yr) are of HCl. In addition to these reductions, there are 73 tpy (66 Mg/yr) of HAP reductions expected from new sources. Of these reductions, virtually all of them are of HCl. The health effects associated with these HAP are discussed earlier in this preamble. While it is beneficial to society to reduce these HAP, we are unable to quantify and provide a monetized estimate of the benefits at this time.

Despite our inability to quantify and provide monetized benefit estimates from HAP reductions, it is possible to derive rough estimates for one of the more important benefit categories, *i.e.*, the potential number of cancer cases avoided and cancer risk reduced as a result of the imposition of the MACT level of control on this source category. Our analysis suggests that imposition of the MACT level of control would reduce cancer cases at worst case baseline assumptions by possibly tens of cases per year, on average, starting some years after implementation of the final rule. This risk reduction estimate is uncertain, is likely to overestimate benefits, and should be regarded as an extremely rough estimate. Furthermore, the estimate should be viewed in the context of the full spectrum of unquantified noncancer effects associated with the HAP reductions. Noncancer effects associated with the HAP are presented earlier in this preamble.

The control technologies used to reduce the level of HAP emitted from affected sources are also expected to reduce emissions of PM (PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$), and sulfur dioxide (SO_2). It is estimated that PM_{10} emissions reductions total approximately 562,000 tpy (510,000 Mg/yr), $PM_{2.5}$ emissions reductions total approximately 159,000 tpy (145,000 Mg/yr), and SO_2 emissions reductions total approximately 113,000 tpy (102,670 Mg/yr). These estimated reductions occur from existing sources in operation 3 years after the implementation of the requirements of the final rule and are expected to continue throughout the life of the sources.

In general, exposure to high concentrations of PM may aggravate existing respiratory and cardiovascular disease including asthma, bronchitis and emphysema, especially in children and the elderly. SO_2 is also a contributor to acid deposition, or acid rain, which causes acidification of lakes and streams and can damage trees, crops, historic buildings and statues. Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ can lead to decreased lung function, and alterations in lung tissue and structure and in respiratory tract defense mechanisms which may then lead to, increased respiratory symptoms and disease, or in more severe cases, premature death or increased hospital admissions and emergency room visits. Children, the elderly, and people with cardiopulmonary disease, such as asthma, are most at risk from these health effects. Fine PM can also form a haze that reduces the visibility of scenic areas, can cause acidification of water bodies, and have other impacts on soil, plants, and materials. As SO_2 emissions transform into PM, they can lead to the same health and welfare effects listed above.

For PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ (including SO_2 contributions to ambient concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$), we provide a monetary estimate for the benefits associated with the reduction in emissions associated with the final rule. To do so, we conducted an air quality assessment to determine the change in ambient concentrations of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ that result from reductions of PM and SO_2 at existing affected facilities. Unfortunately, our data are not able to define the exact location of the reductions for every affected boiler and process heater. Because of this

limitation, the benefits assessment is conducted in two phases. First, an air quality analysis was conducted for emissions reductions from those emissions sources that have an known link to a specific control device, which represents approximately 50 percent of the total emissions reductions mentioned above. Using this subset of information, we determined the air quality change nationwide. The results of the air quality assessment served as input to a model that estimates the total monetary value of benefits of the health effects listed above. Total benefits associated with this portion of the analysis (in phase one) are $8.2 billion in the year 2005 (presented in 1999 dollars).

In the second phase of our analysis, for those emissions reductions from affected sources that do not have a known link to a specific control device, the results of the air quality analysis in phase one serve as a reasonable approximation of air quality changes to transfer to the remaining emissions reductions of the final rule. Because there is not a reasonable way to apportion the total benefits of the combined impact of the PM and SO_2 reductions from the air quality and benefit analyses completed above, we performed two additional air quality analyses. One analysis was performed to evaluate the impact on air quality of the PM reductions alone (holding SO_2 unchanged), and one to evaluate the impact on air quality from the SO_2 reductions alone (holding PM unchanged). With independent PM and SO_2 air quality assessments, we can determine the total benefit associated with each component of total pollutant reductions. The total benefit associated with the PM and SO_2 reductions with unspecified location (in phase two) are $7.9 billion.

The benefit estimates derived from the air quality modeling in the first phase of our analysis uses an analytical structure and sequence similar to that used in the benefits analyses for the proposed Nonroad Diesel rule and proposed Integrated Air Quality Rule (IAQR) and in the ''section 812 studies'' analysis of the total benefits and costs of the Clean Air Act. We used many of the same models and assumptions used in the Nonroad Diesel and IAQR analyses as well as other Regulatory Impact Analyses (RIAs) prepared by the Office of Air and Radiation. By adopting the major design elements, models, and assumptions developed for the section 812 studies and other RIAs, we have largely relied on methods which have already received extensive review by the independent Science Advisory Board (SAB), the National Academies of Sciences, by the public, and by other federal agencies.

The benefits transfer method used in the second phase of the analysis is similar to that used to estimate benefits at the proposal of the rule, and in the proposed Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines NESHAP. A similar method has also been used in recent benefits analyses for the proposed Nonroad Large Spark-Ignition Engines and Recreational Engines standards (67 FR 68241, November 8, 2002).

The sum of benefits from the two phases of analysis provide an estimate of the total benefits of the rule. Total benefits of the final rule are approximately $16.3 billion (1999$). This economic benefit is associated with approximately 2,270 avoided premature mortalities, 5,100 avoided cases of chronic bronchitis, thousands of avoided hospital and emergency room visits for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, tens of thousands of avoided days with respiratory symptoms, and millions of avoided work loss and restricted activity days. This estimate is generated in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives.

Every benefit-cost analysis examining the potential effects of a change in environmental protection requirements is limited, to some extent, by data gaps, limitations in model capabilities (such as geographic coverage), and uncertainties in the underlying scientific and economic studies used to configure the benefit and cost models. Deficiencies in the scientific literature often result in the inability to estimate changes in health and environmental effects. Deficiencies in the economics literature often result in the inability to assign economic values even to those health and environmental outcomes that can be quantified. While these general uncertainties in the underlying scientific and economics literatures are discussed in detail in the RIA and its supporting documents and references, the key uncertainties which have a bearing on the results of the benefit-cost analysis of today's action are the following:

1. The exclusion of potentially significant benefit categories (*e.g.*, health and ecological benefits of reduction in hazardous air pollutants emissions);
2. Errors in measurement and projection for variables such as population growth;
3. Uncertainties in the estimation of future year emissions inventories and air quality;
4. Uncertainties associated with the extrapolation of air quality monitoring data to some unmonitored areas required to better capture the effects of the standards on the affected population;
5. Variability in the estimated relationships of health and welfare effects to changes in pollutant concentrations; and
6. Uncertainties associated with the benefit transfer approach.
7. Uncertainties in the size of the effect estimates linking air pollution and health endpoints.
8. Uncertainties about relative toxicity of different components within the complex mixture.

Despite these uncertainties, we believe the benefit-cost analysis provides a reasonable indication of the expected economic benefits of the final rule under a given set of assumptions.

Based on estimated compliance costs (control + administrative costs associated with Paperwork Reduction Act requirements associated with the rule and predicted changes in the price and output of electricity), the estimated annualized social costs of the Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters NESHAP are $863 million (1999$). Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, these annualized social costs could fall to $746 million. Social costs are different from compliance costs in that social costs take into account the interactions between affected producers and the consumers of affected products in response to the imposition of the compliance costs.

As explained above, we estimate $16.3 billion in benefits from the final rule, compared to $863 million in costs. It is important to put the results of this analysis in the proper context. The large benefit estimate is not attributable to reducing human and environmental exposure to the HAPs that are reduced by this rule. It arises from ancillary reductions in PM and SO_2 that result from controls aimed at complying with the NESHAP. Although consideration of ancillary benefits is reasonable, we note that these benefits are not uniquely attributable to the regulation. The Agency believes nonetheless that the key rationale for controlling arsenic, beryllium, HCl, and the other HAPs associated with this rule is to reduce public and environmental exposure to these HAPs, thereby reducing risk to public health and wildlife. Although the available science does not support quantification of these benefits at this time, the Agency believes the qualitative

Attachment I
Attachment I

benefits are large enough to justify substantial investment in these emission reductions.

It should be recognized, however, that this analysis does not account for many of the potential benefits that may result from these actions. Thus, our estimate of total benefits also includes a ''B'' to represent those additional health and environmental benefits which could not be expressed in quantitative incidence and/or economic value terms. The net benefits would be greater if all the benefits of the other pollutant reductions could be quantified. Notable omissions to the net benefits include all benefits of HAP reductions, including reduced cancer incidences, toxic morbidity effects, and cardiovascular and CNS effects, and all welfare effects from reduction of ambient PM and SO_2. A full appreciation of the overall economic consequences of the industrial boiler and process heater standards requires consideration of all benefits and costs expected to result from the final rule, not just those benefits and costs that could be expressed here in dollar terms. A full listing of the benefit categories that could not be quantified or monetized in our base estimate are provided in Table 2 of this preamble.

TABLE 2.—UNQUANTIFIED BENEFIT CATEGORIES

	Unquantified benefit categories associated with HAP eductions	Unquantified benefit categories associated with PM eductions
Health Categories	—Airway responsiveness —Pulmonary inflammation —Susceptibility to respiratory infection —Acute inflammation and respiratory cell damage —Chronic respiratory damage/Premature aging of lungs —Emergency room visits for asthma	—Changes in pulmonary function. —Morphological changes. Altered host defense mechanisms. —Other chronic respiratory disease. —Emergency room visits for asthma. —Emergency visits for non-asthma respiratory and cardiovascular causes. —Lower and upper respiratory systems. —Acute bronchitis. —Shortness of breath.
Welfare Categories	—Ecosystem and vegetation effects —Damage to urban ornamentals (e.g., grass, flowers, shrubs, and trees in urban areas). —Commercial field crops —Fruit and vegetable crops —Yields of tree seedlings, commercial and non-commercial forests. —Damage to ecosystems —Materials damage	—School absence rates. —Materials damage. —Damage to ecosystems (e.g., acid sulfate deposition). —Nitrates in drinking water. —Visibility in recreational and residential areas.

Using the results of the benefit analysis, we can use benefit-cost comparison (or net benefits) as another tool to evaluate the reallocation of society's resources needed to address the pollution externality created by the operation of industrial boilers and process heaters. The additional costs of internalizing the pollution produced at major sources of emissions from industrial boilers and process heaters are compared to the improvement in society's well-being from a cleaner and healthier environment. Comparing benefits of the final rule to the costs imposed by alternative ways to control emissions optimally identifies a strategy that results in the highest net benefit to society. In the final rule, we include only one option, the minimal level of control mandated by the CAA, or the MACT floor. Other alternatives that lead to higher levels of control (or beyond-the-floor alternatives) lead to higher estimates of benefits net of costs, but also lead to additional economic impacts, including more substantial impacts to small entities. For more details, please refer to the RIA for the final rule.

Based on estimated compliance costs associated with the final rule and the predicted change in prices and production in the affected industries, the estimated annualized social costs of the final rule are $863 million (1999 dollars). This estimate of social cost is generated in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, these annualized social costs could fall to $746 million. Social costs are different from compliance costs in that social costs take into account the interactions of consumers and producers of affected products in response to the imposition of the compliance costs. Therefore, the Agency's estimate of monetized benefits net of costs is $15.4 billion + B (1999 dollars) in 2005.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the EPA must determine whether a regulatory action is ''significant'' and, therefore, subject to review by the OMB and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Executive Order defines ''significant regulatory action'' as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;

(2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligation of recipients thereof; or

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of Executive Order 12866, the EPA has determined that the final rule is a ''significant regulatory action'' because it has an annual effect on the economy of over $100 million. As such, the final rule was submitted to OMB for review.

Attachment I
Attachment I

B. Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements in the final rule have been submitted for approval to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the *Paperwork Reduction Act*, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq*. The information collection requirements are not enforceable until OMB approves them.

The information requirements are based on notification, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements in the NESHAP General Provisions (40 CFR part 63, subpart A), which are mandatory for all operators subject to national emission standards. These recordkeeping and reporting requirements are specifically authorized by section 114 of the CAA (42 U.S.C. 7414). All information submitted to EPA pursuant to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements for which a claim of confidentiality is made is safeguarded according to Agency policies set forth in 40 CFR part 2, subpart B.

The final rule requires maintenance inspections of the control devices, but does not require any notifications or reports beyond those required by the General Provisions. The recordkeeping requirements require only the specific information needed to determine compliance.

The annual monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping burden for this collection (averaged over the first 3 years after the effective date of the final rule) is estimated to be $91 million. This includes 1.2 million labor hours per year at a total labor cost of $67 million per year, and total non-labor capital costs of $24 million per year. This estimate includes a one-time performance test, semiannual excess emission reports, maintenance inspections, notifications, and recordkeeping. The total burden for the Federal government (averaged over the first 3 years after the effective date of the final rule) is estimated to be 346,000 hours per year at a total labor cost of $14 million per year. Table 3 of this preamble shows the average annualized burden for monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping for each subcategory.

TABLE 3.—SUMMARY OF THE AVERAGE REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING COSTS

Subcategory	Total labor costs ($)	Total capital costs ($)	Total costs ($)
Large Solid Fuel Units	56,253,000	12,488,000	68,741,000
Limited Use Solid Fuel Units	2,565,000	2,267,000	4,832,000
Small Solid Fuel Units	627,000	111,000	738,000
Large Liquid Fuel Units	498,000	491,000	989,000
Limited Use Liquid Fuel Units	214,000	264,000	478,000
Small Liquid Fuel Units	442,000	0	442,000
Large Gaseous Fuel Units	3,673,000	6,615,000	10,288,000
Limited Use Gaseous Fuel Units	663,000	1,209,000	1,872,000
Small Gaseous Fuel Units	2,413,000	0	2,413,000

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9. When this ICR is approved by OMB, the Agency will publish a technical amendment to 40 CFR part 9 in the **Federal Register** to display the OMB control number for the approved information collection requirements contained in this final rule.

The EPA requested comments on the need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The EPA has determined that it is not necessary to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with the final rule. We have also determined that the final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

For purposes of assessing the impacts of the final rule on small entities, small entity is defined as:

(1) A small business according to Small Business Administration size standards by the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) category of the owning entity. The range of small business size standards for the 40 affected industries ranges from 500 to 1,000 employees, except for petroleum refining and electric utilities. In these latter two industries, the size standard is 1,500 employees and a mass throughput of 75,000 barrels/day or less, and 4 million kilowatt-hours of production or less, respectively;

(2) A small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; and

(3) A small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field.

After considering the economic impact of the final rule on small entities, we have determined that the final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Based on SBA size definitions for the affected industries and reported sales and employment data, EPA identified 185 of the 576 entities, or 32 percent, owning affected facilities as small entities. Although small entities represent 32 percent of the entities within the source category, they are expected to incur only 4 percent of the total compliance costs of $862.7 million (1998 dollars). There are only ten small entities with compliance costs equal to or greater than 3 percent of their sales. In addition, there are only 24 small entities with cost-to-sales ratios between 1 and 3 percent.

Attachment I
Attachment I

An economic impact analysis was performed to estimate the changes in product price and production quantities for the final rule. As mentioned in the summary of economic impacts earlier in this preamble, the estimated changes in prices and output for affected entities is no more than 0.05 percent. For more information, consult the docket for the final rule.

It should be noted that these small entity impacts are in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the estimated small entity impacts could fall to eight small entities with compliance costs equal to or greater than 3 percent of their sales, and 14 small entities with compliance costs between 1 and 3 percent of their sales.

The final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as a result of several decisions EPA made regarding the development of the rule, which resulted in limiting the impact of the rule on small entities. First, as mentioned earlier in this preamble, EPA identified small units (heat input of 10 MMBtu/hr or less) and limited use boilers (operate less than 10 percent of the time) as separate subcategories different from large units. Many small and limited use units are located at small entities. As also discussed earlier, the results of the MACT floor analysis for these subcategories of existing sources was that no MACT floor could be identified except for the limited use solid fuel subcategory, which is less stringent than the MACT floor for large units. Furthermore, the results of the beyond-the-floor analysis for these subcategories indicated that the costs would be too high to consider them feasible options. Consequently, the final rule contains no emission limitations for any of the existing small and limited use subcategories except the existing limited use solid fuel subcategory. In addition, the alternative metals emission limit resulted in minimizing the impacts on small entities since some of the potential entities burning a fuel containing very little metals are small entities.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Public Law 104–4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, we generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with ''Federal mandates'' that may result in expenditures to State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of $100 million or more in any 1 year. Before promulgating a rule for which a written statement is needed, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires us to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule. The provisions of section 205 do not apply when they are inconsistent with applicable law. Moreover, section 205 allows us to adopt an alternative other than the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative if the EPA Administrator publishes with the final rule an explanation why that alternative was not adopted. Before we establish any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, we must develop a small government agency plan under section 203 of the UMRA. The plan must provide for notifying potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of affected small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory promulgation with significant Federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements.

We determined that the final rule contains a Federal mandate that may result in expenditures of $100 million or more for State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector in any 1 year. Accordingly, we have prepared a written statement (titled ''Unfunded Mandates Reform Act Analysis for the Industrial Boilers and Process Heaters NESHAP)'' under section 202 of the UMRA, which is summarized below.

Statutory Authority

As discussed in this preamble, the statutory authority for the final rulemaking is section 112 of the CAA. Title III of the CAA Amendments was enacted to reduce nationwide air toxic emissions. Section 112(b) of the CAA lists the 188 chemicals, compounds, or groups of chemicals deemed by Congress to be HAP. These toxic air pollutants are to be regulated by NESHAP.

Section 112(d) of the CAA directs us to develop NESHAP, which require existing and new major sources to control emissions of HAP using MACT based standards. The final rule applies to all industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters located at major sources of HAP emissions.

In compliance with section 205(a) of the UMRA, we identified and considered a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives. Additional information on the costs and environmental impacts of these regulatory alternatives is presented in the docket.

The regulatory alternative upon which the final rule is based represents the MACT floor for industrial boilers and process heaters and, as a result, it is the least costly and least burdensome alternative.

Social Costs and Benefits

The regulatory impact analysis prepared for the final rule including the EPA's assessment of costs and benefits, is detailed in the ''Regulatory Impact Analysis for the Industrial Boilers and Process Heaters MACT'' in the docket. Based on estimated compliance costs associated with the final rule and the predicted change in prices and production in the affected industries, the estimated social costs of the final rule are $863 million (1999 dollars). Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, these annualized social costs could fall to $746 million.

It is estimated that 5 years after implementation of the final rule, HAP will be reduced by 58,500 tpy due to reductions in arsenic, beryllium, dioxin, hydrochloric acid, and several other HAP from industrial boilers and process heaters. Studies have determined a relationship between exposure to these HAP and the onset of cancer, however, there are some questions remaining on how cancers that may result from exposure to these HAP can be quantified in terms of dollars. Therefore, the EPA is unable to provide a monetized estimate of the benefits of the HAP reduced by the final rule at this time. However, there are significant reductions in PM and in SO_2 that occur. Reductions of 560,000 tons of PM with a diameter of less than or equal to 10 micrometers (PM_{10}), 159,000 tons of PM with a diameter of less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers ($PM_{2.5}$), and 112,000 tons of SO_2 are expected to occur. These reductions occur from existing sources in operation 5 years after the implementation of the regulation and are expected to continue throughout the life of the affected sources. The major health effect that results from these PM

and SO_2 emissions reductions is a reduction in premature mortality. Other health effects that occur are reductions in chronic bronchitis, asthma attacks, and work-lost days (*i.e.*, days when employees are unable to work).

While we are unable to monetize the benefits associated with the HAP emissions reductions, we are able to monetize the benefits associated with the PM and SO_2 emissions reductions. For SO_2 and PM, we estimated the benefits associated with health effects of PM, but were unable to quantify all categories of benefits (particularly those associated with ecosystem and environmental effects). Unquantified benefits are noted with ''B'' in the estimates presented below. Our primary estimate of the monetized benefits in 2005 associated with the implementation of the proposed alternative is $16.3 billion + B (1999 dollars). This estimate is about $15.3 billion + B (1999 dollars) higher than the estimated social costs shown earlier in this section. These benefit estimates are in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives. Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the benefit estimate presuming the health-based compliance alternatives is $14.5 billion + B, which is $1.7 billion lower than the estimate for the final rule. This estimate is $13.8 billion + B higher than the estimated social costs presuming the health-based compliance alternatives. The general approach to calculating monetized benefits is discussed in more detail earlier in this preamble. For more detailed information on the benefits estimated for the final rule, refer to the RIA in the docket.

Future and Disproportionate Costs

The Unfunded Mandates Act requires that we estimate, where accurate estimation is reasonably feasible, future compliance costs imposed by the rule and any disproportionate budgetary effects. Our estimates of the future compliance costs of the final rule are discussed previously in this preamble.

We do not feel that there will be any disproportionate budgetary effects of the final rule on any particular areas of the country, State or local governments, types of communities (*e.g.,* urban, rural), or particular industry segments. This is true for the 257 facilities owned by 54 different government bodies, and this is borne out by the results of the ''Economic Impact Analysis of the Industrial Boilers and Process Heaters NESHAP,'' the results of which are discussed previously in this preamble.

Effects on the National Economy

The Unfunded Mandates Act requires that we estimate the effect of the final rule on the national economy. To the extent feasible, we must estimate the effect on productivity, economic growth, full employment, creation of productive jobs, and international competitiveness of the U.S. goods and services, if we determine that accurate estimates are reasonably feasible and that such effect is relevant and material.

The nationwide economic impact of the final rule is presented in the ''Economic Impact Analysis for the Industrial Boilers and Process Heaters MACT'' in the docket. This analysis provides estimates of the effect of the final rule on some of the categories mentioned above. The results of the economic impact analysis are summarized previously in this preamble. The results show that there will be little impact on prices and output from the affected industries, and little impact on communities that may be affected by the final rule. In addition, there should be little impact on energy markets (in this case, coal, natural gas, petroleum products, and electricity). Hence, the potential impacts on the categories mentioned above should be minimal.

Consultation With Government Officials

The Unfunded Mandates Act requires that we describe the extent of the EPA's prior consultation with affected State, local, and tribal officials, summarize the officials' comments or concerns, and summarize our response to those comments or concerns. In addition, section 203 of the UMRA requires that we develop a plan for informing and advising small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by a rule. Although the final rule does not significantly affect any State, local, or Tribal governments, we have consulted with State and local air pollution control officials. We also have held meetings on the final rule with many of the stakeholders from numerous individual companies, environmental groups, consultants and vendors, labor unions, and other interested parties. We have added materials to the docket to document these meetings.

In addition, we have determined that the final rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. While some small governments may have some sources affected by the final rule, the impacts are not expected to be significant. Therefore, the final rule is not subject to the requirements of section 203 of the UMRA. However, EPA did complete a report containing analyses called for in the UMRA as a response to comments from many municipal utilities regarding the final rule and its potential impacts. This report, ''Unfunded Mandates Reform Act Analysis for the Industrial Boilers and Process Heaters NESHAP,'' is in the docket.

E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure ''meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.'' ''Policies that have federalism implications'' are defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have ''substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

The final rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132.

The agency is required by section 112 of the CAA, to establish the standards in the final rule. The final rule primarily affects private industry, and does not impose significant economic costs on State or local governments. The final rule does not include an express provision preempting State or local regulations. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to the final rule.

Although section 6 of Executive Order 13132 does not apply to the final rule, we consulted with representatives of State and local governments to enable them to provide meaningful and timely input into the development of the final rule. This consultation took place during the ICCR Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) committee meetings where members representing State and local governments participated in developing recommendations for EPA's combustion-related rulemakings, including the final rule. The concerns raised by representatives of State and local governments were considered during the development of the final rule.

In the spirit of Executive Order 13132, and consistent with EPA policy to

promote communications between EPA and State and local governments, EPA specifically solicited comment on the final rule from State and local officials.

F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure ''meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.'' The final rule does not have tribal implications, as specified in Executive Order 13175.

The final rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. We do not know of any industrial-commercial-institutional boilers or process heaters owned or operated by Indian tribal governments. However, if there are any, the effect of these rules on communities of tribal governments would not be unique or disproportionate to the effect on other communities. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to the final rule. The EPA specifically solicited additional comment on the final rule from tribal officials, but received none.

G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) applies to any regulation that: (1) Is determined to be ''economically significant'' as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that we have reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children.

If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the EPA must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned regulation on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the EPA.

The EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5–501 of the Executive Order has the potential to influence the regulation. The final rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is based on technology performance and not on health or safety risks.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) provides that agencies shall prepare and submit to the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, a Statement of Energy Effects for certain actions identified as ''significant energy actions.'' Section 4(b) of Executive Order 13211 defines ''significant energy actions'' as ''any action by an agency (normally published in the **Federal Register**) that promulgates or is expected to lead to the promulgation of a final rule or regulation, including notices of inquiry, advance notices of final rulemaking, and notices of final rulemaking: (1)(i) That is a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866 or any successor order, and (ii) is likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy; or (2) that is designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a ''significant energy action.'' The final rule is not a ''significant energy action'' because it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The basis for the determination is as follows.

The reduction in petroleum product output, which includes reductions in fuel production, is estimated at only 0.001 percent, or about 68 barrels per day based on 2000 U.S. fuel production nationwide. That is a minimal reduction in nationwide petroleum product output. The reduction in coal production is estimated at only 0.014 percent, or about 3.5 million tpy (or less than 1,000 tons per day) based on 2000 U.S. coal production nationwide. The combination of the increase in electricity usage estimated with the effect of the increased price of affected output yields an increase in electricity output estimated at only 0.012 percent, or about 0.72 billion kilowatt-hours per year based on 2000 U.S. electricity production nationwide. All energy price changes estimated show no increase in price more than 0.05 percent nationwide, and a similar result occurs for energy distribution costs. We also expect that there will be no discernable impact on the import of foreign energy supplies, and no other adverse outcomes are expected to occur with regards to energy supplies. All of the results presented above account for the pass through of costs to consumers, as well as the cost impact to producers. For more information on the estimated energy effects, please refer to the economic impact analysis for the final rule. The analysis is available in the public docket. It should be noted that these energy impact estimates are in advance of any facility demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives.

Depending on the number of affected facilities demonstrating eligibility for the health-based compliance alternatives, the reduction in petroleum product output, which includes reductions in fuel production, could fall to 65 barrels per day, or only 0.001 percent. The reduction in coal production could fall to only 0.010 percent, or about 2.5 million tpy based on 2000 U.S. coal production nationwide. The combination of the increase in electricity usage estimated with the effect of the increased price of affected output could yield an increase in electricity output could fall to only 0.0067 percent, or about 0.40 billion kilowatt-hours per year based on 2000 U.S. electricity production nationwide. All energy price changes estimated could now fall to increases of no more than 0.04 percent nationwide, and a similar result occurs for energy distribution costs. There should be no discernable impact on import of foreign energy supplies, and no other adverse outcomes are expected to occur with regards to energy supplies. All of the results presented with presumption of the health-based compliance alternatives also account for the pass through of costs to consumers as well as the cost impact to producers.

Therefore, we conclude that the final rule when implemented is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–113; 15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs the EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory and procurement activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (*e.g.*, materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, business practices) developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through annual reports to the OMB, with explanations when an agency does not use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

Attachment I
Attachment I

The final rule involves technical standards. The EPA cites the following standards in the final rule: EPA Methods 1, 2, 2F, 2G, 3A, 3B, 4, 5, 5D, 17, 19, 26, 26A, 29 of 40 CFR part 60. Consistent with the NTTAA, EPA conducted searches to identify voluntary consensus standards in addition to these EPA methods. No applicable voluntary consensus standards were identified for EPA Methods 2F, 2G, 5D, and 19. The search and review results have been documented and are placed in the docket for the final rule.

The three voluntary consensus standards described below were identified as acceptable alternatives to EPA test methods for the purposes of the final rule.

The voluntary consensus standard ASME PTC 19–10–1981–Part 10, ''Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses,'' is cited in the final rule for its manual method for measuring the oxygen, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide content of exhaust gas. This part of ASME PTC 19–10–1981–Part 10 is an acceptable alternative to Method 3B.

The voluntary consensus standard ASTM D6522–00, ''Standard Test Method for the Determination of Nitrogen Oxides, Carbon Monoxide, and Oxygen Concentrations in Emissions from Natural Gas-Fired Reciprocating Engines, Combustion Turbines, Boilers and Process Heaters Using Portable Analyzers'' is an acceptable alternative to EPA Methods 3A and 10 for identifying carbon monoxide and oxygen concentrations for the final rule when the fuel is natural gas.

The voluntary consensus standard ASTM Z65907, ''Standard Method for Both Speciated and Elemental Mercury Determination,'' is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 29 (portion for mercury only) for the purpose of the final rule. This standard can be used in the final rule to determine the mercury concentration in stack gases for boilers with rated heat input capacities of greater than 250 MMBtu per hour.

In addition to the voluntary consensus standards EPA uses in the final rule, the search for emissions measurement procedures identified 15 other voluntary consensus standards. The EPA determined that 13 of these 15 standards identified for measuring emissions of the HAP or surrogates subject to the emission standards were impractical alternatives to EPA test methods for the purposes of the final rule. Therefore, EPA does not intend to adopt these standards for this purpose. (See Docket ID No. OAR–2002–0058 for further information on the methods.)

Two of the 15 voluntary consensus standards identified in this search were not available at the time the review was conducted for the purposes of the final rule because they are under development by a voluntary consensus body: ASME/BSR MFC 13M, ''Flow Measurement by Velocity Traverse,'' for EPA Method 2 (and possibly 1); and ASME/BSR MFC 12M, ''Flow in Closed Conduits Using Multiport Averaging Pitot Primary Flowmeters,'' for EPA Method 2.

Section 63.7520 and Tables 4A through 4D of the final rule list the EPA testing methods. Under § 63.7(f) and § 63.8(f) of subpart A, 40 CFR part 63, of the General Provisions, a source may apply to EPA for permission to use alternative test methods or alternative monitoring requirements in place of any of the EPA testing methods, performance specifications, or procedures.

J. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801, *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing the final rule and other required information to the United States Senate, the United States House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the final rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is a ''major rule'' as defined by 5 U.S.C. section 804(2). The rule will be effective on November 12, 2004.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 26, 2004.

Michael O. Leavitt,

Administrator.

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, title 40, chapter I, part 63 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 63—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

Subpart A—[Amended]

■ 2. Section 63.14 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(27) and paragraph (i)(3) and adding paragraph (b)(35) and paragraphs (b)(39) through (53) to read as follows:

§ 63.14 Incorporations by reference.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(27) ASTM D6522–00, Standard Test Method for Determination of Nitrogen Oxides, Carbon Monoxide, and Oxygen Concentrations in Emissions from Natural Gas Fired Reciprocating Engines, Combustion Turbines, Boilers, and Process Heaters Using Portable Analyzers,[1] IBR approved for § 63.9307(c)(2), Table 4 of Subpart ZZZZ, and Table 5 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

* * * * *

(35) ASTM D6784–02, Standard Test Method for Elemental, Oxidized, Particle-Bound and Total Mercury in Flue Gas Generated from Coal-Fired Stationary Sources (Ontario Hydro Method),[1] IBR approved for Table 5 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

* * * * *

(39) ASTM Method D388–99,$^{\epsilon 1}$ Standard Classification of Coals by Rank,[1] IBR approved for § 63.7575.

(40) ASTM D396–02a, Standard Specification for Fuel Oils,[1] IBR approved for § 63.7575.

(41) ASTM D1835–03a, Standard Specification for Liquified Petroleum (LP) Gases,[1] IBR approved for § 63.7575.

(42) ASTM D2013–01, Standard Practice for Preparing Coal Samples for Analysis,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(43) ASTM D2234–00, $^{\epsilon 1}$ Standard Practice for Collection of a Gross Sample of Coal,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(44) ASTM D3173–02, Standard Test Method for Moisture in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(45) ASTM D3683–94 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Test Method for Trace Elements in Coal and Coke Ash Absorption,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(46) ASTM D3684–01, Standard Test Method for Total Mercury in Coal by the Oxygen Bomb Combustion/Atomic Absorption Method,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(47) ASTM D5198–92 (Reapproved 2003), Standard Practice for Nitric Acid Digestion of Solid Waste,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(48) ASTM D5865–03a, Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Coal and Coke,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(49) ASTM D6323–98 (Reapproved 2003), Standard Guide for Laboratory Subsampling of Media Related to Waste Management Activities,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(50) ASTM E711–87 (Reapproved 1996), Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Refuse-Derived Fuel by the Bomb Calorimeter,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(51) ASTM E776–87 (Reapproved 1996), Standard Test Method for Forms of Chlorine in Refuse-Derived Fuel,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(52) ASTM E871–82 (Reapproved 1998), Standard Method of Moisture Analysis of Particulate Wood Fuels,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

(53) ASTM E885–88 (Reapproved 1996), Standard Test Methods for Analyses of Metals in Refuse-Derived Fuel by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy,[1] IBR approved for Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of this part 63.

* * * * *

(i) * * *

(3) ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, ''Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses [Part 10, Instruments and Apparatus],'' IBR approved for §§ 63.865(b), 63.3166(a), 63.3360(e)(1)(iii), 63.3545(a)(3), 63.3555(a)(3), 63.4166(a)(3), 63.4362(a)(3), 63.4766(a)(3), 63.4965(a)(3), 63.5160(d)(1)(iii), 63.9307(c)(2), 63.9323(a)(3), and Table 5 to Subpart DDDDD of this part.

* * * * *

■ 3. Part 63 is amended by adding subpart DDDDD to read as follows:

Subpart DDDDD—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters

Sec.

What This Subpart Covers

63.7480 What is the purpose of this subpart?
63.7485 Am I subject to this subpart?
63.7490 What is the affected source of this subpart?
63.7491 Are any boilers or process heaters not subject to this subpart?
63.7495 When do I have to comply with this subpart?

Emission Limits and Work Practice Standards

63.7499 What are the subcategories of boilers and process heaters?
63.7500 What emission limits, work practice standards, and operating limits must I meet?

General Compliance Requirements

63.7505 What are my general requirements for complying with this subpart?
63.7506 Do any boilers or process heaters have limited requirements?
63.7507 What are the health-based compliance alternatives for the hydrogen chloride (HCl) and total selected metals (TSM) standards?

Testing, Fuel Analyses, and Initial Compliance Requirements

63.7510 What are my initial compliance requirements and by what date must I conduct them?
63.7515 When must I conduct subsequent performance tests or fuel analyses?
63.7520 What performance tests and procedures must I use?
63.7521 What fuel analyses and procedures must I use?
63.7522 Can I use emission averaging to comply with this subpart?
63.7525 What are my monitoring, installation, operation, and maintenance requirements?
63.7530 How do I demonstrate initial compliance with the emission limits and work practice standards?

Continuous Compliance Requirements

63.7535 How do I monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance?
63.7540 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits and work practice standards?
63.7541 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance under the emission averaging provision?

Notifications, Reports, and Records

63.7545 What notifications must I submit and when?
63.7550 What reports must I submit and when?
63.7555 What records must I keep?
63.7560 In what form and how long must I keep my records?

Other Requirements and Information

63.7565 What parts of the General Provisions apply to me?
63.7570 Who implements and enforces this subpart?
63.7575 What definitions apply to this subpart?

Tables to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63

Table 1 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Emission Limits and Work Practice Standards
Table 2 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Operating Limits for Boilers and Process Heaters With Particulate Matter Emission Limits
Table 3 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Operating Limits for Boilers and Process Heaters With Mercury Emission Limits and Boilers and Process Heaters That Choose to Comply With the Alternative Total Selected Metals Emission Limits
Table 4 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Operating Limits for Boilers and Process Heaters With Hydrogen Chloride Emission Limits
Table 5 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Performance Testing Requirements
Table 6 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Fuel Analysis Requirements
Table 7 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Establishing Operating Limits
Table 8 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Demonstrating Continuous Compliance
Table 9 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Reporting Requirements
Table 10 to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63—Applicability of General Provisions to Subpart DDDDD

Appendix

Appendix A to Subpart DDDDD—Methodology and Criteria for Demonstrating Eligibility for the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives Specified for the Large Solid Fuel Subcategory

Subpart DDDDD—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters

What This Subpart Covers

§ 63.7480 What is the purpose of this subpart?

This subpart establishes national emission limits and work practice standards for hazardous air pollutants (HAP) emitted from industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters. This subpart also establishes requirements to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the emission limits and work practice standards.

§ 63.7485 Am I subject to this subpart?

You are subject to this subpart if you own or operate an industrial, commercial, or institutional boiler or process heater as defined in § 63.7575 that is located at, or is part of, a major source of HAP as defined in § 63.2 or § 63.761 (40 CFR part 63, subpart HH, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities), except as specified in § 63.7491.

§ 63.7490 What is the affected source of this subpart?

(a) This subpart applies to new, reconstructed, or existing affected sources as described in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) The affected source of this subpart is the collection of all existing industrial, commercial, and institutional boilers and process heaters within a subcategory located at a major source as defined in § 63.7575.

(2) The affected source of this subpart is each new or reconstructed industrial, commercial, or institutional boiler or

process heater located at a major source as defined in § 63.7575.

(b) A boiler or process heater is new if you commence construction of the boiler or process heater after January 13, 2003, and you meet the applicability criteria at the time you commence construction.

(c) A boiler or process heater is reconstructed if you meet the reconstruction criteria as defined in § 63.2, you commence reconstruction after January 13, 2003, and you meet the applicability criteria at the time you commence reconstruction.

(d) A boiler or process heater is existing if it is not new or reconstructed.

§ 63.7491 Are any boilers or process heaters not subject to this subpart?

The types of boilers and process heaters listed in paragraphs (a) through (o) of this section are not subject to this subpart.

(a) A municipal waste combustor covered by 40 CFR part 60, subpart AAAA, subpart BBBB, subpart Cb or subpart Eb.

(b) A hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerator covered by 40 CFR part 60, subpart Ce or subpart Ec.

(c) An electric utility steam generating unit that is a fossil fuel-fired combustion unit of more than 25 megawatts that serves a generator that produces electricity for sale. A fossil fuel-fired unit that cogenerates steam and electricity, and supplies more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity, and more than 25 megawatts electrical output to any utility power distribution system for sale is considered an electric utility steam generating unit.

(d) A boiler or process heater required to have a permit under section 3005 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act or covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart EEE (*e.g.*, hazardous waste boilers).

(e) A commercial and industrial solid waste incineration unit covered by 40 CFR part 60, subpart CCCC or subpart DDDD.

(f) A recovery boiler or furnace covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart MM.

(g) A boiler or process heater that is used specifically for research and development. This does not include units that only provide heat or steam to a process at a research and development facility.

(h) A hot water heater as defined in this subpart.

(i) A refining kettle covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart X.

(j) An ethylene cracking furnace covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart YY.

(k) Blast furnace stoves as described in the EPA document, entitled ''National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Integrated Iron and Steel Plants—Background Information for Proposed Standards,'' (EPA–453/R–01–005).

(l) Any boiler and process heater specifically listed as an affected source in another standard(s) under 40 CFR part 63.

(m) Any boiler and process heater specifically listed as an affected source in another standard(s) established under section 129 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

(n) Temporary boilers as defined in this subpart.

(o) Blast furnace gas fuel-fired boilers and process heaters as defined in this subpart.

§ 63.7495 When do I have to comply with this subpart?

(a) If you have a new or reconstructed boiler or process heater, you must comply with this subpart by November 12, 2004 or upon startup of your boiler or process heater, whichever is later.

(b) If you have an existing boiler or process heater, you must comply with this subpart no later than September 13, 2007.

(c) If you have an area source that increases its emissions or its potential to emit such that it becomes a major source of HAP, paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section apply to you.

(1) Any new or reconstructed boiler or process heater at the existing facility must be in compliance with this subpart upon startup.

(2) Any existing boiler or process heater at the existing facility must be in compliance with this subpart within 3 years after the facility becomes a major source.

(d) You must meet the notification requirements in § 63.7545 according to the schedule in § 63.7545 and in subpart A of this part. Some of the notifications must be submitted before you are required to comply with the emission limits and work practice standards in this subpart.

Emission Limits and Work Practice Standards

§ 63.7499 What are the subcategories of boilers and process heaters?

The subcategories of boilers and process heaters are large solid fuel, limited use solid fuel, small solid fuel, large liquid fuel, limited use liquid fuel, small liquid fuel, large gaseous fuel, limited use gaseous fuel, and small gaseous fuel. Each subcategory is defined in § 63.7575.

§ 63.7500 What emission limits, work practice standards, and operating limits must I meet?

(a) You must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) You must meet each emission limit and work practice standard in Table 1 to this subpart that applies to your boiler or process heater, except as provided under § 63.7507.

(2) You must meet each operating limit in Tables 2 through 4 to this subpart that applies to your boiler or process heater. If you use a control device or combination of control devices not covered in Tables 2 through 4 to this subpart, or you wish to establish and monitor an alternative operating limit and alternative monitoring parameters, you must apply to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator for approval of alternative monitoring under § 63.8(f).

(b) As provided in § 63.6(g), EPA may approve use of an alternative to the work practice standards in this section.

General Compliance Requirements

§ 63.7505 What are my general requirements for complying with this subpart?

(a) You must be in compliance with the emission limits (including operating limits) and the work practice standards in this subpart at all times, except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(b) You must always operate and maintain your affected source, including air pollution control and monitoring equipment, according to the provisions in § 63.6(e)(1)(i).

(c) You can demonstrate compliance with any applicable emission limit using fuel analysis if the emission rate calculated according to § 63.7530(d) is less than the applicable emission limit. Otherwise, you must demonstrate compliance using performance testing.

(d) If you demonstrate compliance with any applicable emission limit through performance testing, you must develop a site-specific monitoring plan according to the requirements in paragraphs (d)(1) through (4) of this section. This requirement also applies to you if you petition the EPA Administrator for alternative monitoring parameters under § 63.8(f).

(1) For each continuous monitoring system (CMS) required in this section, you must develop and submit to the EPA Administrator for approval a site-specific monitoring plan that addresses paragraphs (d)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section. You must submit this site-specific monitoring plan at least 60 days

before your initial performance evaluation of your CMS.

(i) Installation of the CMS sampling probe or other interface at a measurement location relative to each affected process unit such that the measurement is representative of control of the exhaust emissions (*e.g.*, on or downstream of the last control device);

(ii) Performance and equipment specifications for the sample interface, the pollutant concentration or parametric signal analyzer, and the data collection and reduction systems; and

(iii) Performance evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria (*e.g.*, calibrations).

(2) In your site-specific monitoring plan, you must also address paragraphs (d)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) Ongoing operation and maintenance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of § 63.8(c)(1), (c)(3), and (c)(4)(ii);

(ii) Ongoing data quality assurance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of § 63.8(d); and

(iii) Ongoing recordkeeping and reporting procedures in accordance with the general requirements of § 63.10(c), (e)(1), and (e)(2)(i).

(3) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each CMS in accordance with your site-specific monitoring plan.

(4) You must operate and maintain the CMS in continuous operation according to the site-specific monitoring plan.

(e) If you have an applicable emission limit or work practice standard, you must develop and implement a written startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan (SSMP) according to the provisions in § 63.6(e)(3).

§ 63.7506 Do any boilers or process heaters have limited requirements?

(a) New or reconstructed boilers and process heaters in the large liquid fuel subcategory or the limited use liquid fuel subcategory that burn only fossil fuels and other gases and do not burn any residual oil are subject to the emission limits and applicable work practice standards in Table 1 to this subpart. You are not required to conduct a performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits. You are not required to set and maintain operating limits to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits. However, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section and meet the CO work practice standard in Table 1 to this subpart.

(1) To demonstrate initial compliance, you must include a signed statement in the Notification of Compliance Status report required in § 63.7545(e) that indicates you burn only liquid fossil fuels other than residual oils, either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels.

(2) To demonstrate continuous compliance with the applicable emission limits, you must also keep records that demonstrate that you burn only liquid fossil fuels other than residual oils, either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels. You must also include a signed statement in each semiannual compliance report required in § 63.7550 that indicates you burned only liquid fossil fuels other than residual oils, either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels, during the reporting period.

(b) The affected boilers and process heaters listed in paragraphs (b)(1) through (3) of this section are subject to only the initial notification requirements in § 63.9(b) (*i.e.*, they are not subject to the emission limits, work practice standards, performance testing, monitoring, SSMP, site-specific monitoring plans, recordkeeping and reporting requirements of this subpart or any other requirements in subpart A of this part).

(1) Existing large and limited use gaseous fuel units.

(2) Existing large and limited use liquid fuel units.

(3) New or reconstructed small liquid fuel units that burn only gaseous fuels or distillate oil. New or reconstructed small liquid fuel boilers and process heaters that commence burning of any other type of liquid fuel must comply with all applicable requirements of this subpart and subpart A of this part upon startup of burning the other type of liquid fuel.

(c) The affected boilers and process heaters listed in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section are not subject to the initial notification requirements in § 63.9(b) and are not subject to any requirements in this subpart or in subpart A of this part (*i.e.*, they are not subject to the emission limits, work practice standards, performance testing, monitoring, SSM plans, site-specific monitoring plans, recordkeeping and reporting requirements of this subpart, or any other requirements in subpart A of this part.

(1) Existing small solid fuel boilers and process heaters.

(2) Existing small liquid fuel boilers and process heaters.

(3) Existing small gaseous fuel boilers and process heaters.

(4) New or reconstructed small gaseous fuel units.

§ 63.7507 What are the health-based compliance alternatives for the hydrogen chloride (HCl) and total selected metals (TSM) standards?

(a) As an alternative to the requirement for large solid fuel boilers located at a single facility to demonstrate compliance with the HCl emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart, you may demonstrate eligibility for the health-based compliance alternative for HCl emissions under the procedures prescribed in appendix A to this subpart.

(b) In lieu of complying with the TSM emission standards in Table 1 to this subpart based on the sum of emissions for the eight selected metals, you may demonstrate eligibility for complying with the TSM emission standards in Table 1 based on the sum of emissions for seven selected metals (by excluding manganese emissions from the summation of TSM emissions) under the procedures prescribed in appendix A to this subpart.

Testing, Fuel Analyses, and Initial Compliance Requirements

§ 63.7510 What are my initial compliance requirements and by what date must I conduct them?

(a) For affected sources that elect to demonstrate compliance with any of the emission limits of this subpart through performance testing, your initial compliance requirements include conducting performance tests according to § 63.7520 and Table 5 to this subpart, conducting a fuel analysis for each type of fuel burned in your boiler or process heater according to § 63.7521 and Table 6 to this subpart, establishing operating limits according to § 63.7530 and Table 7 to this subpart, and conducting CMS performance evaluations according to § 63.7525.

(b) For affected sources that elect to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits for HCl, mercury, or TSM through fuel analysis, your initial compliance requirement is to conduct a fuel analysis for each type of fuel burned in your boiler or process heater according to § 63.7521 and Table 6 to this subpart and establish operating limits according to § 63.7530 and Table 8 to this subpart.

(c) For affected sources that have an applicable work practice standard, your initial compliance requirements depend on the subcategory and rated capacity of your boiler or process heater. If your boiler or process heater is in any of the limited use subcategories or has a heat input capacity less than 100 MMBtu per hour, your initial compliance demonstration is conducting a performance test for carbon monoxide

according to Table 5 to this subpart. If your boiler or process heater is in any of the large subcategories and has a heat input capacity of 100 MMBtu per hour or greater, your initial compliance demonstration is conducting a performance evaluation of your continuous emission monitoring system for carbon monoxide according to § 63.7525(a).

(d) For existing affected sources, you must demonstrate initial compliance no later than 180 days after the compliance date that is specified for your source in § 63.7495 and according to the applicable provisions in § 63.7(a)(2) as cited in Table 10 to this subpart.

(e) If your new or reconstructed affected source commenced construction or reconstruction between January 13, 2003 and November 12, 2004, you must demonstrate initial compliance with either the proposed emission limits and work practice standards or the promulgated emission limits and work practice standards no later than 180 days after November 12, 2004 or within 180 days after startup of the source, whichever is later, according to § 63.7(a)(2)(ix).

(f) If your new or reconstructed affected source commenced construction or reconstruction between January 13, 2003, and November 12, 2004, and you chose to comply with the proposed emission limits and work practice standards when demonstrating initial compliance, you must conduct a second compliance demonstration for the promulgated emission limits and work practice standards within 3 years after November 12, 2004 or within 3 years after startup of the affected source, whichever is later.

(g) If your new or reconstructed affected source commences construction or reconstruction after November 12, 2004, you must demonstrate initial compliance with the promulgated emission limits and work practice standards no later than 180 days after startup of the source.

§ 63.7515 When must I conduct subsequent performance tests or fuel analyses?

(a) You must conduct all applicable performance tests according to § 63.7520 on an annual basis, unless you follow the requirements listed in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section. Annual performance tests must be completed between 10 and 12 months after the previous performance test, unless you follow the requirements listed in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section.

(b) You can conduct performance tests less often for a given pollutant if your performance tests for the pollutant (particulate matter, HCl, mercury, or TSM) for at least 3 consecutive years show that you comply with the emission limit. In this case, you do not have to conduct a performance test for that pollutant for the next 2 years. You must conduct a performance test during the third year and no more than 36 months after the previous performance test.

(c) If your boiler or process heater continues to meet the emission limit for particulate matter, HCl, mercury, or TSM, you may choose to conduct performance tests for these pollutants every third year, but each such performance test must be conducted no more than 36 months after the previous performance test.

(d) If a performance test shows noncompliance with an emission limit for particulate matter, HCl, mercury, or TSM, you must conduct annual performance tests for that pollutant until all performance tests over a consecutive 3-year period show compliance.

(e) If you have an applicable work practice standard for carbon monoxide and your boiler or process heater is in any of the limited use subcategories or has a heat input capacity less than 100 MMBtu per hour, you must conduct annual performance tests for carbon monoxide according to § 63.7520. Each annual performance test must be conducted between 10 and 12 months after the previous performance test.

(f) You must conduct a fuel analysis according to § 63.7521 for each type of fuel burned no later than 5 years after the previous fuel analysis for each fuel type. If you burn a new type of fuel, you must conduct a fuel analysis before burning the new type of fuel in your boiler or process heater. You must still meet all applicable continuous compliance requirements in § 63.7540.

(g) You must report the results of performance tests and fuel analyses within 60 days after the completion of the performance tests or fuel analyses. This report should also verify that the operating limits for your affected source have not changed or provide documentation of revised operating parameters established according to § 63.7530 and Table 7 to this subpart, as applicable. The reports for all subsequent performance tests and fuel analyses should include all applicable information required in § 63.7550.

§ 63.7520 What performance tests and procedures must I use?

(a) You must conduct all performance tests according to § 63.7(c), (d), (f), and (h). You must also develop a site-specific test plan according to the requirements in § 63.7(c) if you elect to demonstrate compliance through performance testing.

(b) You must conduct each performance test according to the requirements in Table 5 to this subpart.

(c) New or reconstructed boilers or process heaters in one of the liquid fuel subcategories that burn only fossil fuels and other gases and do not burn any residual oil must demonstrate compliance according to § 63.7506(a).

(d) You must conduct each performance test under the specific conditions listed in Tables 5 and 7 to this subpart. You must conduct performance tests at the maximum normal operating load while burning the type of fuel or mixture of fuels that have the highest content of chlorine, mercury, and total selected metals, and you must demonstrate initial compliance and establish your operating limits based on these tests. These requirements could result in the need to conduct more than one performance test.

(e) You may not conduct performance tests during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction.

(f) You must conduct three separate test runs for each performance test required in this section, as specified in § 63.7(e)(3). Each test run must last at least 1 hour.

(g) To determine compliance with the emission limits, you must use the F-Factor methodology and equations in sections 12.2 and 12.3 of EPA Method 19 of appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to convert the measured particulate matter concentrations, the measured HCl concentrations, the measured TSM concentrations, and the measured mercury concentrations that result from the initial performance test to pounds per million Btu heat input emission rates using F-factors.

§ 63.7521 What fuel analyses and procedures must I use?

(a) You must conduct fuel analyses according to the procedures in paragraphs (b) through (e) of this section and Table 6 to this subpart, as applicable.

(b) You must develop and submit a site-specific fuel analysis plan to the EPA Administrator for review and approval according to the following procedures and requirements in paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) You must submit the fuel analysis plan no later than 60 days before the date that you intend to demonstrate compliance.

(2) You must include the information contained in paragraphs (b)(2)(i)

through (vi) of this section in your fuel analysis plan.

(i) The identification of all fuel types anticipated to be burned in each boiler or process heater.

(ii) For each fuel type, the notification of whether you or a fuel supplier will be conducting the fuel analysis.

(iii) For each fuel type, a detailed description of the sample location and specific procedures to be used for collecting and preparing the composite samples if your procedures are different from paragraph (c) or (d) of this section. Samples should be collected at a location that most accurately represents the fuel type, where possible, at a point prior to mixing with other dissimilar fuel types.

(iv) For each fuel type, the analytical methods, with the expected minimum detection levels, to be used for the measurement of selected total metals, chlorine, or mercury.

(v) If you request to use an alternative analytical method other than those required by Table 6 to this subpart, you must also include a detailed description of the methods and procedures that will be used.

(vi) If you will be using fuel analysis from a fuel supplier in lieu of site-specific sampling and analysis, the fuel supplier must use the analytical methods required by Table 6 to this subpart.

(c) At a minimum, you must obtain three composite fuel samples for each fuel type according to the procedures in paragraph (c)(1) or (2) of this section.

(1) If sampling from a belt (or screw) feeder, collect fuel samples according to paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (ii) of this section.

(i) Stop the belt and withdraw a 6-inch wide sample from the full cross-section of the stopped belt to obtain a minimum two pounds of sample. Collect all the material (fines and coarse) in the full cross-section. Transfer the sample to a clean plastic bag.

(ii) Each composite sample will consist of a minimum of three samples collected at approximately equal intervals during the testing period.

(2) If sampling from a fuel pile or truck, collect fuel samples according to paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) For each composite sample, select a minimum of five sampling locations uniformly spaced over the surface of the pile.

(ii) At each sampling site, dig into the pile to a depth of 18 inches. Insert a clean flat square shovel into the hole and withdraw a sample, making sure that large pieces do not fall off during sampling.

(iii) Transfer all samples to a clean plastic bag for further processing.

(d) Prepare each composite sample according to the procedures in paragraphs (d)(1) through (7) of this section.

(1) Throughly mix and pour the entire composite sample over a clean plastic sheet.

(2) Break sample pieces larger than 3 inches into smaller sizes.

(3) Make a pie shape with the entire composite sample and subdivide it into four equal parts.

(4) Separate one of the quarter samples as the first subset.

(5) If this subset is too large for grinding, repeat the procedure in paragraph (d)(3) of this section with the quarter sample and obtain a one-quarter subset from this sample.

(6) Grind the sample in a mill.

(7) Use the procedure in paragraph (d)(3) of this section to obtain a one-quarter subsample for analysis. If the quarter sample is too large, subdivide it further using the same procedure.

(e) Determine the concentration of pollutants in the fuel (mercury, chlorine, and/or total selected metals) in units of pounds per million Btu of each composite sample for each fuel type according to the procedures in Table 6 to this subpart.

§ 63.7522 Can I use emission averaging to comply with this subpart?

(a) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of § 63.7500, if you have more than one existing large solid fuel boiler located at your facility, you may demonstrate compliance by emission averaging according to the procedures in this section in a State that does not choose to exclude emission averaging.

(b) For each existing large solid fuel boiler in the averaging group, the emission rate achieved during the initial compliance test for the HAP being averaged must not exceed the emission level that was being achieved on November 12, 2004 or the control technology employed during the initial compliance test must not be less effective for the HAP being averaged than the control technology employed on November 12, 2004.

(c) You may average particulate matter or TSM, HCl, and mercury emissions from existing large solid fuel boilers to demonstrate compliance with the limits in Table 1 to this subpart if you satisfy the requirements in paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this section.

(d) The weighted average emissions from the existing large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option must be in compliance with the limits in Table 1 to this subpart at all times following the compliance date specified in § 63.7495.

(e) You must demonstrate initial compliance according to paragraphs (e)(1) or (2) of this section.

(1) You must use Equation 1 of this section to demonstrate that the particulate matter or TSM, HCl, and mercury emissions from all existing large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart.

$$\text{AveWeighted Emissions} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\text{Er} \times \text{Hm}) \div \sum_{i=1}^{n}\text{Hm} \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

Where:

AveWeighted = Average weighted emissions for particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Er = Emission rate (as calculated according to Table 5 to this subpart) or fuel analysis (as calculated by the applicable equation in § 63.7530(d)) for boiler, i, for particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Hm = Maximum rated heat input capacity of boiler, i, in units of million Btu per hour.

n = Number of large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option.

(2) If you are not capable of monitoring heat input, you can use Equation 2 of this section as an alternative to using equation 1 of this section to demonstrate that the particulate matter or TSM, HCl, and mercury emissions from all existing large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option do not exceed the emission limits in Table 1 to this subpart.

$$\text{AveWeighted Emissions} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\text{Er} \times \text{Sm} \times \text{Cf}) \div \sum_{i=1}^{n}\text{Sm} \times \text{Cf} \qquad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

Where:

AveWeighted = Average weighted emission level for PM or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Er = Emission rate (as calculated according to Table 5 to this subpart) or fuel analysis (as calculated by the applicable equation in § 63.7530(d)) for boiler, i, for particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Sm = Maximum steam generation by boiler, i, in units of pounds.

Cf = Conversion factor, calculated from the most recent compliance test, in units of million Btu of heat input per pounds of steam generated.

(f) You must demonstrate continuous compliance on a 12-month rolling average basis determined at the end of every month (12 times per year) according to paragraphs (f)(1) and (2). The first 12-month rolling-average period begins on the compliance date specified in § 63.7495.

(1) For each calendar month, you must use Equation 3 of this section to calculate the 12-month rolling average weighted emission limit using the actual heat capacity for each existing large solid fuel boiler participating in the emissions averaging option.

$$\text{AveWeighted Emissions} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\text{Er} \times \text{Hb}) \div \sum_{i=1}^{n}\text{Hb} \qquad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

Where:

AveWeighted Emissions = 12-month rolling average weighted emission level for particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Er = Emission rate, calculated during the most recent compliance test, (as calculated according to Table 5 to this subpart) or fuel analysis (as calculated by the applicable equation in § 63.7530(d)) for boiler, i, for particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Hb = The average heat input for each calendar month of boiler, i, in units of million Btu.

n = Number of large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option.

(2) If you are not capable of monitoring heat input, you can use Equation 4 of this section as an alternative to using Equation 3 of this section to calculate the 12-month rolling average weighted emission limit using the actual steam generation from the large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option.

$$\text{AveWeighted Emissions} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\text{Er} \times \text{Sa} \times \text{Cf}) \div \sum_{i=1}^{n}\text{Sa} \times \text{Cf} \qquad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

Where:

AveWeighted Emissions = 12-month rolling average weighted emission level for PM or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Er = Emission rate, calculated during the most recent compliance test (as calculated according to Table 5 to this subpart) or fuel analysis (as calculated by the applicable equation in § 63.7530(d)) for boiler, i, for particulate matter or TSM, HCl, or mercury, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Sa = Actual steam generation for each calender month by boiler, i, in units of pounds.

Cf = Conversion factor, as calculated during the most recent compliance test, in units of million Btu of heat input per pounds of steam generated.

(g) You must develop and submit an implementation plan for emission averaging to the applicable regulatory authority for review and approval according to the following procedures and requirements in paragraphs (g)(1) through (4).

(1) You must submit the implementation plan no later than 180 days before the date that the facility intends to demonstrate compliance using the emission averaging option.

(2) You must include the information contained in paragraphs (g)(2)(i) through (vii) of this section in your implementation plan for all emission sources included in an emissions average:

(i) The identification of all existing large solid fuel boilers in the averaging group, including for each either the applicable HAP emission level or the control technology installed on;

(ii) The process parameter (heat input or steam generated) that will be monitored for each averaging group of large solid fuel boilers;

(iii) The specific control technology or pollution prevention measure to be used for each emission source in the averaging group and the date of its installation or application. If the pollution prevention measure reduces or eliminates emissions from multiple sources, the owner or operator must identify each source;

(iv) The test plan for the measurement of particulate matter (or TSM), HCl, or mercury emissions in accordance with the requirements in § 63.7520;

(v) The operating parameters to be monitored for each control system or device and a description of how the operating limits will be determined;

(vi) If you request to monitor an alternative operating parameter pursuant to § 63.7525, you must also include:

(A) A description of the parameter(s) to be monitored and an explanation of the criteria used to select the parameter(s); and

(B) A description of the methods and procedures that will be used to demonstrate that the parameter indicates proper operation of the control device; the frequency and content of monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements; and a demonstration, to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority, that the proposed monitoring frequency is sufficient to represent control device operating conditions; and

(vii) A demonstration that compliance with each of the applicable emission limit(s) will be achieved under representative operating conditions.

(3) Upon receipt, the regulatory authority shall review and approve or disapprove the plan according to the following criteria:

(i) Whether the content of the plan includes all of the information specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section; and

(ii) Whether the plan presents sufficient information to determine that compliance will be achieved and maintained.

(4) The applicable regulatory authority shall not approve an emission averaging implementation plan containing any of the following provisions:

(i) Any averaging between emissions of differing pollutants or between differing sources; or

(ii) The inclusion of any emission source other than an existing large solid fuel boiler.

§ 63.7525 What are my monitoring, installation, operation, and maintenance requirements?

(a) If you have an applicable work practice standard for carbon monoxide, and your boiler or process heater is in any of the large subcategories and has a heat input capacity of 100 MMBtu per hour or greater, you must install, operate, and maintain a continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) for carbon monoxide according to the procedures in paragraphs (a)(1) through (6) of this section by the compliance date specified in § 63.7495.

(1) Each CEMS must be installed, operated, and maintained according to Performance Specification (PS) 4A of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B, and according to the site-specific monitoring plan developed according to § 63.7505(d).

(2) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each CEMS according to the requirements in § 63.8 and according to PS 4A of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B.

(3) Each CEMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 15-minute period.

(4) The CEMS data must be reduced as specified in § 63.8(g)(2).

(5) You must calculate and record a 30-day rolling average emission rate on a daily basis. A new 30-day rolling average emission rate is calculated as the average of all of the hourly CO emission data for the preceding 30 operating days.

(6) For purposes of calculating data averages, you must not use data recorded during periods of monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, out-of-control periods, required quality assurance or control activities, or when your boiler or process heater is operating at less than 50 percent of its rated capacity. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing compliance. Any period for which the monitoring system is out of control and data are not available for required calculations constitutes a deviation from the monitoring requirements.

(b) If you have an applicable opacity operating limit, you must install, operate, certify and maintain each continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) according to the procedures in paragraphs (b)(1) through (7) of this section by the compliance date specified in § 63.7495.

(1) Each COMS must be installed, operated, and maintained according to PS 1 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B.

(2) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each COMS according to the requirements in § 63.8 and according to PS 1 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B.

(3) As specified in § 63.8(c)(4)(i), each COMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analyzing for each successive 10-second period and one cycle of data recording for each successive 6-minute period.

(4) The COMS data must be reduced as specified in § 63.8(g)(2).

(5) You must include in your site-specific monitoring plan procedures and acceptance criteria for operating and maintaining each COMS according to the requirements in § 63.8(d). At a minimum, the monitoring plan must include a daily calibration drift assessment, a quarterly performance audit, and an annual zero alignment audit of each COMS.

(6) You must operate and maintain each COMS according to the requirements in the monitoring plan and the requirements of § 63.8(e). Identify periods the COMS is out of control including any periods that the COMS fails to pass a daily calibration drift assessment, a quarterly performance audit, or an annual zero alignment audit.

(7) You must determine and record all the 6-minute averages (and 1-hour block averages as applicable) collected for periods during which the COMS is not out of control.

(c) If you have an operating limit that requires the use of a CMS, you must install, operate, and maintain each continuous parameter monitoring system (CPMS) according to the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1) through (5) of this section by the compliance date specified in § 63.7495.

(1) The CPMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of operation for each successive 15-minute period. You must have a minimum of four successive cycles of operation to have a valid hour of data.

(2) Except for monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control

activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks and required zero and span adjustments), you must conduct all monitoring in continuous operation at all times that the unit is operating. A monitoring malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring to provide valid data. Monitoring failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.

(3) For purposes of calculating data averages, you must not use data recorded during monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, out of control periods, or required quality assurance or control activities. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing compliance. Any period for which the monitoring system is out-of-control and data are not available for required calculations constitutes a deviation from the monitoring requirements.

(4) Determine the 3-hour block average of all recorded readings, except as provided in paragraph (c)(3) of this section.

(5) Record the results of each inspection, calibration, and validation check.

(d) If you have an operating limit that requires the use of a flow measurement device, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (c) and (d)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) Locate the flow sensor and other necessary equipment in a position that provides a representative flow.

(2) Use a flow sensor with a measurement sensitivity of 2 percent of the flow rate.

(3) Reduce swirling flow or abnormal velocity distributions due to upstream and downstream disturbances.

(4) Conduct a flow sensor calibration check at least semiannually.

(e) If you have an operating limit that requires the use of a pressure measurement device, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (c) and (e)(1) through (6) of this section.

(1) Locate the pressure sensor(s) in a position that provides a representative measurement of the pressure.

(2) Minimize or eliminate pulsating pressure, vibration, and internal and external corrosion.

(3) Use a gauge with a minimum tolerance of 1.27 centimeters of water or a transducer with a minimum tolerance of 1 percent of the pressure range.

(4) Check pressure tap pluggage daily.

(5) Using a manometer, check gauge calibration quarterly and transducer calibration monthly.

(6) Conduct calibration checks any time the sensor exceeds the manufacturer's specified maximum operating pressure range or install a new pressure sensor.

(f) If you have an operating limit that requires the use of a pH measurement device, you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (c) and (f)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) Locate the pH sensor in a position that provides a representative measurement of scrubber effluent pH.

(2) Ensure the sample is properly mixed and representative of the fluid to be measured.

(3) Check the pH meter's calibration on at least two points every 8 hours of process operation.

(g) If you have an operating limit that requires the use of equipment to monitor voltage and secondary current (or total power input) of an electrostatic precipitator (ESP), you must use voltage and secondary current monitoring equipment to measure voltage and secondary current to the ESP.

(h) If you have an operating limit that requires the use of equipment to monitor sorbent injection rate (*e.g.*, weigh belt, weigh hopper, or hopper flow measurement device), you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (c) and (h)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) Locate the device in a position(s) that provides a representative measurement of the total sorbent injection rate.

(2) Install and calibrate the device in accordance with manufacturer's procedures and specifications.

(3) At least annually, calibrate the device in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures and specifications.

(i) If you elect to use a fabric filter bag leak detection system to comply with the requirements of this subpart, you must install, calibrate, maintain, and continuously operate a bag leak detection system as specified in paragraphs (i)(1) through (8) of this section.

(1) You must install and operate a bag leak detection system for each exhaust stack of the fabric filter.

(2) Each bag leak detection system must be installed, operated, calibrated, and maintained in a manner consistent with the manufacturer's written specifications and recommendations and in accordance with the guidance provided in EPA–454/R–98–015, September 1997.

(3) The bag leak detection system must be certified by the manufacturer to be capable of detecting particulate matter emissions at concentrations of 10 milligrams per actual cubic meter or less.

(4) The bag leak detection system sensor must provide output of relative or absolute particulate matter loadings.

(5) The bag leak detection system must be equipped with a device to continuously record the output signal from the sensor.

(6) The bag leak detection system must be equipped with an alarm system that will sound automatically when an increase in relative particulate matter emissions over a preset level is detected. The alarm must be located where it is easily heard by plant operating personnel.

(7) For positive pressure fabric filter systems that do not duct all compartments of cells to a common stack, a bag leak detection system must be installed in each baghouse compartment or cell.

(8) Where multiple bag leak detectors are required, the system's instrumentation and alarm may be shared among detectors.

§ 63.7530 How do I demonstrate initial compliance with the emission limits and work practice standards?

(a) You must demonstrate initial compliance with each emission limit and work practice standard that applies to you by either conducting initial performance tests and establishing operating limits, as applicable, according to § 63.7520, paragraph (c) of this section, and Tables 5 and 7 to this subpart OR conducting initial fuel analyses to determine emission rates and establishing operating limits, as applicable, according to § 63.7521, paragraph (d) of this section, and Tables 6 and 8 to this subpart.

(b) New or reconstructed boilers or process heaters in one of the liquid fuel subcategories that burn only fossil fuels and other gases and do not burn any residual oil must demonstrate compliance according to § 63.7506(a).

(c) If you demonstrate compliance through performance testing, you must establish each site-specific operating limit in Tables 2 through 4 to this subpart that applies to you according to the requirements in § 63.7520, Table 7 to this subpart, and paragraph (c)(4) of this section, as applicable. You must also conduct fuel analyses according to § 63.7521 and establish maximum fuel pollutant input levels according to paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section, as applicable.

(1) You must establish the maximum chlorine fuel input (C_{input}) during the initial performance testing according to the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must determine the fuel type or fuel mixture that you could burn in

your boiler or process heater that has the highest content of chlorine.

(ii) During the performance testing for HCl, you must determine the fraction of the total heat input for each fuel type burned (Q_i) based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of chlorine, and the average chlorine concentration of each fuel type burned (C_i).

(iii) You must establish a maximum chlorine input level using Equation 5 of this section.

$$Cl_{input} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(C_i)(Q_i)\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. 5)}$$

Where:

Cl_{input} = Maximum amount of chlorine entering the boiler or process heater through fuels burned in units of pounds per million Btu.

C_i = Arithmetic average concentration of chlorine in fuel type, i, analyzed according to § 63.7521, in units of pounds per million Btu.

Q_i = Fraction of total heat input from fuel type, i, based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of chlorine. If you do not burn multiple fuel types during the performance testing, it is not necessary to determine the value of this term. Insert a value of ''1'' for Q_i.

n = Number of different fuel types burned in your boiler or process heater for the mixture that has the highest content of chlorine.

(2) If you choose to comply with the alternative TSM emission limit instead of the particulate matter emission limit, you must establish the maximum TSM fuel input level (TSM_{input}) during the initial performance testing according to the procedures in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must determine the fuel type or fuel mixture that you could burn in your boiler or process heater that has the highest content of TSM.

(ii) During the performance testing for TSM, you must determine the fraction of total heat input from each fuel burned (Q_i) based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of total selected metals, and the average TSM concentration of each fuel type burned (M_i).

(iii) You must establish a baseline TSM input level using Equation 6 of this section.

$$TSM_{input} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(M_i)(Q_i)\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. 6)}$$

Where:

TSM_{input} = Maximum amount of TSM entering the boiler or process heater through fuels burned in units of pounds per million Btu.

M_i = Arithmetic average concentration of TSM in fuel type, i, analyzed according to § 63.7521, in units of pounds per million Btu.

Q_i = Fraction of total heat input from based fuel type, i, based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of TSM. If you do not burn multiple fuel types during the performance test, it is not necessary to determine the value of this term. Insert a value of ''1'' for Q_i.

n = Number of different fuel types burned in your boiler or process heater for the mixture that has the highest content of TSM.

(3) You must establish the maximum mercury fuel input level ($Mercury_{input}$) during the initial performance testing using the procedures in paragraphs (c)(3)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must determine the fuel type or fuel mixture that you could burn in your boiler or process heater that has the highest content of mercury.

(ii) During the compliance demonstration for mercury, you must determine the fraction of total heat input for each fuel burned (Q_i) based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of mercury, and the average mercury concentration of each fuel type burned (HG_i).

(iii) You must establish a maximum mercury input level using Equation 7 of this section.

$$Mercury_{input} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[(HG_i)(Q_i)\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. 7)}$$

Where:

$Mercury_{input}$ = Maximum amount of mercury entering the boiler or process heater through fuels burned in units of pounds per million Btu.

HG_i = Arithmetic average concentration of mercury in fuel type, i, analyzed according to § 63.7521, in units of pounds per million Btu.

Q_i = Fraction of total heat input from fuel type, i, based on the fuel mixture that has the highest mercury content. If you do not burn multiple fuel types during the performance test, it is not necessary to determine the value of this term. Insert a value of ''1'' for Q_i.

n = Number of different fuel types burned in your boiler or process heater for the mixture that has the highest content of mercury.

(4) You must establish parameter operating limits according to paragraphs (c)(4)(i) through (iv) of this section.

(i) For a wet scrubber, you must establish the minimum scrubber effluent pH, liquid flowrate, and pressure drop as defined in § 63.7575, as your operating limits during the three-run performance test. If you use a wet scrubber and you conduct separate performance tests for particulate matter, HCl, and mercury emissions, you must establish one set of minimum scrubber effluent pH, liquid flowrate, and pressure drop operating limits. The minimum scrubber effluent pH operating limit must be established during the HCl performance test. If you conduct multiple performance tests, you must set the minimum liquid flowrate and pressure drop operating limits at the highest minimum values established during the performance tests.

(ii) For an electrostatic precipitator, you must establish the minimum voltage and secondary current (or total power input), as defined in § 63.7575, as your operating limits during the three-run performance test.

(iii) For a dry scrubber, you must establish the minimum sorbent injection rate, as defined in § 63.7575, as your operating limit during the three-run performance test.

(iv) The operating limit for boilers or process heaters with fabric filters that choose to demonstrate continuous compliance through bag leak detection systems is that a bag leak detection system be installed according to the requirements in § 63.7525, and that each fabric filter must be operated such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month period.

(d) If you elect to demonstrate compliance with an applicable emission limit through fuel analysis, you must conduct fuel analyses according to § 63.7521 and follow the procedures in paragraphs (d)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) If you burn more than one fuel type, you must determine the fuel mixture you could burn in your boiler or process heater that would result in the maximum emission rates of the pollutants that you elect to demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis.

(2) You must determine the 90th percentile confidence level fuel pollutant concentration of the composite samples analyzed for each fuel type using the one-sided z-statistic test described in Equation 8 of this section.

$$P_{90} = \text{mean} + (SD \times t) \qquad \text{(Eq. 8)}$$

Where:

P_{90} = 90th percentile confidence level pollutant concentration, in pounds per million Btu.

mean = Arithmetic average of the fuel pollutant concentration in the fuel samples analyzed according to § 63.7521, in units of pounds per million Btu.

SD = Standard deviation of the pollutant concentration in the fuel samples analyzed according to § 63.7521, in units of pounds per million Btu.

t = t distribution critical value for 90th percentile (0.1) probability for the appropriate degrees of freedom (number of samples minus one) as obtained from a Distribution Critical Value Table.

(3) To demonstrate compliance with the applicable emission limit for HCl, the HCl emission rate that you calculate for your boiler or process heater using Equation 9 of this section must be less than the applicable emission limit for HCl.

$$HCl = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(C_{i90}\right)\left(Q_i\right)\left(1.028\right)\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. 9)}$$

Where:

HCl = HCl emission rate from the boiler or process heater in units of pounds per million Btu.

C_{i90} = 90th percentile confidence level concentration of chlorine in fuel type, i, in units of pounds per million Btu as calculated according to Equation 8 of this section.

Q_i = Fraction of total heat input from fuel type, i, based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of chlorine. If you do not burn multiple fuel types, it is not necessary to determine the value of this term. Insert a value of ''1'' for Q_i.

n = Number of different fuel types burned in your boiler or process heater for the mixture that has the highest content of chlorine.

1.028 = Molecular weight ratio of HCl to chlorine.

(4) To demonstrate compliance with the applicable emission limit for TSM, the TSM emission rate that you calculate for your boiler or process heater using Equation 10 of this section must be less than the applicable emission limit for TSM.

$$TSM = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(M_{i90}\right)\left(Q_i\right)\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. 10)}$$

Where:

TSM = TSM emission rate from the boiler or process heater in units of pounds per million Btu.

M_{i90} = 90th percentile confidence level concentration of TSM in fuel, i, in units of pounds per million Btu as calculated according to Equation 8 of this section.

Q_i = Fraction of total heat input from fuel type, i, based on the fuel mixture that has the highest content of total selected metals. If you do not burn multiple fuel types, it is not necessary to determine the value of this term. Insert a value of ''1'' for Q_i.

n = Number of different fuel types burned in your boiler or process heater for the mixture that has the highest content of TSM.

(5) To demonstrate compliance with the applicable emission limit for mercury, the mercury emission rate that you calculate for your boiler or process heater using Equation 11 of this section must be less than the applicable emission limit for mercury.

$$Mercury = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(HG_{i90}\right)\left(Q_i\right)\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. 11)}$$

Where:

Mercury = Mercury emission rate from the boiler or process heater in units of pounds per million Btu.

HG_{i90} = 90th percentile confidence level concentration of mercury in fuel, i, in units of pounds per million Btu as calculated according to Equation 8 of this section.

Q_i = Fraction of total heat input from fuel type, i, based on the fuel mixture that has the highest mercury content. If you do not burn multiple fuel types, it is not necessary to determine the value of this term. Insert a value of ''1'' for Q_i.

n = Number of different fuel types burned in your boiler or process heater for the mixture that has the highest mercury content.

(e) You must submit the Notification of Compliance Status containing the results of the initial compliance demonstration according to the requirements in § 63.7545(e).

Continuous Compliance Requirements

§ 63.7535 How do I monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance?

(a) You must monitor and collect data according to this section and the site-specific monitoring plan required by § 63.7505(d).

(b) Except for monitor malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks and required zero and span adjustments), you must monitor continuously (or collect data at all required intervals) at all times that the affected source is operating.

(c) You may not use data recorded during monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, or required quality assurance or control activities in data averages and calculations used to report emission or operating levels. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system. Boilers and process heaters that have an applicable carbon monoxide work practice standard and are required to install and operate a CEMS, may not use data recorded during periods when the boiler or process heater is operating at less than 50 percent of its rated capacity.

§ 63.7540 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits and work practice standards?

(a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each emission limit, operating limit, and work practice standard in Tables 1 through 4 to this subpart that applies to you according to the methods specified in Table 8 to this subpart and paragraphs (a)(1) through (10) of this section.

(1) Following the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §§ 63.7 and 63.7510, whichever date comes first, you must not operate above any of the applicable maximum operating limits or below any of the applicable minimum operating limits listed in Tables 2 through 4 to this subpart at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown and malfunction. Operating limits do not apply during performance tests. Operation above the established maximum or below the established minimum operating limits shall constitute a deviation of established operating limits.

(2) You must keep records of the type and amount of all fuels burned in each boiler or process heater during the reporting period to demonstrate that all fuel types and mixtures of fuels burned would either result in lower emissions of TSM, HCl, and mercury, than the applicable emission limit for each pollutant (if you demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis), or result in lower fuel input of TSM, chlorine, and mercury than the maximum values calculated during the last performance tests (if you demonstrate compliance through performance testing).

(3) If you demonstrate compliance with an applicable HCl emission limit through fuel analysis and you plan to burn a new type of fuel, you must recalculate the HCl emission rate using Equation 9 of § 63.7530 according to paragraphs (a)(3)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must determine the chlorine concentration for any new fuel type in units of pounds per million Btu, based on supplier data or your own fuel analysis, according to the provisions in your site-specific fuel analysis plan developed according to § 63.7521(b).

(ii) You must determine the new mixture of fuels that will have the highest content of chlorine.

(iii) Recalculate the HCl emission rate from your boiler or process heater under these new conditions using Equation 9 of § 63.7530. The recalculated HCl emission rate must be less than the applicable emission limit.

(4) If you demonstrate compliance with an applicable HCl emission limit through performance testing and you plan to burn a new type of fuel type or a new mixture of fuels, you must recalculate the maximum chlorine input using Equation 5 of § 63.7530. If the results of recalculating the maximum chlorine input using Equation 5 of § 63.7530 are higher than the maximum chlorine input level established during the previous performance test, then you must conduct a new performance test within 60 days of burning the new fuel type or fuel mixture according to the procedures in § 63.7520 to demonstrate that the HCl emissions do not exceed the emission limit. You must also establish new operating limits based on this performance test according to the procedures in § 63.7530(c).

(5) If you demonstrate compliance with an applicable TSM emission limit through fuel analysis, and you plan to burn a new type of fuel, you must recalculate the TSM emission rate using Equation 10 of § 63.7530 according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a)(5)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must determine the TSM concentration for any new fuel type in units of pounds per million Btu, based on supplier data or your own fuel analysis, according to the provisions in your site-specific fuel analysis plan developed according to § 63.7521(b).

(ii) You must determine the new mixture of fuels that will have the highest content of TSM.

(iii) Recalculate the TSM emission rate from your boiler or process heater under these new conditions using Equation 10 of § 63.7530. The recalculated TSM emission rate must be less than the applicable emission limit.

(6) If you demonstrate compliance with an applicable TSM emission limit through performance testing, and you plan to burn a new type of fuel or a new mixture of fuels, you must recalculate the maximum TSM input using Equation 6 of § 63.7530. If the results of recalculating the maximum total selected metals input using Equation 6 of § 63.7530 are higher than the maximum TSM input level established during the previous performance test, then you must conduct a new performance test within 60 days of burning the new fuel type or fuel mixture according to the procedures in § 63.7520 to demonstrate that the TSM emissions do not exceed the emission limit. You must also establish new operating limits based on this performance test according to the procedures in § 63.7530(c).

(7) If you demonstrate compliance with an applicable mercury emission limit through fuel analysis, and you plan to burn a new type of fuel, you must recalculate the mercury emission rate using Equation 11 of § 63.7530 according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a)(7)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must determine the mercury concentration for any new fuel type in units of pounds per million Btu, based on supplier data or your own fuel analysis, according to the provisions in your site-specific fuel analysis plan developed according to § 63.7521(b).

(ii) You must determine the new mixture of fuels that will have the highest content of mercury.

(iii) Recalculate the mercury emission rate from your boiler or process heater under these new conditions using Equation 11 of § 63.7530. The recalculated mercury emission rate must be less than the applicable emission limit.

(8) If you demonstrate compliance with an applicable mercury emission limit through performance testing, and you plan to burn a new type of fuel or a new mixture of fuels, you must recalculate the maximum mercury input using Equation 7 of § 63.7530. If the results of recalculating the maximum mercury input using Equation 7 of § 63.7530 are higher than the maximum mercury input level established during the previous performance test, then you must conduct a new performance test within 60 days of burning the new fuel type or fuel mixture according to the procedures in § 63.7520 to demonstrate that the mercury emissions do not exceed the emission limit. You must also establish new operating limits based on this performance test according to the procedures in § 63.7530(c).

(9) If your unit is controlled with a fabric filter, and you demonstrate continuous compliance using a bag leak detection system, you must initiate corrective action within 1 hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and complete corrective actions according to your SSMP, and operate and maintain the fabric filter system such that the alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month period. You must also keep records of the date, time, and duration of each alarm, the time corrective action was initiated and completed, and a brief description of the cause of the alarm and the corrective action taken. You must also record the percent of the operating time during each 6-month period that the alarm sounds. In calculating this operating time percentage, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If you take longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken to initiate corrective action.

(10) If you have an applicable work practice standard for carbon monoxide, and you are required to install a CEMS according to § 63.7525(a), then you must meet the requirements in paragraphs (a)(10)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) You must continuously monitor carbon monoxide according to §§ 63.7525(a) and 63.7535.

(ii) Maintain a carbon monoxide emission level below your applicable carbon monoxide work practice standard in Table 1 to this subpart at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown, malfunction, and when your boiler or process heater is operating at less than 50 percent of rated capacity.

(iii) Keep records of carbon monoxide levels according to § 63.7555(b).

(b) You must report each instance in which you did not meet each emission limit, operating limit, and work practice standard in Tables 1 through 4 to this subpart that apply to you. You must also report each instance during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction when you did not meet each applicable emission limit, operating limit, and work practice standard. These instances are deviations from the emission limits and work practice standards in this subpart. These deviations must be reported according to the requirements in § 63.7550.

(c) During periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, you must operate in accordance with the SSMP as required in § 63.7505(e).

(d) Consistent with §§ 63.6(e)and 63.7(e)(1), deviations that occur during a period of startup, shutdown, or malfunction are not violations if you demonstrate to the EPA Administrator's satisfaction that you were operating in accordance with your SSMP. The EPA Administrator will determine whether

deviations that occur during a period of startup, shutdown, or malfunction are violations, according to the provisions in § 63.6(e).

§ 63.7541 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance under the emission averaging provision?

(a) Following the compliance date, the owner or operator must demonstrate compliance with this subpart on a continuous basis by meeting the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) For each calendar month, demonstrate compliance with the average weighted emissions limit for the existing large solid fuel boilers participating in the emissions averaging option as determined in § 63.7522(f) and (g);

(2) For each existing solid fuel boiler participating in the emissions averaging option that is equipped with a dry control system, maintain opacity at or below the applicable limit;

(3) For each existing solid fuel boiler participating in the emissions averaging option that is equipped with a wet scrubber, maintain the 3-hour average parameter values at or below the operating limits established during the most recent performance test; and

(4) For each existing solid fuel boiler participating in the emissions averaging option that has an approved alternative operating plan, maintain the 3-hour average parameter values at or below the operating limits established in the most recent performance test.

(b) Any instance where the owner or operator fails to comply with the continuous monitoring requirements in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section, except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, is a deviation.

Notification, Reports, and Records

§ 63.7545 What notifications must I submit and when?

(a) You must submit all of the notifications in §§ 63.7(b) and (c), 63.8 (e), (f)(4) and (6), and 63.9 (b) through (h) that apply to you by the dates specified.

(b) As specified in § 63.9(b)(2), if you startup your affected source before November 12, 2004, you must submit an Initial Notification not later than 120 days after November 12, 2004. The Initial Notification must include the information required in paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section, as applicable.

(1) If your affected source has an annual capacity factor of greater than 10 percent, your Initial Notification must include the information required by § 63.9(b)(2).

(2) If your affected source has a federally enforceable permit that limits the annual capacity factor to less than or equal to 10 percent such that the unit is in one of the limited use subcategories (the limited use solid fuel subcategory, the limited use liquid fuel subcategory, or the limited use gaseous fuel subcategory), your Initial Notification must include the information required by § 63.9(b)(2) and also a signed statement indicating your affected source has a federally enforceable permit that limits the annual capacity factor to less than or equal to 10 percent.

(c) As specified in § 63.9(b)(4) and (b)(5), if you startup your new or reconstructed affected source on or after November 12, 2004, you must submit an Initial Notification not later than 15 days after the actual date of startup of the affected source.

(d) If you are required to conduct a performance test you must submit a Notification of Intent to conduct a performance test at least 30 days before the performance test is scheduled to begin.

(e) If you are required to conduct an initial compliance demonstration as specified in § 63.7530(a), you must submit a Notification of Compliance Status according to § 63.9(h)(2)(ii). For each initial compliance demonstration, you must submit the Notification of Compliance Status, including all performance test results and fuel analyses, before the close of business on the 60th day following the completion of the performance test and/or other initial compliance demonstrations according to § 63.10(d)(2). The Notification of Compliance Status report must contain all the information specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (9), as applicable.

(1) A description of the affected source(s) including identification of which subcategory the source is in, the capacity of the source, a description of the add-on controls used on the source description of the fuel(s) burned, and justification for the fuel(s) burned during the performance test.

(2) Summary of the results of all performance tests, fuel analyses, and calculations conducted to demonstrate initial compliance including all established operating limits.

(3) Identification of whether you are complying with the particulate matter emission limit or the alternative total selected metals emission limit.

(4) Identification of whether you plan to demonstrate compliance with each applicable emission limit through performance testing or fuel analysis.

(5) Identification of whether you plan to demonstrate compliance by emissions averaging.

(6) A signed certification that you have met all applicable emission limits and work practice standards.

(7) A summary of the carbon monoxide emissions monitoring data and the maximum carbon monoxide emission levels recorded during the performance test to show that you have met any applicable work practice standard in Table 1 to this subpart.

(8) If your new or reconstructed boiler or process heater is in one of the liquid fuel subcategories and burns only liquid fossil fuels other than residual oil either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels, you must submit a signed statement certifying this in your Notification of Compliance Status report.

(9) If you had a deviation from any emission limit or work practice standard, you must also submit a description of the deviation, the duration of the deviation, and the corrective action taken in the Notification of Compliance Status report.

§ 63.7550 What reports must I submit and when?

(a) You must submit each report in Table 9 to this subpart that applies to you.

(b) Unless the EPA Administrator has approved a different schedule for submission of reports under § 63.10(a), you must submit each report by the date in Table 9 to this subpart and according to the requirements in paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) The first compliance report must cover the period beginning on the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in § 63.7495 and ending on June 30 or December 31, whichever date is the first date that occurs at least 180 days after the compliance date that is specified for your source in § 63.7495.

(2) The first compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for your source in § 63.7495.

(3) Each subsequent compliance report must cover the semiannual reporting period from January 1 through June 30 or the semiannual reporting period from July 1 through December 31.

(4) Each subsequent compliance report must be postmarked or delivered

no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the semiannual reporting period.

(5) For each affected source that is subject to permitting regulations pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 40 CFR part 71, and if the permitting authority has established dates for submitting semiannual reports pursuant to 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or 40 CFR 71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), you may submit the first and subsequent compliance reports according to the dates the permitting authority has established instead of according to the dates in paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section.

(c) The compliance report must contain the information required in paragraphs (c)(1) through (11) of this section.

(1) Company name and address.

(2) Statement by a responsible official with that official's name, title, and signature, certifying the truth, accuracy, and completeness of the content of the report.

(3) Date of report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period.

(4) The total fuel use by each affected source subject to an emission limit, for each calendar month within the semiannual reporting period, including, but not limited to, a description of the fuel and the total fuel usage amount with units of measure.

(5) A summary of the results of the annual performance tests and documentation of any operating limits that were reestablished during this test, if applicable.

(6) A signed statement indicating that you burned no new types of fuel. Or, if you did burn a new type of fuel, you must submit the calculation of chlorine input, using Equation 5 of § 63.7530, that demonstrates that your source is still within its maximum chlorine input level established during the previous performance testing (for sources that demonstrate compliance through performance testing) or you must submit the calculation of HCl emission rate using Equation 9 of § 63.7530 that demonstrates that your source is still meeting the emission limit for HCl emissions (for boilers or process heaters that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis). If you burned a new type of fuel, you must submit the calculation of TSM input, using Equation 6 of § 63.7530, that demonstrates that your source is still within its maximum TSM input level established during the previous performance testing (for sources that demonstrate compliance through performance testing), or you must submit the calculation of TSM emission rate using Equation 10 of § 63.7530 that demonstrates that your source is still meeting the emission limit for TSM emissions (for boilers or process heaters that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis). If you burned a new type of fuel, you must submit the calculation of mercury input, using Equation 7 of § 63.7530, that demonstrates that your source is still within its maximum mercury input level established during the previous performance testing (for sources that demonstrate compliance through performance testing), or you must submit the calculation of mercury emission rate using Equation 11 of § 63.7530 that demonstrates that your source is still meeting the emission limit for mercury emissions (for boilers or process heaters that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis).

(7) If you wish to burn a new type of fuel and you can not demonstrate compliance with the maximum chlorine input operating limit using Equation 5 of § 63.7530, the maximum TSM input operating limit using Equation 6 of § 63.7530, or the maximum mercury input operating limit using Equation 7 of § 63.7530, you must include in the compliance report a statement indicating the intent to conduct a new performance test within 60 days of starting to burn the new fuel.

(8) The hours of operation for each boiler and process heater that is subject to an emission limit for each calendar month within the semiannual reporting period. This requirement applies only to limited use boilers and process heaters.

(9) If you had a startup, shutdown, or malfunction during the reporting period and you took actions consistent with your SSMP, the compliance report must include the information in § 63.10(d)(5)(i).

(10) If there are no deviations from any emission limits or operating limits in this subpart that apply to you, and there are no deviations from the requirements for work practice standards in this subpart, a statement that there were no deviations from the emission limits, operating limits, or work practice standards during the reporting period.

(11) If there were no periods during which the CMSs, including CEMS, COMS, and CPMS, were out of control as specified in § 63.8(c)(7), a statement that there were no periods during which the CMSs were out of control during the reporting period.

(d) For each deviation from an emission limit or operating limit in this subpart and for each deviation from the requirements for work practice standards in this subpart that occurs at an affected source where you are not using a CMSs to comply with that emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard, the compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (10) of this section and the information required in paragraphs (d)(1) through (4) of this section. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(1) The total operating time of each affected source during the reporting period.

(2) A description of the deviation and which emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard from which you deviated.

(3) Information on the number, duration, and cause of deviations (including unknown cause), as applicable, and the corrective action taken.

(4) A copy of the test report if the annual performance test showed a deviation from the emission limit for particulate matter or the alternative TSM limit, a deviation from the HCl emission limit, or a deviation from the mercury emission limit.

(e) For each deviation from an emission limitation and operating limit or work practice standard in this subpart occurring at an affected source where you are using a CMS to comply with that emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard, you must include the information in paragraphs (c) (1) through (10) of this section and the information required in paragraphs (e) (1) through (12) of this section. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction and any deviations from your site-specific monitoring plan as required in § 63.7505(d).

(1) The date and time that each malfunction started and stopped and description of the nature of the deviation (*i.e.*, what you deviated from).

(2) The date and time that each CMS was inoperative, except for zero (low-level) and high-level checks.

(3) The date, time, and duration that each CMS was out of control, including the information in § 63.8(c)(8).

(4) The date and time that each deviation started and stopped, and whether each deviation occurred during a period of startup, shutdown, or malfunction or during another period.

(5) A summary of the total duration of the deviation during the reporting period and the total duration as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period.

(6) A breakdown of the total duration of the deviations during the reporting period into those that are due to startup, shutdown, control equipment problems,

process problems, other known causes, and other unknown causes.

(7) A summary of the total duration of CMSs downtime during the reporting period and the total duration of CMS downtime as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period.

(8) An identification of each parameter that was monitored at the affected source for which there was a deviation, including opacity, carbon monoxide, and operating parameters for wet scrubbers and other control devices.

(9) A brief description of the source for which there was a deviation.

(10) A brief description of each CMS for which there was a deviation.

(11) The date of the latest CMS certification or audit for the system for which there was a deviation.

(12) A description of any changes in CMSs, processes, or controls since the last reporting period for the source for which there was a deviation.

(f) Each affected source that has obtained a title V operating permit pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 40 CFR part 71 must report all deviations as defined in this subpart in the semiannual monitoring report required by 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or 40 CFR 71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A). If an affected source submits a compliance report pursuant to Table 9 to this subpart along with, or as part of, the semiannual monitoring report required by 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or 40 CFR 71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), and the compliance report includes all required information concerning deviations from any emission limit, operating limit, or work practice requirement in this subpart, submission of the compliance report satisfies any obligation to report the same deviations in the semiannual monitoring report. However, submission of a compliance report does not otherwise affect any obligation the affected source may have to report deviations from permit requirements to the permit authority.

(g) If you operate a new gaseous fuel unit that is subject to the work practice standard specified in Table 1 to this subpart, and you intend to use a fuel other than natural gas or equivalent to fire the affected unit, you must submit a notification of alternative fuel use within 48 hours of the declaration of a period of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption, as defined in § 63.7575. The notification must include the information specified in paragraphs (g)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) Company name and address.

(2) Identification of the affected unit.

(3) Reason you are unable to use natural gas or equivalent fuel, including the date when the natural gas curtailment was declared or the natural gas supply interruption began.

(4) Type of alternative fuel that you intend to use.

(5) Dates when the alternative fuel use is expected to begin and end.

§ 63.7555 What records must I keep?

(a) You must keep records according to paragraphs (a)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) A copy of each notification and report that you submitted to comply with this subpart, including all documentation supporting any Initial Notification or Notification of Compliance Status or semiannual compliance report that you submitted, according to the requirements in § 63.10(b)(2)(xiv).

(2) The records in § 63.6(e)(3)(iii) through (v) related to startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(3) Records of performance tests, fuel analyses, or other compliance demonstrations, performance evaluations, and opacity observations as required in § 63.10(b)(2)(viii).

(b) For each CEMS, CPMS, and COMS, you must keep records according to paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) Records described in § 63.10(b)(2)(vi) through (xi).

(2) Monitoring data for continuous opacity monitoring system during a performance evaluation as required in § 63.6(h)(7)(i) and (ii).

(3) Previous (*i.e.,* superseded) versions of the performance evaluation plan as required in § 63.8(d)(3).

(4) Request for alternatives to relative accuracy test for CEMS as required in § 63.8(f)(6)(i).

(5) Records of the date and time that each deviation started and stopped, and whether the deviation occurred during a period of startup, shutdown, or malfunction or during another period.

(c) You must keep the records required in Table 8 to this subpart including records of all monitoring data and calculated averages for applicable operating limits such as opacity, pressure drop, carbon monoxide, and pH to show continuous compliance with each emission limit, operating limit, and work practice standard that applies to you.

(d) For each boiler or process heater subject to an emission limit, you must also keep the records in paragraphs (d)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) You must keep records of monthly fuel use by each boiler or process heater, including the type(s) of fuel and amount(s) used.

(2) You must keep records of monthly hours of operation by each boiler or process heater. This requirement applies only to limited-use boilers and process heaters.

(3) A copy of all calculations and supporting documentation of maximum chlorine fuel input, using Equation 5 of § 63.7530, that were done to demonstrate continuous compliance with the HCl emission limit, for sources that demonstrate compliance through performance testing. For sources that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis, a copy of all calculations and supporting documentation of HCl emission rates, using Equation 9 of § 63.7530, that were done to demonstrate compliance with the HCl emission limit. Supporting documentation should include results of any fuel analyses and basis for the estimates of maximum chlorine fuel input or HCl emission rates. You can use the results from one fuel analysis for multiple boilers and process heaters provided they are all burning the same fuel type. However, you must calculate chlorine fuel input, or HCl emission rate, for each boiler and process heater.

(4) A copy of all calculations and supporting documentation of maximum TSM fuel input, using Equation 6 of § 63.7530, that were done to demonstrate continuous compliance with the TSM emission limit for sources that demonstrate compliance through performance testing. For sources that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis, a copy of all calculations and supporting documentation of TSM emission rates, using Equation 10 of § 63.7530, that were done to demonstrate compliance with the TSM emission limit. Supporting documentation should include results of any fuel analyses and basis for the estimates of maximum TSM fuel input or TSM emission rates. You can use the results from one fuel analysis for multiple boilers and process heaters provided they are all burning the same fuel type. However, you must calculate TSM fuel input, or TSM emission rates, for each boiler and process heater.

(5) A copy of all calculations and supporting documentation of maximum mercury fuel input, using Equation 7 of § 63.7530, that were done to demonstrate continuous compliance with the mercury emission limit for sources that demonstrate compliance through performance testing. For sources that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis, a copy of all calculations and supporting documentation of mercury emission rates, using Equation 11 of § 63.7530, that were done to demonstrate compliance with the mercury emission limit. Supporting documentation should

include results of any fuel analyses and basis for the estimates of maximum mercury fuel input or mercury emission rates. You can use the results from one fuel analysis for multiple boilers and process heaters provided they are all burning the same fuel type. However, you must calculate mercury fuel input, or mercury emission rates, for each boiler and process heater.

(e) If your boiler or process heater is subject to an emission limit or work practice standard in Table 1 to this subpart and has a federally enforceable permit that limits the annual capacity factor to less than or equal to 10 percent such that the unit is in one of the limited use subcategories, you must keep the records in paragraphs (e)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) A copy of the federally enforceable permit that limits the annual capacity factor of the source to less than or equal to 10 percent.

(2) Fuel use records for the days the boiler or process heater was operating.

§ 63.7560 In what form and how long must I keep my records?

(a) Your records must be in a form suitable and readily available for expeditious review, according to § 63.10(b)(1).

(b) As specified in § 63.10(b)(1), you must keep each record for 5 years following the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record.

(c) You must keep each record on site for at least 2 years after the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record, according to § 63.10(b)(1). You can keep the records off site for the remaining 3 years.

Other Requirements and Information

§ 63.7565 What parts of the General Provisions apply to me?

Table 10 to this subpart shows which parts of the General Provisions in §§ 63.1 through 63.15 apply to you.

§ 63.7570 Who implements and enforces this subpart?

(a) This subpart can be implemented and enforced by U.S. EPA, or a delegated authority such as your State, local, or tribal agency. If the EPA Administrator has delegated authority to your State, local, or tribal agency, then that agency (as well as the U.S. EPA) has the authority to implement and enforce this subpart. You should contact your EPA Regional Office to find out if this subpart is delegated to your State, local, or tribal agency.

(b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority of this subpart to a State, local, or tribal agency under 40 CFR part 63, subpart E, the authorities listed in paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section are retained by the EPA Administrator and are not transferred to the State, local, or tribal agency, however, the U.S. EPA retains oversight of this subpart and can take enforcement actions, as appropriate.

(1) Approval of alternatives to the non-opacity emission limits and work practice standards in § 63.7500(a) and (b) under § 63.6(g).

(2) Approval of alternative opacity emission limits in § 63.7500(a) under § 63.6(h)(9).

(3) Approval of major change to test methods in Table 5 to this subpart under § 63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f) and as defined in § 63.90.

(4) Approval of major change to monitoring under § 63.8(f) and as defined in § 63.90.

(5) Approval of major change to recordkeeping and reporting under § 63.10(f) and as defined in § 63.90.

§ 63.7575 What definitions apply to this subpart?

Terms used in this subpart are defined in the CAA, in § 63.2 (the General Provisions), and in this section as follows:

Annual capacity factor means the ratio between the actual heat input to a boiler or process heater from the fuels burned during a calendar year, and the potential heat input to the boiler or process heater had it been operated for 8,760 hours during a year at the maximum steady state design heat input capacity.

Bag leak detection system means an instrument that is capable of monitoring particulate matter loadings in the exhaust of a fabric filter (*i.e.*, baghouse) in order to detect bag failures. A bag leak detection system includes, but is not limited to, an instrument that operates on electrodynamic, triboelectric, light scattering, light transmittance, or other principle to monitor relative particulate matter loadings.

Biomass fuel means unadulterated wood as defined in this subpart, wood residue, and wood products (*e.g.*, trees, tree stumps, tree limbs, bark, lumber, sawdust, sanderdust, chips, scraps, slabs, millings, and shavings); animal litter; vegetative agricultural and silvicultural materials, such as logging residues (slash), nut and grain hulls and chaff (*e.g.*, almond, walnut, peanut, rice, and wheat), bagasse, orchard prunings, corn stalks, coffee bean hulls and grounds.

Blast furnace gas fuel-fired boiler or process heater means an industrial/commercial/institutional boiler or process heater that receives 90 percent or more of its total heat input (based on an annual average) from blast furnace gas.

Boiler means an enclosed device using controlled flame combustion and having the primary purpose of recovering thermal energy in the form of steam or hot water. Waste heat boilers are excluded from this definition.

Coal means all solid fuels classifiable as anthracite, bituminous, sub-bituminous, or lignite by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D388–991 $^{\epsilon 1}$, ''Standard Specification for Classification of Coals by Rank ^{1}'' (incorporated by reference, see § 63.14(b)), coal refuse, and petroleum coke. Synthetic fuels derived from coal for the purpose of creating useful heat including but not limited to, solvent-refined coal, coal-oil mixtures, and coal-water mixtures, for the purposes of this subpart. Coal derived gases are excluded from this definition.

Coal refuse means any by-product of coal mining or coal cleaning operations with an ash content greater than 50 percent (by weight) and a heating value less than 13,900 kilojoules per kilogram (6,000 Btu per pound) on a dry basis.

Commercial/institutional boiler means a boiler used in commercial establishments or institutional establishments such as medical centers, research centers, institutions of higher education, hotels, and laundries to provide electricity, steam, and/or hot water.

Construction/demolition material means waste building material that result from the construction or demolition operations on houses and commercial and industrial buildings.

Deviation. (1) Deviation means any instance in which an affected source subject to this subpart, or an owner or operator of such a source:

(i) Fails to meet any requirement or obligation established by this subpart including, but not limited to, any emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard;

(ii) Fails to meet any term or condition that is adopted to implement an applicable requirement in this subpart and that is included in the operating permit for any affected source required to obtain such a permit; or

(iii) Fails to meet any emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard in this subpart during startup, shutdown, or malfunction, regardless or whether or not such failure is permitted by this subpart.

(2) A deviation is not always a violation. The determination of whether a deviation constitutes a violation of the

standard is up to the discretion of the entity responsible for enforcement of the standards.

Distillate oil means fuel oils, including recycled oils, that comply with the specifications for fuel oil numbers 1 and 2, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396–02a, ''Standard Specifications for Fuel Oils [1]'' (incorporated by reference, see § 63.14(b)).

Dry scrubber means an add-on air pollution control system that injects dry alkaline sorbent (dry injection) or sprays an alkaline sorbent (spray dryer) to react with and neutralize acid gas in the exhaust stream forming a dry powder material. Sorbent injection systems in fluidized bed boilers and process heaters are included in this definition.

Electric utility steam generating unit means a fossil fuel-fired combustion unit of more than 25 megawatts that serves a generator that produces electricity for sale. A fossil fuel-fired unit that cogenerates steam and electricity and supplies more than one-third of its potential electric output capacity and more than 25 megawatts electrical output to any utility power distribution system for sale is considered an electric utility steam generating unit.

Electrostatic precipitator means an add-on air pollution control device used to capture particulate matter by charging the particles using an electrostatic field, collecting the particles using a grounded collecting surface, and transporting the particles into a hopper.

Fabric filter means an add-on air pollution control device used to capture particulate matter by filtering gas streams through filter media, also known as a baghouse.

Federally enforceable means all limitations and conditions that are enforceable by the EPA Administrator, including the requirements of 40 CFR parts 60 and 61, requirements within any applicable State implementation plan, and any permit requirements established under 40 CFR 52.21 or under 40 CFR 51.18 and 40 CFR 51.24.

Firetube boiler means a boiler in which hot gases of combustion pass through the tubes and water contacts the outside surfaces of the tubes.

Fossil fuel means natural gas, petroleum, coal, and any form of solid, liquid, or gaseous fuel derived from such materials.

Fuel type means each category of fuels that share a common name or classification. Examples include, but are not limited to, bituminous coal, subbituminous coal, lignite, anthracite, biomass, construction/demolition material, salt water laden wood, creosote treated wood, tires, residual oil. Individual fuel types received from different suppliers are not considered new fuel types except for construction/demolition material.

Gaseous fuel includes, but is not limited to, natural gas, process gas, landfill gas, coal derived gas, refinery gas, and biogas. Blast furnace gas is exempted from this definition.

Heat input means heat derived from combustion of fuel in a boiler or process heater and does not include the heat input from preheated combustion air, recirculated flue gases, or exhaust gases from other sources such as gas turbines, internal combustion engines, kilns, etc.

Hot water heater means a closed vessel with a capacity of no more than 120 U.S. gallons in which water is heated by combustion of gaseous or liquid fuel and is withdrawn for use external to the vessel at pressures not exceeding 160 psig, including the apparatus by which the heat is generated and all controls and devices necessary to prevent water temperatures from exceeding 210°F (99°C).

Industrial boiler means a boiler used in manufacturing, processing, mining, and refining or any other industry to provide steam, hot water, and/or electricity.

Large gaseous fuel subcategory includes any watertube boiler or process heater that burns gaseous fuels not combined with any solid fuels, burns liquid fuel only during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies, has a rated capacity of greater than 10 MMBtu per hour heat input, and has an annual capacity factor of greater than 10 percent.

Large liquid fuel subcategory includes any watertube boiler or process heater that does not burn any solid fuel and burns any liquid fuel either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels, has a rated capacity of greater than 10 MMBtu per hour heat input, and has an annual capacity factor of greater than 10 percent. Large gaseous fuel boilers and process heaters that burn liquid fuel during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies are not included in this definition.

Large solid fuel subcategory includes any watertube boiler or process heater that burns any amount of solid fuel either alone or in combination with liquid or gaseous fuels, has a rated capacity of greater than 10 MMBtu per hour heat input, and has an annual capacity factor of greater than 10 percent.

Limited use gaseous fuel subcategory includes any watertube boiler or process heater that burns gaseous fuels not combined with any liquid or solid fuels, burns liquid fuel only during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies, has a rated capacity of greater than 10 MMBtu per hour heat input, and has a federally enforceable annual average capacity factor of equal to or less than 10 percent.

Limited use liquid fuel subcategory includes any watertube boiler or process heater that does not burn any solid fuel and burns any liquid fuel either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels, has a rated capacity of greater than 10 MMBtu per hour heat input, and has a federally enforceable annual average capacity factor of equal to or less than 10 percent. Limited use gaseous fuel boilers and process heaters that burn liquid fuel during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies are not included in this definition.

Limited use solid fuel subcategory includes any watertube boiler or process heater that burns any amount of solid fuel either alone or in combination with liquid or gaseous fuels, has a rated capacity of greater than 10 MMBtu per hour heat input, and has a federally enforceable annual average capacity factor of equal to or less than 10 percent.

Liquid fossil fuel means petroleum, distillate oil, residual oil and any form of liquid fuel derived from such material.

Liquid fuel includes, but is not limited to, distillate oil, residual oil, waste oil, and process liquids.

Minimum pressure drop means 90 percent of the lowest test-run average pressure drop measured according to Table 7 to this subpart during the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limit.

Minimum scrubber effluent pH means 90 percent of the lowest test-run average effluent pH measured at the outlet of the wet scrubber according to Table 7 to this subpart during the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable hydrogen chloride emission limit.

Minimum scrubber flow rate means 90 percent of the lowest test-run average flow rate measured according to Table 7 to this subpart during the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limit.

Minimum sorbent flow rate means 90 percent of the lowest test-run average sorbent (or activated carbon) flow rate measured according to Table 7 to this subpart during the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limits.

Attachment I
Attachment I

Minimum voltage or amperage means 90 percent of the lowest test-run average voltage or amperage to the electrostatic precipitator measured according to Table 7 to this subpart during the most recent performance test demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limits.

Natural gas means:

(1) A naturally occurring mixture of hydrocarbon and nonhydrocarbon gases found in geologic formations beneath the earth's surface, of which the principal constituent is methane; or

(2) Liquid petroleum gas, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D1835–03a, ''Standard Specification for Liquid Petroleum Gases'' (incorporated by reference, see § 63.14(b)).

Opacity means the degree to which emissions reduce the transmission of light and obscure the view of an object in the background.

Particulate matter means any finely divided solid or liquid material, other than uncombined water, as measured by the test methods specified under this subpart, or an alternative method.

Period of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption means a period of time during which the supply of natural gas to an affected facility is halted for reasons beyond the control of the facility. An increase in the cost or unit price of natural gas does not constitute a period of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption.

Process heater means an enclosed device using controlled flame, that is not a boiler, and the unit's primary purpose is to transfer heat indirectly to a process material (liquid, gas, or solid) or to a heat transfer material for use in a process unit, instead of generating steam. Process heaters are devices in which the combustion gases do not directly come into contact with process materials. Process heaters do not include units used for comfort heat or space heat, food preparation for on-site consumption, or autoclaves.

Residual oil means crude oil, and all fuel oil numbers 4, 5 and 6, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396–02a, ''Standard Specifications for Fuel Oils [1]'' (incorporated by reference, see § 63.14(b)).

Responsible official means responsible official as defined in 40 CFR 70.2.

Small gaseous fuel subcategory includes any firetube boiler that burns gaseous fuels not combined with any solid fuels and burns liquid fuel only during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies, and any boiler or process heater that burns gaseous fuels not combined with any solid fuels, burns liquid fuel only during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies, and has a rated capacity of less than or equal to 10 MMBtu per hour heat input.

Small liquid fuel subcategory includes any firetube boiler that does not burn any solid fuel and burns any liquid fuel either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels, and any boiler or process heater that does not burn any solid fuel and burns any liquid fuel either alone or in combination with gaseous fuels, and has a rated capacity of less than or equal to 10 MMBtu per hour heat input. Small gaseous fuel boilers and process heaters that burn liquid fuel during periods of gas curtailment or gas supply emergencies are not included in this definition.

Small solid fuel subcategory includes any firetube boiler that burns any amount of solid fuel either alone or in combination with liquid or gaseous fuels, and any other boiler or process heater that burns any amount of solid fuel either alone or in combination with liquid or gaseous fuels and has a rated capacity of less than or equal to 10 MMBtu per hour heat input.

Solid fuel includes, but is not limited to, coal, wood, biomass, tires, plastics, and other nonfossil solid materials.

Temporary boiler means any gaseous or liquid fuel boiler that is designed to, and is capable of, being carried or moved from one location to another. A temporary boiler that remains at a location for more than 180 consecutive days is no longer considered to be a temporary boiler. Any temporary boiler that replaces a temporary boiler at a location and is intended to perform the same or similar function will be included in calculating the consecutive time period.

Total selected metals means the combination of the following metallic HAP: arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, manganese, nickel and selenium.

Unadulterated wood means wood or wood products that have not been painted, pigment-stained, or pressure treated with compounds such as chromate copper arsenate, pentachlorophenol, and creosote. Plywood, particle board, oriented strand board, and other types of wood products bound by glues and resins are included in this definition.

Waste heat boiler means a device that recovers normally unused energy and converts it to usable heat. Waste heat boilers incorporating duct or supplemental burners that are designed to supply 50 percent or more of the total rated heat input capacity of the waste heat boiler are not considered waste heat boilers, but are considered boilers. Waste heat boilers are also referred to as heat recovery steam generators.

Watertube boiler means a boiler in which water passes through the tubes and hot gases of combustion pass over the outside surfaces of the tubes.

Wet scrubber means any add-on air pollution control device that mixes an aqueous stream or slurry with the exhaust gases from a boiler or process heater to control emissions of particulate matter and/or to absorb and neutralize acid gases, such as hydrogen chloride.

Work practice standard means any design, equipment, work practice, or operational standard, or combination thereof, that is promulgated pursuant to section 112(h) of the CAA.

Tables to Subpart DDDDD of Part 63

TABLE 1 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—EMISSION LIMITS AND WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS

As stated in § 63.7500, you must comply with the following applicable emission limits and work practice standards:

If your boiler or process heater is in this subcategory . . .	For the following pollutants . . .	You must meet the following emission limits and work practice standards . . .
1. New or reconstructed large solid fuel	a. Particulate Matter (or Total Selected Metals).	0.025 lb per MMBtu of heat input; or (0.0003 lb per MMBtu of heat input).
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.02 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	c. Mercury	0.000003 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	d. Carbon Monoxide	400 ppm by volume on a dry basis corrected to 7 percent oxygen (30-day rolling average for units 100 MMBtu/hr or greater, 3-run average for units less than 100 MMBtu/hr).

TABLE 1 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—EMISSION LIMITS AND WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS—Continued

As stated in § 63.7500, you must comply with the following applicable emission limits and work practice standards:

If your boiler or process heater is in this subcategory . . .	For the following pollutants . . .	You must meet the following emission limits and work practice standards . . .
2. New or reconstructed limited use solid fuel ...	a. Particulate Matter (or Total Selected Metals).	0.025 lb per MMBtu of heat input; or (0.0003 lb per MMBtu of heat input).
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.02 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	c. Mercury	0.000003 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	d. Carbon Monoxide	400 ppm by volume on a dry basis corrected to 7 percent oxygen (3-run average).
3. New or reconstructed small solid fuel	a. Particulate Matter (or Total Selected Metals).	0.025 lb per MMBtu of heat input; or (0.0003 lb per MMBtu of heat input).
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.02 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	c. Mercury	0.000003 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
4. New reconstructed large liquid fuel	a. Particulate Matter	0.03 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.0005 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	c. Carbon Monoxide	400 ppm by volume on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen (30-day rolling average for units 100 MMBtu/hr or greater, 3-run average for units less than 100 MMBtu/hr).
5. New or reconstructed limited use liquid fuel ..	a. Particulate Matter	0.03 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.0009 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	c. Carbon Monoxide	400 ppm by volume on a dry basis liquid corrected to 3 percent oxygen (3-run average).
6. New or reconstructed small liquid fuel	a. Particulate Matter	0.03 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.0009 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
7. New reconstructed large gaseous fuel	Carbon Monoxide	400 ppm by volume on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen (30-day rolling average for units 100 MMBtu/hr or greater, 3-run average for units less than 100 MMBtu/hr).
8. New or reconstructed limited use gaseous fuel.	Carbon Monoxide	400 ppm by volume on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen (3-run average).
9. Existing large solid fuel	a. Particulate Matter (or Total Selected Metals).	0.07 lb per MMBtu of heat input; or (0.001 lb per MMBtu of heat input).
	b. Hydrogen Chloride	0.09 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
	c. Mercury	0.000009 lb per MMBtu of heat input.
10. Existing limited use solid fuel	Particulate Matter (or Total Selected Metals) ..	0.21 lb per MMBtu of heat input; or (0.004 lb per MMBtu of heat input).

TABLE 2 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—OPERATING LIMITS FOR BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS WITH PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSION LIMITS

As stated in § 63.7500, you must comply with the applicable operating limits:

If you demonstrate compliance with applicable particulate matter emission limits using . . .	You must meet these operating limits . . .
1. Wet scrubber control	a. Maintain the minimum pressure drop and liquid flow-rate at or above the operating levels established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limit for particulate matter.
2. Fabric filter control	a. Install and operate a bag leak detection system according to § 63.7525 and operate the fabric filter such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during each 6-month period; or
	b. This option is for boilers and process heaters that operate dry control systems. Existing boilers and process heaters must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. New boilers and process heaters must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (1-hour block average).
3. Electrostatic precipitator control	a. This option is for boilers and process heaters that operate dry control systems. Existing boilers and process heaters must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. New boilers and process heaters must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (1-hour block average); or

TABLE 2 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—OPERATING LIMITS FOR BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS WITH PARTICULATE MATTER EMISSION LIMITS—Continued

As stated in § 63.7500, you must comply with the applicable operating limits:

If you demonstrate compliance with applicable particulate matter emission limits using . . .	You must meet these operating limits . . .
	b. This option is only for boilers and process heaters that operate additional wet control systems. Maintain the minimum voltage and secondary current or total power input of the electrostatic precipitator at or above the operating limits established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limit for particulate matter.
4. Any other control type	This option is for boilers and process heaters that operate dry control systems. Existing boilers and process heaters must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. New boilers and process heaters must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (1-hour block average).

TABLE 3 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—OPERATING LIMITS FOR BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS WITH MERCURY EMISSION LIMITS AND BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS THAT CHOOSE TO COMPLY WITH THE ALTERNATIVE TOTAL SELECTED METALS EMISSION LIMITS

As stated in § 63.7500, you must comply with the applicable operating limits:

If you demonstrate compliance with applicable mercury and/or total selected metals emission limits using . . .	You must meet these operating limits . . .
1. Wet scrubber control	Maintain the minimum pressure drop and liquid flow-rate at or above the operating levels established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limits for mercury and/or total selected metals.
2. Fabric filter control	a. Install and operate a bag leak detection system according to § 63.7525 and operate the fabric filter such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month period; or
	b. This option is for boilers and process heaters that operate dry control systems. Existing sources must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. New sources must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (1-hour block average).
3. Electrostatic precipitator control	a. This option is for boilers and process heaters that operate dry control systems. Existing sources must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. New sources must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (1-hour block average); or
	b. This option is only for boilers and process heaters that operate additional wet control systems. Maintain the minimum voltage and secondary current or total power input of the electrostatic precipitator at or above the operating limits established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limits for mercury and/or total selected metals.
4. Dry scrubber or carbon injection control	Maintain the minimum sorbent or carbon injection rate at or above the operating levels established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limit for mercury.
5. Any other control type	This option is only for boilers and process heaters that operate dry control systems. Existing sources must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent. New sources must maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (1-hour block average).
6. Fuel analysis	Maintain the fuel type or fuel mixture such that the mercury and/or total selected metals emission rates calculated according to § 63.7530(d)(4) and/or (5) is less than the applicable emission limits for mercury and/or total selected metals.

TABLE 4 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—OPERATING LIMITS FOR BOILERS AND PROCESS HEATERS WITH HYDROGEN CHLORIDE EMISSION LIMITS

As stated in § 63.7500, you must comply with the following applicable operating limits:

If you demonstrate compliance with applicable hydrogen chloride emission limits using . . .	You must meet these operating limits . . .
1. Wet scrubber control	Maintain the minimum scrubber effluent pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow-rate at or above the operating levels established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limit for hydrogen chloride.
2. Dry scrubber control	Maintain the minimum sorbent injection rate at or above the operating levels established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c) and Table 7 to this subpart that demonstrated compliance with the applicable emission limit for hydrogen chloride.
3. Fuel analysis	Maintain the fuel type or fuel mixture such that the hydrogen chloride emission rate calculated according to § 63.7530(d)(3) is less than the applicable emission limit for hydrogen chloride.

TABLE 5 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—PERFORMANCE TESTING REQUIREMENTS

As stated in § 63.7520, you must comply with the following requirements for performance test for existing, new or reconstructed affected sources:

To conduct a performance test for the following pollutant . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .
1. Particulate Matter	a. Select sampling ports location and the number of traverse points.	Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	b. Determine velocity and volumetric flow-rate of the stack gas.	Method 2, 2F, or 2G in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	c. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of the stack gas.	Method 3A or 3B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, or ASME PTC 19, Part 10 (1981) (IBR, see § 63.14(i)).
	d. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas.	Method 4 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	e. Measure the particulate matter emission concentration.	Method 5 or 17 (positive pressure fabric filters must use Method 5D) in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	f. Convert emissions concentration to lb per MMBtu emission rates.	Method 19 F-factor methodology in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
2. Total selected metals	a. Select sampling ports location and the number of traverse points.	Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	b. Determine velocity and volumetric flow-rate of the stack gas.	Method 2, 2F, or 2G in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	c. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of the stack gas.	Method 3A or 3B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, or ASME PTC 19, Part 10 (1981) (IBR, see § 63.14(i)).
	d. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas.	Method 4 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	e. Measure the total selected metals emission concentration.	Method 29 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	f. Convert emissions concentration to lb per MMBtu emission rates.	Method 19 F-factor methodology in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
3. Hydrogen chloride	a. Select sampling ports location and the number of traverse points.	Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	b. Determine velocity and volumetric flow-rate of the stack gas.	Method 2, 2F, or 2G in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	c. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of the stack gas.	Method 3A or 3B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, or ASME PTC 19, Part 10 (1981) (IBR, see § 63.14(i)).
	d. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas.	Method 4 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	e. Measure the hydrogen chloride emission concentration.	Method 26 or 26A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	f. Convert emissions concentration to lb per MMBtu emission rates.	Method 19 F-factor methodology in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
4. Mercury	a. Select sampling ports location and the number of traverse points.	Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	b. Determine velocity and volumetric flow-rate of the stack gas.	Method 2, 2F, or 2G in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	c. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of the stack gas.	Method 3A or 3B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, or ASME PTC 19, Part 10 (1981) (IBR, see § 62.14(i)).

TABLE 5 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—PERFORMANCE TESTING REQUIREMENTS—Continued

As stated in § 63.7520, you must comply with the following requirements for performance test for existing, new or reconstructed affected sources:

To conduct a performance test for the following pollutant . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .
	d. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas.	Method 4 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	e. Measure the mercury emission concentration.	Method 29 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter or Method 101A in appendix B to part 61 of this chapter or ASTM Method D6784–02 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)).
	f. Convert emissions concentration to lb per MMBtu emission rates.	Method 19 F-factor methodology in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
5. Carbon Monoxide	a. Select the sampling ports location and the number of traverse points.	Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	b. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of the stack gas.	Method 3A or 3B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, or ASTM D6522–00 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)), or ASME PTC 19, Part 10 (1981) (IBR, see § 63.14(i)).
	c. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas.	Method 4 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.
	d. Measure the carbon monoxide emission concentration.	Method 10, 10A, or 10B in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, or ASTM D6522–00 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) when the fuel is natural gas.

TABLE 6 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—FUEL ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS

As stated in § 63.7521, you must comply with the following requirements for fuel analysis testing for existing, new or reconstructed affected sources:

To conduct a fuel analysis for the following pollutant . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .
1. Mercury	a. Collect fuel samples	Procedure in § 63.7521(c) or ASTM D2234–00 ∈1 (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM D6323–98 (2003)(for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	b. Composite fuel samples	Procedure in § 63.7521(d) or equivalent.
	c. Prepare composited fuel samples	SW–846–3050B (for solid samples) or SW–846–3020A (for liquid samples) or ASTM D2013–01 (for coal) (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM D5198–92 (2003) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	d. Determine heat content of the fuel type	ASTM D5865–03a (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E711–87 (1996) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	e. Determine moisture content of the fuel type	ASTM D3173–02 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E871–82 (1998)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	f. Measure mercury concentration in fuel sample.	ASTM D3684–01 (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or SW–846–7471A (for solid samples) or SW–846 7470A (for liquid samples).
	g. Convert concentrations into units of pounds of pollutant per MMBtu of heat content.	
2. Total selected metals	a. Collect fuel samples	Procedure in § 63.7521(c) or ASTM D2234–00 ∈1 (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM D6323–98 (2003) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	b. Composite fuel samples	Procedure in § 63.7521(d) or equivalent.
	c. Prepare composited fuel samples	SW–846–3050B (for solid samples) or SW–846–3020A (for liquid samples) or ASTM D2013–01 (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM D5198–92 (2003)(for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	d. Determine heat content of the fuel type	ASTM D5865–03a (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E 711–87 (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	e. Determine moisture content of the fuel type	ASTM D3173–02 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E871 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.

TABLE 6 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—FUEL ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS—Continued

As stated in § 63.7521, you must comply with the following requirements for fuel analysis testing for existing, new or reconstructed affected sources:

To conduct a fuel analysis for the following pollutant . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .
	f. Measure total selected metals concentration in fuel sample.	SW–846–6010B or ASTM D3683–94 (2000) (for coal) (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E885–88 (1996) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)).
	g. Convert concentrations into units of pounds of pollutant per MMBtu of heat content.	
3. Hydrogen chloride	a. Collect fuel samples	Procedure in § 63.7521(c) or ASTM D2234∈1 (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM D6323–98 (2003) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	b. Composite fuel samples	Procedure in § 63.7521(d) or equivalent.
	c. Prepare composited fuel samples	SW–846–3050B (for solid samples) or SW–846–3020A (for liquid samples) or ASTM D2013–01 (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM D5198–92 (2003) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	d. Determine heat content of the fuel type	ASTM D5865–03a (for coal)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E711–87 (1996) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	e. Determine moisture content of the fuel type	ASTM D3173–02 (IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or ASTM E871–82 (1998)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	f. Measure chlorine concentration in fuel sample.	SW–846–9250 or ASTM E776–87 (1996) (for biomass)(IBR, see § 63.14(b)) or equivalent.
	g. Convert concentrations into units of pounds of pollutant per MMBtu of heat content.	

TABLE 7 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—ESTABLISHING OPERATING LIMITS

As stated in § 63.7520, you must comply with the following requirements for establishing operating limits:

If you have an applicable emission limit for . . .	And your operating limits are based on . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .	According to the following requirements
1. Particulate matter, mercury, or total selected metals.	a. Wet scrubber operating parameters.	i. Establish a site-specific minimum pressure drop and minimum flow rate operating limit according to § 63.7530(c).	(1) Data from the pressure drop and liquid flow rate monitors and the particulate matter, mercury, or total selected metals performance test.	(a) You must collect pressure drop and liquid flow-rate data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance tests; (b) Determine the average pressure drop and liquid flow-rate for each individual test run in the three-run performance test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run.
	b. Electrostatic precipitator operating parameters (option only for units with additional wet scrubber control).	i. Establish a site-specific minimum voltage and secondary current or total power input according to § 63.7530(c).	(1) Data from the pressure drop and liquid flow rate monitors and the particulate matter, mercury, or total selected metals performance test.	(a) You must collect voltage and secondary current or total power input data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance tests; (b) Determine the average voltage and secondary current or total power input for each individual test run in the three-run performance test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run.

TABLE 7 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—ESTABLISHING OPERATING LIMITS—Continued

As stated in § 63.7520, you must comply with the following requirements for establishing operating limits:

If you have an applicable emission limit for . . .	And your operating limits are based on . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .	According to the following requirements
2. Hydrogen Chloride ...	a. Wet scrubber operating parameters.	i. Establish a site-specific minimum pressure drop and minimum flow rate operating limit according to § 63.7530(c).	(1) Data from the pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow-rate monitors and the hydrogen chloride performance test.	(a) You must collect pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow-rate data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance tests; (b) Determine the average pH, pressure drop, and liquid flow-rate for each individual test run in the three-run performance test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run.
	b. Dry scrubber operating parameters.	i. Establish a site-specific minimum sorbent injection rate operating limit according to § 63.7530(c).	(1) Data from the sorbent injection rate monitors and hydrogen chloride performance test.	(a) You must collect sorbent injection rate data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance tests; (b) Determine the average sorbent injection rate for each individual test run in the three-run performance test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run.

TABLE 8 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—DEMONSTRATING CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE

As stated in § 63.7540, you must show continuous compliance with the emission limitations for affected sources according to the following:

If you must meet the following operating limits or work practice standards . . .	You must demonstrate continuous compliance by . . .
1. Opacity	a. Collecting the opacity monitoring system data according to §§ 63.7525(b) and 63.7535; and b. Reducing the opacity monitoring data to 6-minute averages; and c. Maintaining opacity to less than or equal to 20 percent (6-minute average) except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent for existing sources; or maintaining opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent (1-hour block average) for new sources.
2. Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Operation	Installing and operating a bag leak detection system according to § 63.7525 and operating the fabric filter such that the requirements in § 63.7540(a)(9) are met.
3. Wet Scrubber Pressure Drop and Liquid Flow-rate	a. Collecting the pressure drop and liquid flow rate monitoring system data according to §§ 63.7525 and 63.7535; and b. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and c. Maintaining the 3-hour average pressure drop and liquid flow-rate at or above the operating limits established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c).
4. Wet Scrubber pH	a. Collecting the pH monitoring system data according to §§ 63.7525 and 63.7535; and b. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and c. Maintaining the 3-hour average pH at or above the operating limit established during the performance test according to § 63.7530(c).
5. Dry Scrubber Sorbent or Carbon Injection Rate	a. Collecting the sorbent or carbon injection rate monitoring system data for the dry scrubber according to §§ 63.7525 and 63.7535; and b. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and c. Maintaining the 3-hour average sorbent or carbon injection rate at or above the operating limit established during the performance test according to §§ 63.7530(c).
6. Electrostatic Precipitator Secondary Current and Voltage or Total Power Input.	a. Collecting the secondary current and voltage or total power input monitoring system data for the electrostatic precipitator according to §§ 63.7525 and 63.7535; and b. Reducing the data to 3-hour block averages; and

TABLE 8 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—DEMONSTRATING CONTINUOUS COMPLIANCE—Continued

As stated in § 63.7540, you must show continuous compliance with the emission limitations for affected sources according to the following:

If you must meet the following operating limits or work practice standards . . .	You must demonstrate continuous compliance by . . .
7. Fuel Pollutant Content	c. Maintaining the 3-hour average secondary current and voltage or total power input at or above the operating limits established during the performance test according to §§ 63.7530(c). a. Only burning the fuel types and fuel mixtures used to demonstrate compliance with the applicable emission limit according to § 63.7530(c) or (d) as applicable; and b. Keeping monthly records of fuel use according to § 63.7540(a).

TABLE 9 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

As stated in § 63.7550, you must comply with the following requirements for reports:

You must submit a(n)	The report must contain . . .	You must submit the report . . .
1. Compliance report	a. Information required in § 63.7550(c)(1) through (11); and b. If there are no deviations from any emission limitation (emission limit and operating limit) that applies to you and there are no deviations from the requirements for work practice standards in Table 8 to this subpart that apply to you, a statement that there were no deviations from the emission limitations and work practice standards during the reporting period. If there were no periods during which the CMSs, including continuous emissions monitoring system, continuous opacity monitoring system, and operating parameter monitoring systems, were out-of-control as specified in § 63.8(c)(7), a statement that there were no periods during which the CMSs were out-of-control during the reporting period; and c. If you have a deviation from any emission limitation (emission limit and operating limit) or work practice standard during the reporting period, the report must contain the information in § 63.7550(d). If there were periods during which the CMSs, including continuous emissions monitoring system, continuous opacity monitoring system, and operating parameter monitoring systems, were out-of-control, as specified in § 63.8(c)(7), the report must contain the information in § 63.7550(e); and d. If you had a startup, shutdown, or malfunction during the reporting period and you took actions consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the compliance report must include the information in § 63.10(d)(5)(i)	Semiannually according to the requirements in § 63.7550(b).
2. An immediate startup, shutdown, and malfunction report if you had a startup, shutdown, or malfunction during the reporting period that is not consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, and the source exceeds any applicable emission limitation in the relevant emission standard.	a. Actions taken for the event; and	i. By fax or telephone within 2 working days after starting actions inconsistent with the plan; and
	b. The information in § 63.10(d)(5)(ii)	ii. By letter within 7 working days after the end of the event unless you have made alternative arrangements with the permitting authority.

TABLE 10 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO SUBPART DDDDD

As stated in § 63.7565, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions according to the following:

Citation	Subject	Brief description	Applicable
§ 63.1	Applicability	Initial Applicability Determination; Applicability After Standard Established; Permit Requirements; Extensions, Notifications.	Yes.
§ 63.2	Definitions	Definitions for part 63 standards	Yes.
§ 63.3	Units and Abbreviations	Units and abbreviations for part 63 standards.	Yes.
§ 63.4	Prohibited Activities	Prohibited Activities; Compliance date; Circumvention, Severability.	Yes.
§ 63.5	Construction/Reconstruction	Applicability; applications; approvals	Yes.
§ 63.6(a)	Applicability	GP apply unless compliance extension; and GP apply to area sources that become major.	Yes.
§ 63.6(b)(1)–(4)	Compliance Dates for New and Reconstructed sources.	Standards apply at effective date; 3 years after effective date; upon startup; 10 years after construction or reconstruction commences for 112(f).	Yes.
§ 63.6(b)(5)	Notification	Must notify if commenced construction or reconstruction after proposal.	Yes.
§ 63.6(b)(6)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.6(b)(7)	Compliance Dates for New and Reconstructed Area Sources That Become Major.	Area sources that become major must comply with major source standards immediately upon becoming major, regardless of whether required to comply when they were an area source.	Yes.
§ 63.6(c)(1)–(2)	Compliance Dates for Existing Sources	Comply according to date in subpart, which must be no later than 3 years after effective date; and for 112(f) standards, comply within 90 days of effective date unless compliance extension.	Yes.
§ 63.6(c)(3)–(4)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.6(c)(5)	Compliance Dates for Existing Area Sources That Become Major.	Area sources that become major must comply with major source standards by date indicated in subpart or by equivalent time period (for example, 3 years).	Yes.
§ 63.6(d)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.6(e)(1)–(2)	Operation & Maintenance	Operate to minimize emissions at all times; and Correct malfunctions as soon as practicable; and Operation and maintenance requirements independently enforceable; information Administrator will use to determine if operation and maintenance requirements were met.	Yes.
§ 63.6(e)(3)	Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan (SSMP).	Requirement for SSM and startup, shutdown, malfunction plan; and content of SSMP.	Yes.
§ 63.6(f)(1)	Compliance Except During SSM	Comply with emission standards at all times except during SSM.	Yes.
§ 63.6(f)(2)–(3)	Methods for Determining Compliance	Compliance based on performance test, operation and maintenance plans, records, inspection.	Yes.
§ 63.6(g)(1)–(3)	Alternative Standard	Procedures for getting an alternative standard.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(1)	Compliance with Opacity/VE Standards	Comply with opacity/VE emission limitations at all times except during SSM.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(2)(i)	Determining Compliance with Opacity/Visible Emission (VE) Standards.	If standard does not state test method, use Method 9 for opacity and Method 22 for VE.	No.
§ 63.6(h)(2)(ii)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.6(h)(2)(iii)	Using Previous Tests to Demonstrate Compliance with Opacity/VE Standards	Criteria for when previous opacity/VE testing can be used to show compliance with this subpart.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(3)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.6(h)(4)	Notification of Opacity/VE Observation Date.	Notify Administrator of anticipated date of observation.	No.
§ 63.6(h)(5)(i),(iii)–(v)	Conducting Opacity/VE Observations	Dates and Schedule for conducting opacity/VE observations.	No.

TABLE 10 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO SUBPART DDDDD—Continued

As stated in § 63.7565, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions according to the following:

Citation	Subject	Brief description	Applicable
§ 63.6(h)(5)(ii)	Opacity Test Duration and Averaging Times.	Must have at least 3 hours of observation with thirty, 6-minute averages.	No.
§ 63.6(h)(6)	Records of Conditions During Opacity/VE observations.	Keep records available and allow Administrator to inspect.	No.
§ 63.6(h)(7)(i)	Report continuous opacity monitoring system Monitoring Data from Performance Test.	Submit continuous opacity monitoring system data with other performance test data.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(7)(ii)	Using continuous opacity monitoring system instead of Method 9.	Can submit continuous opacity monitoring system data instead of Method 9 results even if subpart requires Method 9, but must notify Administrator before performance test.	No.
§ 63.6(h)(7)(iii)	Averaging time for continuous opacity monitoring system during performance test.	To determine compliance, must reduce continuous opacity monitoring system data to 6-minute averages.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(7)(iv)	Continuous opacity monitoring system requirements.	Demonstrate that continuous opacity monitoring system performance evaluations are conducted according to §§ 63.8(e), continuous opacity monitoring systems are properly maintained and operated according to § 63.8(c) and data quality as § 63.8(d).	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(7)(v)	Determining Compliance with Opacity/VE Standards.	Continuous opacity monitoring system is probative but not conclusive evidence of compliance with opacity standard, even if Method 9 observation shows otherwise. Requirements for continuous opacity monitoring system to be probative evidence-proper maintenance, meeting PS 1, and data have not been altered.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(8)	Determining Compliance with Opacity/VE Standards.	Administrator will use all continuous opacity monitoring system, Method 9, and Method 22 results, as well as information about operation and maintenance to determine compliance.	Yes.
§ 63.6(h)(9)	Adjusted Opacity Standard	Procedures for Administrator to adjust an opacity standard.	Yes.
§ 63.6(i)(1)–(14)	Compliance Extension	Procedures and criteria for Administrator to grant compliance extension.	Yes.
§ 63.6(j)	Presidential Compliance Exemption	President may exempt source category from requirement to comply with rule.	Yes.
§ 63.7(a)(1)	Performance Test Dates	Dates for Conducting Initial Performance Testing and Other Compliance Demonstrations.	Yes.
§ 63.7(a)(2)	Performance Test Dates	New source with initial startup date before effective date has 180 days after effective date to demonstrate compliance	Yes.
§ 63.7(a)(2)(ii–viii)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.7(a)(2)(ix)	Performance Test Dates	1. New source that commenced construction between proposal and promulgation dates, when promulgated standard is more stringent than proposed standard, has 180 days after effective date or 180 days after startup of source, whichever is later, to demonstrate compliance; and.	Yes.
		2. If source initially demonstrates compliance with less stringent proposed standard, it has 3 years and 180 days after the effective date of the standard or 180 days after startup of source, whichever is later, to demonstrate compliance with promulgated standard.	No.
§ 63.7(a)(3)	Section 114 Authority	Administrator may require a performance test under CAA Section 114 at any time.	Yes.

TABLE 10 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO SUBPART DDDDD—Continued

As stated in § 63.7565, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions according to the following:

Citation	Subject	Brief description	Applicable
§ 63.7(b)(1)	Notification of Performance Test	Must notify Administrator 60 days before the test.	No.
§ 63.7(b)(2)	Notification of Rescheduling	If rescheduling a performance test is necessary, must notify Administrator 5 days before scheduled date of rescheduled date.	Yes.
§ 63.7(c)	Quality Assurance/Test Plan	Requirement to submit site-specific test plan 60 days before the test or on date Administrator agrees with: test plan approval procedures; and performance audit requirements; and internal and external QA procedures for testing.	Yes.
§ 63.7(d)	Testing Facilities	Requirements for testing facilities	Yes.
§ 63.7(e)(1)	Conditions for Conducting Performance Tests.	1. Performance tests must be conducted under representative conditions; and	No.
		2. Cannot conduct performance tests during SSM; and	Yes.
		3. Not a deviation to exceed standard during SSM; and	Yes.
		4. Upon request of Administrator, make available records necessary to determine conditions of performance tests.	Yes.
§ 63.7(e)(2)	Conditions for Conducting Performance Tests.	Must conduct according to rule and EPA test methods unless Administrator approves alternative.	Yes.
§ 63.7(e)(3)	Test Run Duration	Must have three separate test runs; and Compliance is based on arithmetic mean of three runs; and conditions when data from an additional test run can be used.	Yes.
§ 63.7(e)(4)	Interaction with other sections of the Act	Nothing in § 63.7(e)(1) through (4) can abrogate the Administrator's authority to require testing under Section 114 of the Act.	Yes.
§ 63.7(f)	Alternative Test Method	Procedures by which Administrator can grant approval to use an alternative test method.	Yes.
§ 63.7(g)	Performance Test Data Analysis	Must include raw data in performance test report; and must submit performance test data 60 days after end of test with the Notification of Compliance Status; and keep data for 5 years.	Yes.
§ 63.7(h)	Waiver of Tests	Procedures for Administrator to waive performance test.	Yes.
§ 63.8(a)(1)	Applicability of Monitoring Requirements	Subject to all monitoring requirements in standard.	Yes.
§ 63.8(a)(2)	Performance Specifications	Performance Specifications in appendix B of part 60 apply.	Yes.
§ 63.8(a)(3)	[Reserved].		
§ 63.8(a)(4)	Monitoring with Flares	Unless your rule says otherwise, the requirements for flares in § 63.11 apply.	No.
§63.8(b)(1)(i)–(ii)	Monitoring	Must conduct monitoring according to standard unless Administrator approves alternative.	Yes.
§ 63.8(b)(1)(iii)	Monitoring	Flares not subject to this section unless otherwise specified in relevant standard.	No.
§ 63.8(b)(2)–(3)	Multiple Effluents and Multiple Monitoring Systems.	Specific requirements for installing monitoring systems; and must install on each effluent before it is combined and before it is released to the atmosphere unless Administrator approves otherwise; and if more than one monitoring system on an emission point, must report all monitoring system results, unless one monitoring system is a backup.	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(1)	Monitoring System Operation and Maintenance.	Maintain monitoring system in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices.	Yes.

TABLE 10 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO SUBPART DDDDD—Continued

As stated in § 63.7565, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions according to the following:

Citation	Subject	Brief description	Applicable
§ 63.8(c)(1)(i)	Routine and Predictable SSM	Maintain and operate CMS according to § 63.6(e)(1).	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(1)(ii)	SSM not in SSMP	Must keep necessary parts available for routine repairs of CMSs.	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(1)(iii)	Compliance with Operation and Maintenance Requirements.	Must develop and implement an SSMP for CMSs.	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(2)–(3)	Monitoring System Installation	Must install to get representative emission and parameter measurements; and must verify operational status before or at performance test.	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(4)	Continuous Monitoring System (CMS) Requirements.	CMSs must be operating except during breakdown, out-of-control, repair, maintenance, and high-level calibration drifts.	No.
§ 63.8(c)(4)(i)	Continuous Monitoring System (CMS) Requirements.	Continuous opacity monitoring system must have a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analysis for each successive 10-second period and one cycle of data recording for each successive 6-minute period.	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(4)(ii)	Continuous Monitoring System (CMS) Requirements.	Continuous emissions monitoring system must have a minimum of one cycle of operation for each successive 15-minute period.	No.
§ 63.8(c)(5)	Continuous Opacity Monitoring system (COMS) Requirements.	Must do daily zero and high level calibrations.	Yes.
§ 63.8(c)(6)	Continuous Monitoring System (CMS) Requirements.	Must do daily zero and high level calibrations.	No.
§ 63.8(c)(7)–(8)	Continuous Monitoring Systems Requirements.	Out-of-control periods, including reporting	Yes.
§ 63.8(d)	Continuous Monitoring Systems Quality Control.	Requirements for continuous monitoring systems quality control, including calibration, etc.; and must keep quality control plan on record for the life of the affected source. Keep old versions for 5 years after revisions.	Yes.
§ 63.8(e)	Continuous monitoring systems Performance Evaluation.	Notification, performance evaluation test plan, reports.	Yes.
§ 63.8(f)(1)–(5)	Alternative Monitoring Method	Procedures for Administrator to approve alternative monitoring.	Yes.
§ 63.8(f)(6)	Alternative to Relative Accuracy Test	Procedures for Administrator to approve alternative relative accuracy tests for continuous emissions monitoring system.	No.
§ 63.8(g)(1)–(4)	Data Reduction	Continuous opacity monitoring system 6-minute averages calculated over at least 36 evenly spaced data points; and continuous emissions monitoring system 1-hour averages computed over at least 4 equally spaced data points.	Yes.
§ 63.8(g)(5)	Data Reduction	Data that cannot be used in computing averages for continuous emissions monitoring system and continuous opacity monitoring system.	No.
§ 63.9(a)	Notification Requirements	Applicability and State Delegation	Yes.
§ 63.9(b)(1)–(5)	Initial Notifications	Submit notification 120 days after effective date; and Notification of intent to construct/reconstruct; and Notification of commencement of construct/reconstruct; Notification of startup; and Contents of each.	Yes.
§ 63.9(c)	Request for Compliance Extension	Can request if cannot comply by date or if installed BACT/LAER.	Yes.
§ 63.9(d)	Notification of Special Compliance Requirements for New Source.	For sources that commence construction between proposal and promulgation and want to comply 3 years after effective date.	Yes.
§ 63.9(e)	Notification of Performance Test	Notify Administrator 60 days prior	No.

TABLE 10 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO SUBPART DDDDD—Continued

As stated in § 63.7565, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions according to the following:

Citation	Subject	Brief description	Applicable
§ 63.9(f)	Notification of VE/Opacity Test	Notify Administrator 30 days prior	No.
§ 63.9(g)	Additional Notifications When Using Continuous Monitoring Systems.	Notification of performance evaluation; and notification using continuous opacity monitoring system data; and notification that exceeded criterion for relative accuracy.	Yes.
§ 63.9(h)(1)–(6)	Notification of Compliance Status	Contents; and due 60 days after end of performance test or other compliance demonstration, and when to submit to Federal vs. State authority.	Yes.
§ 63.9(i)	Adjustment of Submittal Deadlines	Procedures for Administrator to approve change in when notifications must be submitted.	Yes.
§ 63.9(j)	Change in Previous Information	Must submit within 15 days after the change.	Yes.
§ 63.10(a)	Recordkeeping/Reporting	Applies to all, unless compliance extension; and when to submit to Federal vs. State authority; and procedures for owners of more than 1 source.	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(1)	Recordkeeping/Reporting	General Requirements; and keep all records readily available and keep for 5 years.	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(i)–(v)	Records related to Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction.	Occurrence of each of operation (process, equipment); and occurrence of each malfunction of air pollution equipment; and maintenance of air pollution control equipment; and actions during startup, shutdown, and malfunction.	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(vi) and (x–xi)	Continuous monitoring systems Records	Malfunctions, inoperative, out-of-control; and calibration checks; and adjustments, maintenance.	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(vii)–(ix)	Records	Measurements to demonstrate compliance with emission limitations; and performance test, performance evaluation, and visible emission observation results; and measurements to determine conditions of performance tests and performance evaluations.	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(xii)	Records	Records when under waiver	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(xiii)	Records	Records when using alternative to relative accuracy test.	No.
§ 63.10(b)(2)(xiv)	Records	All documentation supporting Initial Notification and Notification of Compliance Status.	Yes.
§ 63.10(b)(3)	Records	Applicability Determinations	Yes.
§ 63.10(c)(1),(5)–(8),(10)–(15)	Records	Additional Records for continuous monitoring systems.	Yes.
§ 63.10(c)(7)–(8)	Records	Records of excess emissions and parameter monitoring exceedances for continuous monitoring systems.	No.
§ 63.10(d)(1)	General Reporting Requirements	Requirement to report	Yes.
§ 63.10(d)(2)	Report of Performance Test Results	When to submit to Federal or State authority.	Yes.
§ 63.10(d)(3)	Reporting Opacity or VE Observations	What to report and when	Yes.
§ 63.10(d)(4)	Progress Reports	Must submit progress reports on schedule if under compliance extension.	Yes.
§ 63.10(d)(5)	Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Reports.	Contents and submission	Yes.
§ 63.10(e)(1)(2)	Additional continuous monitoring systems Reports.	Must report results for each CEM on a unit; and written copy of performance evaluation; and 3 copies of continuous opacity monitoring system performance evaluation.	Yes.
§ 63.10(e)(3)	Reports	Excess Emission Reports	No.
§ 63.10(e)(3)(i–iii)	Reports	Schedule for reporting excess emissions and parameter monitor exceedance (now defined as deviations).	No.

TABLE 10 TO SUBPART DDDDD OF PART 63.—APPLICABILITY OF GENERAL PROVISIONS TO SUBPART DDDDD—Continued

As stated in § 63.7565, you must comply with the applicable General Provisions according to the following:

Citation	Subject	Brief description	Applicable
§ 63.10(e)(3)(iv–v)	Excess Emissions Reports	Requirement to revert to quarterly submission if there is an excess emissions and parameter monitor exceedance (now defined as deviations); and provision to request semiannual reporting after compliance for one year; and submit report by 30th day following end of quarter or calendar half; and if there has not been an exceedance or excess emission (now defined as deviations), report contents is a statement that there have been no deviations.	No.
§ 63.10(e)(3)(iv–v)	Excess Emissions Reports	Must submit report containing all of the information in § 63.10(c)(5–13), § 63.8(c)(7–8).	No.
§ 63.10(e)(3)(vi–viii)	Excess Emissions Report and Summary Report.	Requirements for reporting excess emissions for continuous monitoring systems (now called deviations); Requires all of the information in § 63.10(c)(5–13), § 63.8(c)(7–8).	No.
§ 63.10(e)(4)	Reporting continuous opacity monitoring system data.	Must submit continuous opacity monitoring system data with performance test data.	Yes.
§ 63.10(f)	Waiver for Recordkeeping/Reporting	Procedures for Administrator to waive	Yes.
§ 63.11	Flares	Requirements for flares	No.
§ 63.12	Delegation	State authority to enforce standards	Yes.
§ 63.13	Addresses	Addresses where reports, notifications, and requests are sent.	Yes.
§ 63.14	Incorporation by Reference	Test methods incorporated by reference	Yes.
§ 63.15	Availability of Information	Public and confidential Information	Yes.

Appendix A to Subpart DDDDD—Methodology and Criteria for Demonstrating Eligibility for the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives Specified for the Large Solid Fuel Subcategory

1. Purpose/Introduction

This appendix provides the methodology and criteria for demonstrating that your affected source is eligible for the compliance alternative for the HCl emission limit and/or the total selected metals (TSM) emission limit. This appendix specifies emissions testing methods that you must use to determine HCl, chlorine, and manganese emissions from the affected units and what parts of the affected source facility must be included in the eligibility demonstration. You must demonstrate that your affected source is eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives using either a look-up table analysis (based on the look-up tables included in this appendix) or a site-specific compliance demonstration performed according to the criteria specified in this appendix. This appendix also specifies how and when you file any eligibility demonstrations for your affected source and how to show that your affected source remains eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives in the future.

2. Who Is Eligible To Demonstrate That They Qualify for the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives?

Each new, reconstructed, or existing affected source may demonstrate that they are eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives. Section 63.7490 of subpart DDDDD defines the affected source and explains which affected sources are new, existing, or reconstructed.

3. What Parts of My Facility Have To Be Included in the Health-Based Eligibility Demonstration?

If you are attempting to determine your eligibility for the compliance alternative for HCl, you must include every emission point subject to subpart DDDDD that emits either HCl or Cl_2 in the eligibility demonstration.

If you are attempting to determine your eligibility for the compliance alternative for TSM, you must include every emission point subject to subpart DDDDD that emits manganese in the eligibility demonstration.

4. How Do I Determine HAP Emissions From My Affected Source?

(a) You must conduct HAP emissions tests or fuel analysis for every emission point covered under subpart DDDDD within the affected source facility according to the requirements in paragraphs (b) through (f) of this section and the methods specified in Table 1 of this appendix.

(1) If you are attempting to determine your eligibility for the compliance alternative for HCl, you must test the subpart DDDDD units at your facility for both HCl and Cl_2. When conducting fuel analysis, you must assume any chlorine detected will be emitted as Cl_2.

(2) If you are attempting to determine your eligibility for the compliance alternative for TSM, you must test the subpart DDDDD units at your facility for manganese.

(b) *Periods when emissions tests must be conducted.*

(1) You must not conduct emissions tests during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction, as specified in § 63.7(e)(1).

(2) You must test under worst-case operating conditions as defined in this appendix. You must describe your worst-case operating conditions in your performance test report for the process and control systems (if applicable) and explain why the conditions are worst-case.

(c) *Number of test runs.* You must conduct three separate test runs for each test required in this section, as specified in § 63.7(e)(3). Each test run must last at least 1 hour.

(d) *Sampling locations.* Sampling sites must be located at the outlet of the control device and prior to any releases to the atmosphere.

(e) *Collection of monitoring data for HAP control devices.* During the emissions test, you must collect operating parameter monitoring system data at least every 15 minutes during the entire emissions test and establish the site-specific operating requirements in Tables 3 or 4, as appropriate, of subpart DDDDD using data from the monitoring system and the procedures specified in § 63.7530 of subpart DDDDD.

(f) *Nondetect data.* You may treat emissions of an individual HAP as zero if all of the test runs result in a nondetect measurement and the condition in paragraph (f)(1) of this section is met for the manganese test method. Otherwise, nondetect data for individual HAP must be treated as one-half of the method detection limit.

(1) For manganese measured using Method 29 in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60, you analyze samples using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS).

(g) You must determine the maximum hourly emission rate for each appropriate emission point according to Equation 1 of this appendix.

$$\text{Max Hourly Emissions} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{Er} \times \text{Hm}) \qquad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

Where:

Max Hourly Emissions = Maximum hourly emissions for hydrogen chloride, chlorine, or manganese, in units of pounds per hour.

Er = Emission rate (the 3-run average as determined according to Table 1 of this appendix or the pollutant concentration in the fuel samples analyzed according to § 63.7521) for hydrogen chloride, chlorine, or manganese, in units of pounds per million Btu of heat input.

Hm = Maximum rated heat input capacity of appropriate emission point, in units of million Btu per hour.

5. What Are the Criteria for Determining If My Facility Is Eligible for the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives?

(a) Determine the HAP emissions from each appropriate emission point within the affected source facility using the procedures specified in section 4 of this appendix.

(b) Demonstrate that your facility is eligible for either of the health-based compliance alternatives using either the methods described in section 6 of this appendix (look-up table analysis) or section 7 of this appendix (site-specific compliance demonstration).

(c) Your facility is eligible for the health-based compliance alternative for HCl if one of the following two statements is true:

(1) The calculated HCl-equivalent emission rate is below the appropriate value in the look-up table;

(2) Your site-specific compliance demonstration indicates that your maximum HI for HCl and $C1_2$ at a location where people live is less than or equal to 1.0;

(d) Your facility is eligible for the health-based compliance alternative for TSM if one of the following two statements is true:

(1) The manganese emission rate for all your subpart DDDDD sources is below the appropriate value in the look-up table;

(2) Your site-specific compliance demonstration indicates that your maximum HQ for manganese at a location where people live is less than or equal to 1.0.

6. How Do I Conduct a Look-Up Table Analysis?

You may use look-up tables to demonstrate that your facility is eligible for either the compliance alternative for the HCl emission limit or the compliance alternative for TSM emission limit.

(a) *HCl health-based compliance alternative.* (1) To calculate the total toxicity-weighted HCl-equivalent emission rate for your facility, first calculate the total affected source emission rate of HCl by summing the maximum hourly HCl emission rates from all your subpart DDDDD sources. Then, similarly, calculate the total affected source emission rate for Cl_2. Finally, calculate the toxicity-weighted emission rate (expressed in HCl equivalents) according to Equation 2 of this appendix.

$$\text{ER}_{tw} = \sum \left(\text{ER}_i \times \left(\text{RfC}_{HCl} / \text{RfC}_i \right) \right) \qquad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

Where:

ER_{tw} is the HCl-equivalent emission rate, lb/hr.

ER_i is the emission rate of HAP i in lbs/hr

RfC_i is the reference concentration of HAP i

RfC_{HCl} is the reference concentration of HCl (RfCs for HCl and Cl_2 can be found at *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/toxsource/summary.html).*

(2) The calculated HCl-equivalent emission rate will then be compared to the appropriate allowable emission rate in Table 2 of this appendix. To determine the correct value from the table, an average value for the appropriate subpart DDDDD emission points should be used for stack height and the minimum distance between any appropriate subpart DDDDD stack at the facility and the property boundary should be used for property boundary distance. Appropriate emission points and stacks are those that emit HCl and/or Cl_2. If one or both of these values does not match the exact values in the lookup tables, then use the next lowest table value. (**Note:** If your average stack height is less than 5 meters, you must use the 5 meter row.) Your facility is eligible to comply with the health-based alternative HCl emission limit if your toxicity-weighted HCl equivalent emission rate, determined using the methods specified in this appendix, does not exceed the appropriate value in Table 2 of this appendix.

(b) *TSM Compliance Alternative.* To calculate the total manganese emission rate for your affected source, sum the maximum hourly manganese emission rates for all your subpart DDDDD sources. The calculated manganese emission rate will then be compared to the allowable emission rate in the Table 3 of this appendix. To determine the correct value from the table, an average value for the appropriate subpart DDDDD emission points should be used for stack height and the minimum distance between any appropriate subpart DDDDD stack at the facility and the property boundary should be used for property boundary distance. Appropriate emission points and stacks are those that emit manganese. If one or both of these values does not match the exact values in the lookup tables, then use the next lowest table value. (**Note:** If your average stack height is less than 5 meters, you must use the 5 meter row.) Your facility may exclude manganese when demonstrating compliance with the TSM emission limit if your manganese emission rate, determined using the methods specified in this appendix, does not exceed the appropriate value specified in Table 3 of this appendix.

7. How Do I Conduct a Site-Specific Compliance Demonstration?

If you fail to demonstrate that your facility is able to comply with one or both of the alternative health-based emission standards using the look-up table approach, you may choose to perform a site-specific compliance demonstration for your facility. You may use any scientifically-accepted peer-reviewed risk assessment methodology for your site-specific compliance demonstration. An example of one approach for performing a site-specific compliance demonstration for air toxics can be found in the EPA's ''Air Toxics Risk Assessment Reference Library, Volume 2, Site-Specific Risk Assessment Technical Resource Document'', which may be obtained through the EPA's Air Toxics Web site at *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/fera/risk_atoxic.html.*

(a) Your facility is eligible for the HCl alternative compliance option if your site-specific compliance demonstration shows that the maximum HI for HCl and Cl_2 from your subpart DDDDD sources is less than or equal to 1.0.

(b) Your facility is eligible for the TSM alternative compliance option if your site-specific compliance demonstration shows that the maximum HQ for manganese from your subpart DDDDD sources is less than or equal to 1.0.

(c) At a minimum, your site-specific compliance demonstration must:

(1) Estimate long-term inhalation exposures through the estimation of annual

or multi-year average ambient concentrations;

(2) Estimate the inhalation exposure for the individual most exposed to the facility's emissions;

(3) Use site-specific, quality-assured data wherever possible;

(4) Use health-protective default assumptions wherever site-specific data are not available, and;

(5) Contain adequate documentation of the data and methods used for the assessment so that it is transparent and can be reproduced by an experienced risk assessor and emissions measurement expert.

(d) Your site-specific compliance demonstration need not:

(1) Assume any attenuation of exposure concentrations due to the penetration of outdoor pollutants into indoor exposure areas;

(2) Assume any reaction or deposition of the emitted pollutants during transport from the emission point to the point of exposure.

8. What Must My Health-Based Eligibility Demonstration Contain?

(a) Your health-based eligibility demonstration must contain, at a minimum, the information specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (6) of this section.

(1) Identification of each appropriate emission point at the affected source facility, including the maximum rated capacity of each appropriate emission point.

(2) Stack parameters for each appropriate emission point including, but not limited to, the parameters listed in paragraphs (a)(2)(i) through (iv) below:

(i) Emission release type.

(ii) Stack height, stack area, stack gas temperature, and stack gas exit velocity.

(iii) Plot plan showing all emission points, nearby residences, and fenceline.

(iv) Identification of any control devices used to reduce emissions from each appropriate emission point.

(3) Emission test reports for each pollutant and appropriate emission point which has been tested using the test methods specified in Table 1 of this appendix, including a description of the process parameters identified as being worst case. Fuel analyses for each fuel and emission point which has been conducted including collection and analytical methods used.

(4) Identification of the RfC values used in your look-up table analysis or site-specific compliance demonstration.

(5) Calculations used to determine the HCl-equivalent or manganese emission rates according to sections 6(a) or (b) of this appendix.

(6) Identification of the controlling process factors (including, but not limited to, fuel type, heat input rate, type of control devices, process parameters reflecting the emissions rates used for your eligibility demonstration) that will become Federally enforceable permit conditions used to show that your facility remains eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives.

(b) If you use the look-up table analysis in section 6 of this appendix to demonstrate that your facility is eligible for either health-based compliance alternative, your eligibility demonstration must contain, at a minimum, the information in paragraphs (a) and (b)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) Calculations used to determine the average stack height of the subpart DDDDD emission points that emit either manganese or HCl and Cl_2.

(2) Identification of the subpart DDDDD emission point, that emits either manganese or HCl and Cl_2, with the minimum distance to the property boundary of the facility.

(3) Comparison of the values in the look-up tables (Tables 2 and 3 of this appendix) to your maximum HCl-equivalent or manganese emission rates.

(c) If you use a site-specific compliance demonstration as described in section 7 of this appendix to demonstrate that your facility is eligible, your eligibility demonstration must contain, at a minimum, the information in paragraphs (a) and (c)(1) through (7) of this section:

(1) Identification of the risk assessment methodology used.

(2) Documentation of the fate and transport model used.

(3) Documentation of the fate and transport model inputs, including the information described in paragraphs (a)(1) through (5) of this section converted to the dimensions required for the model and all of the following that apply: meteorological data; building, land use, and terrain data; receptor locations and population data; and other facility-specific parameters input into the model.

(4) Documentation of the fate and transport model outputs.

(5) Documentation of any exposure assessment and risk characterization calculations.

(6) Comparison of the HQ HI to the limit of 1.0.

9. When Do I Have to Complete and Submit My Health-Based Eligibility Demonstration?

(a) If you have an existing affected source, you must complete and submit your eligibility demonstration to your permitting authority, along with a signed certification that the demonstration is an accurate depiction of your facility, no later than the date one year prior to the compliance date of subpart DDDDD. A separate copy of the eligibility demonstration must be submitted to: U.S. EPA, Risk and Exposure Assessment Group, Emission Standards Division (C404–01), Attn: Group Leader, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, electronic mail address *REAG@epa.gov*.

(b) If you have a new or reconstructed affected source that starts up before the effective date of subpart DDDDD, or an affected source that is an area source that increases its emissions or its potential to emit such that it becomes a major source of HAP before the effective date of subpart DDDDD, then you must comply with the requirements of subpart DDDDD until your eligibility demonstration is completed and submitted to your permitting authority.

(c) If you have a new or reconstructed affected source that starts up after the effective date of subpart DDDDD, or an affected source that is an area source that increases its emissions or its potential to emit such that it becomes a major source of HAP after the effective date for subpart DDDDD, then you must follow the schedule in paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) You must complete and submit a preliminary eligibility demonstration based on the information (*e.g.*, equipment types, estimated emission rates, etc.) used to obtain your title V permit. You must base your preliminary eligibility demonstration on the maximum emissions allowed under your title V permit. If the preliminary eligibility demonstration indicates that your affected source facility is eligible for either compliance alternative, then you may start up your new affected source and your new affected source will be considered in compliance with the alternative HCl standard and subject to the compliance requirements in this appendix or, in the case of manganese, your compliance demonstration with the TSM emission limit is based on 7 metals (excluding manganese).

(2) You must conduct the emission tests or fuel analysis specified in section 4 of this appendix upon initial startup and use the results of these emissions tests to complete and submit your eligibility demonstration within 180 days following your initial startup date. To be eligible, you must meet the criteria in section 11 of this appendix within 18 months following initial startup of your affected source.

10. When Do I Become Eligible for the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives?

To be eligible for either health-based compliance alternative, the parameters that defined your affected source as eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives (including, but not limited to, fuel type, fuel mix (annual average), type of control devices, process parameters reflecting the emissions rates used for your eligibility demonstration) must be submitted for incorporation as Federally enforceable limits into your title V permit. If you do not meet these criteria, then your affected source is subject to the applicable emission limits, operating limits, and work practice standards in Subpart DDDDD.

11. How Do I Ensure That My Facility Remains Eligible for the Health-Based Compliance Alternatives?

(a) You must update your eligibility demonstration and resubmit it each time you have a process change, such that any of the parameters that defined your affected source changes in a way that could result in increased HAP emissions (including, but not limited to, fuel type, fuel mix (annual average), change in type of control device, changes in process parameters documented as worst-case conditions during the emissions testing used for your approved eligibility demonstration).

(b) If you are updating your eligibility demonstration to account for an action in paragraph (a) of this section, then you must perform emission testing or fuel analysis according to section 4 of this appendix for the subpart DDDDD emission points that may have increased HAP emissions beyond the levels reflected in your previously approved eligibility demonstration due to the process

Attachment I
Attachment I

change. You must submit your revised eligibility demonstration to the permitting authority prior to revising your permit to incorporate the process change. If your updated eligibility demonstration indicates that your affected source is no longer eligible for the health-based compliance alternatives, then you must comply with the applicable emission limits, operating limits, and compliance requirements in Subpart DDDDD prior to making the process change and revising your permit.

12. What Records Must I Keep?

You must keep records of the information used in developing the eligibility demonstration for your affected source, including all of the information specified in section 8 of this appendix.

13. Definitions

The definitions in § 63.7575 of subpart DDDDD apply to this appendix. Additional definitions applicable for this appendix are as follows:

Hazard Index (HI) means the sum of more than one hazard quotient for multiple substances and/or multiple exposure pathways.

Hazard Quotient (HQ) means the ratio of the predicted media concentration of a pollutant to the media concentration at which no adverse effects are expected. For inhalation exposures, the HQ is calculated as the air concentration divided by the RfC.

Look-up table analysis means a risk screening analysis based on comparing the HAP or HAP-equivalent emission rate from the affected source to the appropriate maximum allowable HAP or HAP-equivalent emission rates specified in Tables 2 and 3 of this appendix.

Reference Concentration (RfC) means an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from various types of human or animal data, with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used.

Worst-case operating conditions means operation of an affected unit during emissions testing under the conditions that result in the highest HAP emissions or that result in the emissions stream composition (including HAP and non-HAP) that is most challenging for the control device if a control device is used. For example, worst-case conditions could include operation of an affected unit firing solid fuel likely to produce the most HAP.

TABLE 1 TO APPENDIX B OF SUBPART DDDDD—EMISSION TEST METHODS

For . . .	You must . . .	Using . . .
(1) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use a compliance alternative.	Select sampling ports' location and the number of traverse points.	Method 1 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A.
(2) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use a compliance alternative.	Determine velocity and volumetric flow rate; ...	Method 2, 2F, or 2G in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.
(3) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use a compliance alternative.	Conduct gas molecular weight analysis	Method 3A or 3B in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.
(4) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use a compliance alternative.	Measure moisture content of the stack gas	Method 4 in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.
(5) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use the HCl compliance alternative.	Measure the hydrogen chloride and chlorine emission concentrations.	Method 26 or 26A in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.
(6) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use the TSM compliance alternative.	Measure the manganese emission concentration.	Method 29 in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.
(7) Each subpart DDDDD emission point for which you choose to use a compliance alternative.	Convert emissions concentration to lb per MMBtu emission rates.	Method 19 F-factor methodology in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

TABLE 2 TO APPENDIX A OF SUBPART DDDDD—ALLOWABLE TOXICITY-WEIGHTED EMISSION RATE EXPRESSED IN HCl EQUIVALENTS (lbs/hr)

Stack ht. (m)	Distance to property boundary (m)											
	0	50	100	150	200	250	500	1000	1500	2000	3000	5000
5	114.9	114.9	114.9	114.9	114.9	114.9	144.3	287.3	373.0	373.0	373.0	373.0
10	188.5	188.5	188.5	188.5	188.5	188.5	195.3	328.0	432.5	432.5	432.5	432.5
20	386.1	386.1	386.1	386.1	386.1	386.1	386.1	425.4	580.0	602.7	602.7	602.7
30	396.1	396.1	396.1	396.1	396.1	396.1	396.1	436.3	596.2	690.6	807.8	816.5
40	408.1	408.1	408.1	408.1	408.1	408.1	408.1	448.2	613.3	715.5	832.2	966.0
50	421.4	421.4	421.4	421.4	421.4	421.4	421.4	460.6	631.0	746.3	858.2	1002.8
60	435.5	435.5	435.5	435.5	435.5	435.5	435.5	473.4	649.0	778.6	885.0	1043.4
70	450.2	450.2	450.2	450.2	450.2	450.2	450.2	486.6	667.4	813.8	912.4	1087.4
80	465.5	465.5	465.5	465.5	465.5	465.5	465.5	500.0	685.9	849.8	940.9	1134.8
100	497.5	497.5	497.5	497.5	497.5	497.5	497.5	527.4	723.6	917.1	1001.2	1241.3
200	677.3	677.3	677.3	677.3	677.3	677.3	677.3	682.3	919.8	1167.1	1390.4	1924.6

TABLE 3 TO APPENDIX A OF SUBPART DDDDD—ALLOWABLE MANGANESE EMISSION RATE (lbs/hr)

Stack ht. (m)	Distance to property boundary (m)											
	0	50	100	150	200	250	500	1000	1500	2000	3000	5000
5	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.36	0.72	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94
10	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.82	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
20	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	1.06	1.45	1.51	1.51	1.51
30	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.09	1.49	1.72	2.02	2.04
40	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.12	1.53	1.79	2.08	2.42
50	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.15	1.58	1.87	2.15	2.51
60	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.18	1.62	1.95	2.21	2.61
70	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.22	1.67	2.03	2.28	2.72
80	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.25	1.71	2.12	2.35	2.84
100	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.32	1.81	2.29	2.50	3.10
200	1.69	1.69	1.69	1.69	1.69	1.69	1.69	1.71	2.30	2.92	3.48	4.81

[FR Doc. 04–11221 Filed 9–10–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U